Moschovakis Capital

Carnival Corporation Ltd.

CCL

EQUITY RESEARCH REPORT

November 20, 2026

CONFIDENTIAL — FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY | NOT INVESTMENT ADVICE

CCL — CARNIVAL CORPORATION & PLC

Report Date: 2026-11-20

Analyst: Moschovakis Capital Research

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metric	Value
Recommendation	AVOID
Current Price	$27.57 USD / €25.12 EUR
Fair Value (Base Case)	$28.00 USD / €25.51 EUR
Margin of Safety	1.5%
Target Entry (if HOLD)	N/A

Return Profile	Value
Dividend Yield	2.18%
Expected Dividend Growth	6.0% base case after restart
Expected Price Appreciation	6.2% base case CAGR
Total Expected Return (Base)	8.4% CAGR
Bear Case Total Return	-1.6% CAGR
Bull Case Total Return	13.5% CAGR
Probability-Weighted Return	7.2% CAGR

Risk Assessment	Value
Wealth Preservation Score	34 / 100
Downside Protection Score	5 / 100
Quality Score	41 / 100
Risk Level	ELEVATED
Probability of >50% Loss	15%
Recession Profile	VULNERABLE

One-Line Thesis:

Carnival owns scale, brands, and a captive onboard-spend model, but the $25B to $28B debt load and cyclical demand profile create asymmetric downside that violates the capital preservation mandate.

INVESTMENT THESIS

Carnival Corporation & plc operates the world’s largest cruise fleet: 94 ships across nine brands, including Carnival Cruise Line, Princess, Holland America, AIDA, Costa, Cunard, and P&O Cruises UK. The scale is real

and difficult to replicate. In 2018 Carnival carried 47.4% of global cruise passengers and controlled 39.4% of industry revenue. Newbuild costs of $550 million to $1.0 billion per ship and a three-company oligopoly that carried about 80% of passengers create a low threat of new entry. The business model combines ticket revenue with high-margin onboard spending. Koalagains reports FY2025 onboard and other revenue of $9.20 billion, up 7.5% year over year, growing faster than ticket revenue. That quasi-captive onboard pool is the best part of the franchise.

The financial position is the problem. Carnival survived the COVID-19 shutdown by issuing debt. The source packet places current total debt between $24.9 billion and $28 billion, with net debt around $23.9 billion as of Q2 2026. FY2025 EBIT reached $4.48 billion against $1.35 billion of interest expense, producing 3.3x interest coverage. This framework removes any candidate with interest coverage below 4.0x, and the 3.3x print sits 40% below that floor. The same packet shows FY2022 net income of negative $6.09 billion and FY2022 free cash flow of negative $1.5 billion. The company has positive FCF in three of the last four reported years, but it has not proven it can produce free cash flow through a full recession without cutting the dividend or raising capital. The newly restarted $0.15 quarterly dividend, the $2.5 billion buyback, and debt paydown are real, but they occur in a strong demand environment and do not establish recession resilience.

The base case return meets the hurdle. Using forward EPS of $2.24, a 6% earnings CAGR, a 12.5x terminal multiple, and a 2.18% starting dividend yield, the base case delivers roughly 8.4% annualized total return over ten years. That exceeds the inflation plus 4% minimum of about 7%. But capital preservation is not a single-scenario exercise. The bear case produces a negative 1.6% annualized return, with a recession-driven drawdown from $27.57 to a trough below $16 before recovery to $22. A 15% probability of a permanent loss exceeding 50% is too high. The current price of $27.57 sits within 2% of the $28.00 base fair value, so the margin of safety is 1.5%, not the 10% required by this framework. A 4% high-yield savings account offers no drawdown risk and a guaranteed nominal return. Carnival offers 7.2% probability-weighted return with elevated drawdown risk. The risk-adjusted hurdle is not met. Avoid.

1. BUSINESS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Carnival passes the understandability screen but fails the cyclicality screen for a wealth preservation mandate. The business is straightforward: passengers pay a ticket, board a ship, and spend on drinks, dining, excursions, Wi-Fi, and casino play. Onboard revenue carries structurally high margins because the customer is captive. Koalagains calls the model “pay-per-trip plus ancillary spend,” and the FY2025 split shows ticket revenue as the majority with $9.20 billion of onboard revenue growing faster at 7.5% year over year. The business has four reported segments: North America Cruise Operations, Europe Cruise Operations, Cruise Support, and Tour and Other. The North America and Australia cluster produced $17.60 billion of FY