Moschovakis Capital

Cisco Systems, Inc.

CSCO

EQUITY RESEARCH REPORT

November 02, 2026

CONFIDENTIAL — FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY | NOT INVESTMENT ADVICE

CSCO — Cisco Systems, Inc.

Report Date: 2026-11-02

Analyst: Moschovakis Capital Research

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metric	Value
Recommendation	AVOID
Current Price	$104.51 / €93.14
Fair Value (Base Case)	$93.92 / €83.71
Margin of Safety	-10.1%
Target Entry (re-evaluate)	$88.00 or lower

Return Profile	Value
Dividend Yield	1.60%
Expected Dividend Growth	3.0%
Expected Price Appreciation (Base)	2.8% CAGR
Total Expected Return (Base)	4.2% CAGR
Bear Case Total Return	-4.8% CAGR
Bull Case Total Return	8.6% CAGR
Probability-Weighted Return	3.1% CAGR

Risk Assessment	Value
Wealth Preservation Score	52 / 100
Downside Protection Score	35 / 100
Quality Score	60 / 100
Risk Level	ELEVATED
Probability of >50% Loss	~25% bear-case drawdown; permanent-loss probability above 10% ceiling
Recession Profile	SENSITIVE (inferred; historical figures absent from provided data)

One-Line Thesis:

Cisco preserves capital through recurring software and services revenue plus a solid balance sheet, but at $104.51 the trailing multiple of 31.4 times GAAP earnings leaves no margin of safety, the base total return of roughly 4.2% fails the mandate hurdle, and the modeled bear case is negative, so the correct action is to avoid the stock until price approaches $93 or lower.

INVESTMENT THESIS

Cisco owns a defensible enterprise networking franchise. Management under Chuck Robbins shifted the company away from a hardware-only model toward software, subscriptions, cybersecurity, and observability. The Splunk acquisition closed in March 2024 and added security information and event management, log analytics, and observability revenue. Cisco now generates a large portion of profit from services and recurring software. The business model produces high cash flow. FY2026 free cash flow reached $12.8 billion on revenue of $63.3

billion. The balance sheet carries debt-to-equity of 0.52 and interest coverage of 10.94 times. These are the foundations of capital preservation.

The problem is not the business. The problem is the price. At $104.51, the stock trades at 31.4 times trailing GAAP EPS of $3.33. ValueInvesting places the selected fair value at $93.92, which produces a negative margin of safety of 10.1 percent. A stock can be a good company and still be a bad investment at the wrong price. Cisco's five-year EPS growth ran at 6.1 percent annually, and the dividend grew only 2.6 percent per year over five years. Even after allowing for a modest multiple re-rating from 31.4 down toward 22, the base-case total return compounds at about 4.2 percent. That falls short of the inflation-plus-4 percent hurdle by a wide margin.

The downside analysis is equally uncomfortable. In a recession bear case, revenue stays flat, EPS falls to around $3.00, and the terminal multiple contracts to the historical low of 16 times. That produces a 10-year price near $48, a drawdown of roughly 54 percent from today. Accumulated dividends reduce the loss but do not eliminate it. The bear case total return is negative 4.8 percent annualized. A negative bear case violates the absolute requirement that capital be preserved in the downside scenario. The probability of a greater-than-50 percent drawdown in that environment is substantial, and the permanent-loss probability exceeds the 10 percent ceiling this framework requires.

What would change the view? A price below $88 to $93 would restore a margin of safety. Evidence that AI orders are converting into durable, high-margin software revenue would support faster earnings growth. A recovery in ROIC from the current 13.75 percent back toward Cisco's historical high-20s level would indicate a strengthening competitive position. Absent those conditions, the current quote offers a 50/50 outcome with significant left-tail risk. That is not the asymmetry this mandate seeks. Cash in a 4 percent savings account beats the probability-weighted return and does so without the drawdown risk.

1. BUSINESS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Cisco operates in enterprise networking, cybersecurity, collaboration software, observability, IT infrastructure, and services. The company reports through the Americas, EMEA, and APJC. The provided data do not give dollar revenue splits by segment or geography. The primary product lines are networking equipment, security, collaboration tools, and the software and services layer built on top of the installed base. The model combines hardware sales with high-margin recurring service contracts and software subscriptions.

The moat assessment is genuinely mixed. KoalaGains describes a wide, multi-layered moat in core enterprise networking, a services engine generating high-margin recurring cash flows, and a growing security platform. StockStory points to distribution advantages from scale. Those are real. Cisco has one of the largest installed bases in enterprise IT. Swapping out routing, switching, and wireless infrastructure is operationally painful for a chief information officer. The switching cost protects the franchise in ways that a startup cannot replicate quickly.

Beanvest reaches the opposite conclusion. It labels the moat as none and cites low entry barriers, price-focused competition, and realized pricing pressure. The truth sits between those positions. The core networking hardware franchise has durability, but it is not a toll road. Open networking in data centers and Microsoft in collaboration attack the edges. Hyperscalers increasingly source white-box or merchant silicon solutions, and they hold substantial bargaining power over Cisco when they do buy branded equipment. The cybersecurity and observability portfolio, including Splunk, is a potential source of differentiation, not a demonstrated durable advantage at this stage.

The services engine is the strongest preservation feature. High-margin recurring cash flows arrive even when product orders slow. That cushions earnings in downturns. FY2026 operating margin reached 24.3 percent, and free cash flow margin reached 20.2 percent. The software and subscription pivot reduces the volatility that pure hardware vendors endure. I assess the moat durability as moderate: strong in the legacy installed base, weaker

in the emerging AI-native and open networking segments. The moat preservation confidence is medium, not high.

For preservation investors, a medium-confidence moat requires a larger margin of safety. Cisco at 31 times trailing earnings does not offer that margin. The business quality is adequate, but the price does not compensate for the competitive erosion in parts of the portfolio.

2. FINANCIAL FORTRESS ANALYSIS

The balance sheet is the strongest argument for Cisco. Debt-to-equity is 0.52, which sits just above the preferred 0.50 threshold but well below the 1.0 danger line. Interest coverage is 10.94 times, comfortably above the 8 times preferred level. Free cash flow reached $12.8 billion in FY2026, and Beanvest assigns a cash conversion grade of 100. That means earnings convert into cash at a high rate. The company produced operating income of $15.4 billion on $63.3 billion of revenue. Net income was $13.3 billion. EBITDA was $16.1 billion.

A stress test helps frame survival risk. If revenue fell 30 percent to $44.3 billion and operating margin compressed from 24.3 percent to 15 percent, operating income would decline to roughly $6.65 billion. Cisco's interest expense appears near $1.4 billion based on the 10.94 coverage ratio. The stressed coverage ratio would fall to about 4.7 times, still above the 4.0 minimum but no longer fortress-like. The company would remain solvent. Dividend coverage would tighten, but the existing free-cash-flow cushion would likely allow management to protect the payout without an equity raise.

Dilution is not a problem. Beanvest reports a three-year average dilution of negative 1.15 percent, meaning share count declined on average. Cisco buys back stock. That converts shareholder capital into higher per-share earnings. Stock-based compensation runs at 6.06 percent of revenue, which is meaningful but normal for a large technology employer. Insiders own only 0.03 percent of shares, so alignment through ownership is weak. The company compensates with buybacks and dividends, but those do not substitute for real insider skin in the game.

I grade solvency as ADEQUATE rather than FORTRESS. Debt-to-equity just misses the strictest threshold, and the provided data omit current ratio, cash as a percentage of total debt, and the debt maturity schedule. Those omissions prevent the highest rating. The company does not appear at risk of financial distress, but a recession would reduce the coverage cushion. Earnings quality is HIGH. Cash conversion is excellent, there is no evidence of restatement, and the fiscal 2026 results are clean on the available record. The financial fortress supports the business; it does not, by itself, support the current stock price.

3. DIVIDEND ANALYSIS

Cisco pays a dividend. At a 50.3 percent payout ratio on trailing EPS of $3.33, the indicated annual dividend is approximately $1.67 per share. Against the current price of $104.51, that produces a yield of 1.60 percent. The yield sits toward the upper end of the MarketBeat range of 1.43 to 1.58 percent, but it is far below the 4 percent risk-free alternative. A preservation investor does not buy Cisco for current income.

The dividend is sustainable. Free cash flow payout is approximately 51.6 percent based on $6.6 billion of dividends against $12.8 billion of free cash flow. FCF coverage is roughly 1.9 times. The company has ample capacity to maintain the dividend through a normal earnings decline. If earnings fell 40 percent to about $2.00 per share and the dividend remained flat at $1.67, the EPS payout ratio would rise to 84 percent. That is uncomfortable but not an immediate crisis given the free cash flow base.

The weak point is dividend growth. The five-year dividend growth rate is 2.6 percent, below the 3 percent inflation-beating threshold and below the mandate's preference for distribution growth above 5 percent. The dividend is stable but not a compounding engine. I grade dividend sustainability as SUSTAINABLE, one notch below ROCK SOLID, because growth has lagged inflation and the margin of excess cash flow is real but not

overwhelming.

For total return, the dividend contributes 1.6 percent of the base case. The remaining 2.6 percent must come from earnings growth and multiple change. That arithmetic explains why the base case fails the hurdle. A 1.6 percent yield does not compensate for a 31.4 trailing multiple with slow underlying earnings growth.

4. VALUATION ANALYSIS

At $104.51, Cisco trades at 31.4 times trailing GAAP EPS of $3.33. That multiple is above the historical trailing range of 16.3 to 22.9 times reported by ValueInvesting. The current quote sits above the entire ten-year-ish trailing band. FullRatio shows a higher trailing P/E of 33.82 on the same data set, and the variation reflects different EPS denominators rather than disagreement about the price. ChartMill shows a forward P/E of 21.98. At the current price, that implies forward EPS near $4.75. The forward multiple is more reasonable, but it still requires substantial EPS growth to justify the quote.

EV/EBITDA is 23.18 based on enterprise value of $434.36 billion and TTM EBITDA of $18.74 billion. That multiple looks rich for a mature enterprise technology company. P/FCF is approximately 32.2 using $411.8 billion market capitalization and $12.8 billion free cash flow. P/S is roughly 6.5, and P/B is roughly 8.9. These are growth-company multiples on a business growing revenue at 4.9 percent annually over five years and EPS at 6.1 percent annually.

ValueInvesting places selected fair value at $93.92, with a fair-price range of $63.70 to $140.23. Against $104.51, that produces negative 10.1 percent upside. In other words, the market currently pays about 11 percent above the selected fair value. The analyst consensus targets add context but not comfort. The search summary shows an average 12-month target of $128.52, while ChartMill shows 35 analysts with an average of $136.93. The high target is $165.00 and the low is $88.00. The spread is wide, and the low target sits 16 percent below the current price.

ChartMill notes that Cisco’s EV/EBITDA is cheaper than about 60.87 percent of companies in its industry, but that says more about the hardware sector’s inflated valuations than about Cisco’s absolute attractiveness. Enterprise networking peers trade at lower multiples. Juniper trades at 15 times earnings and 12 times EV/EBITDA. Arista trades at 25 times earnings but with much higher ROIC and free cash flow margin. Cisco’s premium to its own history and to value alternatives is the central valuation problem.

No margin of safety exists at the current price. The mandate requires a 10 percent margin of safety to fair value for a BUY recommendation. The current gap is negative 10.1 percent. The stock would need to fall to roughly $84.50 to offer a 10 percent margin against the $93.92 fair value. That is below the analyst low of $88.00. The valuation supports AVOID, not BUY.

5. SCENARIO ANALYSIS

I model Cisco over a 10-year horizon from the current price of $104.51. The starting earnings base is FY2026 GAAP EPS of $3.33. I use a terminal multiple assumption for each scenario, a dividend growth assumption, and a probability weight consistent with the framework.

The bear case assumes a recession in the near term. Revenue stays flat at current levels rather than compounding. Margins compress toward historical troughs. EPS declines from $3.33 to $3.00, a 10 percent reduction, and never recovers above that level for the full 10-year period. The terminal P/E contracts to 16.0 times, the historical low. That produces a 10-year price target of $48.00. Accumulated dividends add roughly $16.70, assuming the dividend is maintained but not grown. Total terminal value reaches approximately $64.70. The annualized total return is negative 4.8 percent. This scenario fails the capital preservation requirement.

The base case assumes Cisco grows EPS at 6.5 percent annually, roughly in line with its five-year historical rate of 6.1 percent plus a small contribution from the software transition and Splunk. EPS reaches $6.25 at year ten. The terminal P/E declines from 31.4 to 22.0 as the market reprices the business to a mature software and hardware multiple. The price target is $137.50. Dividends grow at 3 percent annually and add roughly $19.80. Total terminal value reaches about $157.30. The total return is 4.2 percent annualized. That is below the 7 percent hurdle and below the risk-free alternative on a risk-adjusted basis.

The bull case assumes AI orders convert into revenue, Splunk accelerates software growth, and EPS compounds at 10 percent annually. EPS reaches $8.64. The terminal P/E expands modestly to 25 times. The price target is $216.00. Dividends grow at 5 percent and add roughly $22.00. Terminal value reaches about $238.00. The total return is 8.6 percent annualized. This is the only scenario that clears the hurdle, and it requires everything to go right.

The probability-weighted return is 3.1 percent annualized. The table below captures the arithmetic.

Scenario | 10-Year Price Target | Total CAGR | Weight | Contribution

Bear | $48.00 | -4.8% | 25% | -1.2%

Base | $137.50 | +4.2% | 50% | +2.1%

Bull | $216.00 | +8.6% | 25% | +2.2%

Probability-Weighted | | +3.1% | |

The asymmetric profile this mandate demands is absent. The base case does not meet the hurdle, and the bear case is negative. The only scenario that justifies the current price is the bull case, which requires a re-acceleration in growth that the five-year record does not demonstrate. The scenario analysis reinforces AVOID.

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

The largest risk is valuation mean reversion. At 31.4 times trailing earnings, Cisco trades above its historical range. If the multiple contracts to the 16.3-to-22.9 historical band, the stock loses substantial value even if earnings hold steady. The bear case shows a 54 percent drawdown on a 10 percent EPS decline plus multiple compression to 16. That combination is not extreme. It has precedent in mature technology hardware.

Competitive pressure is the second risk. TradingKey reported on March 31, 2026 that analysts cited market share loss and gross margin pressures as company-specific concerns. The same article noted Cisco fell 4.40 percent that day while the technology equipment sector rose 1.68 percent. Executive stock sales added to the cautious signal. Hyperscaler customers increasingly build their own networking solutions or negotiate aggressively on price. Open networking in data centers undermines Cisco’s traditional switching margins. Microsoft competes in collaboration. Splunk integration adds execution risk.

Cybersecurity is an operational risk. Cisco’s July 25, 2026 filing describes active monitoring of threats and third-party risk management. A material breach or product vulnerability would damage the trust Cisco sells to enterprises. The company also depends on suppliers and logistics centers exposed to earthquakes, floods, wildfires, and other climate-related disruptions. Headquarters and R&D operations sit in Silicon Valley, a seismic zone.

Macro risk is real but secondary. TradingKey listed inflation and broader market downturn as headwinds. Cisco’s enterprise customers can delay hardware purchases in a recession. The recurring services and software base cushions earnings, but product revenue would decline. The recession profile is SENSITIVE, not RESILIENT. The data do not provide Cisco’s 2008 or 2020 peak-to-trough revenue and dividend history, so I infer the rating from

the product mix and the Q2 FY2026 free cash flow compression.

Permanent loss risk is the disqualifying factor. The bear case has a 25 percent probability weight and produces a drawdown greater than 50 percent. Even if that drawdown partially recovers, the framework defines permanent loss as a greater-than-50 percent decline not recovered within five years. I estimate the probability of such an outcome at roughly 10 to 15 percent, above the 10 percent ceiling. That alone triggers avoidance under the mandate. No accounting issue, regulatory threat, or litigation appears in the provided data, but the absence of those items does not offset the valuation and competitive risks.

7. MANAGEMENT & GOVERNANCE

CEO Chuck Robbins receives credit for the software and subscription pivot and for closing the Splunk acquisition. Those moves reduced the company's reliance on transactional hardware revenue and increased recurring software exposure. The 2025 annual report describes a board that oversees capital allocation, competitive landscape, talent, and regulatory developments. That governance structure is ordinary for a large Delaware technology company.

Capital allocation history is mixed. Dilution is negative 1.15 percent over three years, which means buybacks reduced share count. Free cash flow conversion is excellent at a grade of 100. Management returned cash through both buybacks and dividends. The flip side is that stock-based compensation runs at 6.06 percent of revenue, and insiders own only 0.03 percent of the shares. Management is paid mostly in cash and equity awards, not through meaningful personal ownership. The 2005 Stock Incentive Plan remains the vehicle for those awards.

The March 2026 executive stock sales are a red flag. The framework treats insider selling during elevated valuations as a signal that management sees limited upside. Cisco's stock had risen sharply into 2026, and the sales coincided with market share and margin concerns. One quarter of selling is not proof of poor stewardship, but it reduces the confidence I assign to management alignment.

The ROIC trend is the most important governance signal. StockStory reports a five-year average ROIC of 26.5 percent, but the current ROIC is 13.75 percent. The decline is significant. A shrinking return on invested capital means incremental investments, including Splunk, are earning less than the legacy business earned. Management is still creating value, because ROIC exceeds the cost of capital, but the trajectory is deteriorating. For a preservation investor, a declining ROIC trend demands a lower multiple.

I grade management quality as GOOD, not EXCELLENT. The strategic pivot was correct, capital returns are real, and the board functions properly. But the low insider ownership, the executive sales, the high SBC, and the ROIC decline prevent a higher rating. The proxy seeking ratification of PwC and recommending votes against two shareholder proposals is standard. No auditor change or going concern issue appears in the data. Governance is acceptable, not exceptional.

8. PEER COMPARISON

The provided peer set includes Juniper Networks, Arista Networks, and Nokia. Nokia's data are incomplete after interest coverage. The table below compares Cisco against the two most relevant peers and the incomplete Nokia entry.

Metric | CSCO | JNPR | ANET | NOK

P/E | 31.4 trailing | 15 | 25 | 18

EV/EBITDA | 23.2 | 12 | 15 | 10

Debt/Equity | 0.52 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4

Interest Coverage | 10.94 | 10 | 12 | 8

Dividend Yield | 1.6% | 1.5% | 1.0% | n/a

Payout Ratio | 50.3% | 30% | 20% | n/a

FCF Margin | 20.2% | 20% | 25% | n/a

ROIC | 13.75% | 15% | 18% | n/a

5-Year Dilution | -1.15% | 5% | 3% | n/a

Dividend Growth | 2.6% | 10% | 5% | n/a

Arista screens best for capital preservation on the fundamentals that matter most. It has the lowest debt-to-equity at 0.2, the highest interest coverage at 12, the highest free cash flow margin at 25 percent, the highest ROIC at 18 percent, and the lowest share-count growth at 3 percent. The trade-off is valuation and income. Arista carries a P/E of 25 and yields only 1 percent. Juniper is the value and income alternative. It trades at 15 times earnings and 12 times EV/EBITDA, yields 1.5 percent, and grows its dividend 10 percent annually. Juniper's balance sheet is weaker than Arista's on coverage and dilution, but it is still solid.

Cisco occupies an uncomfortable middle. Its balance sheet is adequate, but its ROIC is the lowest of the group with full data. Its dividend growth is the weakest at 2.6 percent. Its valuation is the highest on trailing P/E despite that weaker growth. A preservation investor seeking enterprise networking exposure would find better risk-reward in either Arista at a quality premium or Juniper at a value discount. Cisco at 31.4 times trailing earnings offers neither the strongest balance sheet nor the cheapest entry. The peer comparison reinforces AVOID.

9. KEY METRICS SUMMARY

Metric | Value | Source/Note

FY2026 Revenue | $63.3B | StockTitan, +11.8% YoY

FY2026 Net Income | $13.3B | StockTitan, +30.3% YoY

FY2026 Diluted EPS | $3.33 | StockTitan, +30.6% YoY

FY2026 Operating Margin | 24.3% | Computed from $15.4B / $63.3B

FY2026 FCF | $12.8B | StockTitan, -3.9% YoY

Debt/Equity | 0.52 | ChartMill

Interest Coverage | 10.94 | ChartMill

ROIC | 13.75% | ChartMill

ROIC Trend | Declining | StockStory five-year avg 26.5%

Dividend Yield | 1.60% | Computed at $104.51

Dividend Payout | 50.3% | MarketBeat

FCF Payout | 51.6% | Computed

Dividend Growth 5Y | 2.6% | ChartMill

Trailing P/E | 31.4 | Computed from $3.33 EPS

Forward P/E | 21.98 | ChartMill

EV/EBITDA | 23.18 | ValueInvesting

P/FCF | 32.2 | Computed

Insider Ownership | 0.03% | ChartMill

Short Interest | 1.53% of float | MarketBeat

Days to Cover | 3.8 | MarketBeat

10. MONITORING CHECKLIST

Item | Cadence | Action

Networking product revenue | Quarterly | Bear below $6.8B; bull above $7.3B

Non-GAAP product gross margin | Quarterly | Bear below $6.6B; bull above $7.0B

Service gross margin | Quarterly | Miss below $2.7B requires review

AI orders-to-revenue conversion | Quarterly | Confirm hyperscaler demand sustains

Splunk integration margin | Quarterly | Flag if margin drag persists

Gross margin trend | Quarterly | Flag if March 2026 pressure resumes

ROIC trend | Annual | Flag if ROIC breaks below 12%

Dividend growth | Annual | Flag if growth stays below 3%

Insider sales | Monthly | Flag if executive selling resumes

Price vs. $93.92 fair value | Daily | Re-evaluate below $88.00

11. MARKET EXPECTATIONS & CONSENSUS

The current price implies growth that the trailing results do not yet show. At 31.4 times trailing earnings and a 9 percent required return, a 1.6 percent dividend yield means the market prices roughly 7.4 percent long-term EPS growth. Cisco’s five-year EPS growth is 6.1 percent. The market is paying for an acceleration that has not arrived.

The consensus bull case rests on AI. The 24/7 Wall Street framing is “AI Orders Are Turning Into Revenue.” CEO Chuck Robbins cited accelerating demand from hyperscale cloud providers building AI infrastructure. The bull thesis points to record revenue, recurring software revenue, the Splunk acquisition, and a transformation into a full-stack software and AI infrastructure platform. Cisco guided second-quarter revenue to $15.0 billion to $15.2 billion, above the $14.72 billion consensus at the time. That beat supports the bull narrative.

The consensus bear case is thinner but real. The explicit downside markers are $109.27 by 2027 and $120.89 by 2031 in the 24/7 scenario. Those imply near-zero annualized returns. Analysts also cite market share loss and gross margin pressure. If AI orders fail to convert into revenue, the bull thesis collapses. The 24/7 bear case would become the relevant path.

Short interest is low. MarketBeat reports 60.15 million shares sold short, 1.53 percent of the public float, with 3.8 days to cover. Short interest rose 17.78 percent from the prior report as of October 15, 2026. A rising but still-low short base is not a bearish stampede. It shows some skepticism building into an elevated price.

Analyst consensus is Moderate Buy. ChartMill shows 35 analysts at an average 12-month target of $136.93, 16.64 percent above a quoted price of $117.39. The search summary shows an average target of $128.52, a high of $165.00, and a low of $88.00. The dispersion is wide. The low target sits 15.8 percent below the current price. I treat the consensus with caution because it relies on forward earnings estimates that the trailing GAAP data do not confirm.

Forward Valuation | Value | Assessment

Forward P/E | 21.98 | Fair but requires earnings acceleration

PEG (21.98 / 9.4% implied) | 2.3 | Above 1.0, not cheap

EV/EBITDA | 23.18 | Elevated for mature tech

Beta | Not provided | Factor exposure not quantifiable from given data

Short Float | 1.53% | Low, not crowded bearish

Days to Cover | 3.8 | Positive sentiment per MarketBeat

What validates the consensus is meeting or exceeding revenue and EPS expectations, especially in AI infrastructure and software, with AI orders converting to revenue and guidance continuing above consensus. What disappoints is a revenue or EPS miss, guidance below consensus, or a slowdown in AI software growth. In that case the $88 low target becomes the relevant reference point sooner than the $136 average.

12. KEY BUSINESS DRIVERS

Driver 1: Networking product revenue

Why it matters: It is the largest product revenue line and the heart of the franchise.

Current level: $7.07 billion in the most recent reported quarter, up 8.4 percent, beating the $6.76 billion average estimate.

Bull threshold: $7.30 billion or higher.

Bear threshold: Below $6.80 billion.

Next data point: Next quarterly report.

Driver 2: Non-GAAP product gross margin

Why it matters: It measures pricing power and product mix health in dollars.

Current level: $7.01 billion versus $6.66 billion estimate, a $0.35 billion beat.

Bull threshold: Above $7.00 billion with stable or expanding margin.

Bear threshold: Below $6.60 billion signals share loss or pricing pressure.

Next data point: Next quarterly report.

Driver 3: Non-GAAP service gross margin

Why it matters: It reflects profitability of the recurring services engine.

Current level: $2.69 billion versus $2.72 billion estimate, a $0.03 billion miss.

Bull threshold: Above $2.72 billion.

Bear threshold: Persistent misses below $2.70 billion indicate services margin pressure.

Next data point: Next quarterly report.

Driver 4: AI orders converting to revenue

Why it matters: The bull case depends on hyperscaler AI infrastructure demand becoming durable revenue.

Current level: Not quantified in the provided data.

Bull threshold: Management discloses accelerating AI orders and software revenue growth above 10 percent.

Bear threshold: AI orders stall or hyperscaler customer concentration reduces order size and timing.

Next data point: Earnings call commentary and order disclosures.

Driver 5: Gross margin stability

Why it matters: TradingKey reported market share loss and gross margin pressure on March 31, 2026.

Current level: FY2026 operating margin of 24.3 percent, but gross margin not provided.

Bull threshold: Gross margin stabilizes above historical levels.

Bear threshold: Gross margin declines for two consecutive quarters.

Next data point: Quarterly income statement.

13. PROXY TRACKERS & LEADING INDICATORS

Proxy | Ticker | Relationship | Signal

Arista Networks | ANET | Leads Cisco in data-center and AI networking demand | Bullish for CSCO if ANET revenue and margins strong; bearish if ANET guides down on AI networking spend

Juniper Networks | JNPR | Correlates with enterprise networking demand | Bullish for sector demand if JNPR orders and carrier revenue hold; bearish if JNPR weakens on share shifts

NVIDIA | NVDA | Leads AI infrastructure capex that drives Cisco's AI opportunity | Bullish if NVDA data-center revenue accelerates; bearish if NVDA guides AI spending below expectations

S&P 500 Technology | XLK | Reflects broad tech risk appetite | Bearish for CSCO if XLK breaks below the 50-day average

Monitoring rule: If ANET and JNPR both trade below their 50-day moving averages while CSCO remains near $104, re-evaluate the Cisco thesis within five days for a demand deterioration signal.

RECONSIDERATION TRIGGERS (Currently AVOID)

The following would change the AVOID to HOLD or BUY:

- Price falls to $88.00 or lower, restoring a margin of safety against the $93.92 fair value.
- Bear case total return turns positive through confirmed earnings power and a lower entry price.
- Base case total return exceeds 7 percent through durable EPS growth above 8 percent.
- ROIC stabilizes and begins recovering above 15 percent.
- Dividend growth accelerates above 5 percent annually.
- AI and software revenue becomes a clearly disclosed, growing recurring stream with stable gross margins.

If any of those occur, I re-run the full seven-stage framework before committing capital.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION: AVOID

ANALYST NOTES

Key Assumptions:

- Trailing EPS is $3.33 from FY2026 GAAP results.
- Fair value is $93.92 from ValueInvesting.
- Base-case EPS growth is 6.5 percent annually.

- Terminal base P/E is 22 times.
- Dividends grow at 3 percent in the base case.

Limitations:

- The provided data omit five-year annual financial history, current ratio, debt maturity schedule, cash as a percentage of total debt, gross margin, and beta.
- The provided data contain conflicting valuation figures from different sources and dates.
- The 24/7 Wall Street forward EPS of $5.146 is inconsistent with FY2026 GAAP EPS of $3.33 and was not used as the primary earnings base.
- Historical recession performance for Cisco is not in the supplied data, so the recession profile is inferred rather than measured directly.
- Forward valuation metrics rely on ChartMill and analyst consensus figures rather than live deep-dive metrics.

Confidence Level: MEDIUM. The direction of the recommendation is clear from the negative margin of safety, the below-hurdle base return, and the negative bear case. The exact downside magnitude is uncertain because the data do not include a full five-year financial history or debt maturity detail. I would not commit capital to Cisco at $104.51. The stock becomes interesting only after a meaningful decline or a confirmed acceleration in high-margin software and AI revenue.

Report prepared for Moschovakis Capital. This analysis is for informational purposes only.

CONTINUE THE RESEARCH

This report is one of over 120 equity research analyses published by Moschovakis Capital. Each covers valuation, scenario modelling and risk in the same format as the report you have just read.

Full equity research archive

Latest published research

Enquiries and coverage requests

moschovakiscapital.com

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

This report has been prepared by Moschovakis Capital for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation, or an offer to buy or sell any security. The information contained herein is based on sources believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Moschovakis Capital and its affiliates may hold positions in the securities discussed. This document is confidential and intended solely for the recipient. Any reproduction or distribution without prior written consent is prohibited.

EXECUTION INFRASTRUCTURE

For the execution of positions discussed in our research, we use the following platforms for their regulatory standing, liquidity and reliability.

eToro	Equity execution — regulated, social trading infrastructure
Revolut	Multi-currency banking and FX
Interactive Brokers	Institutional-grade brokerage
Vantage	MT4/MT5 execution
Binance	Digital asset infrastructure
Hostinger	Web infrastructure

Referral links: Moschovakis Capital may receive a commission or bonus if you open an account. It does not affect our research.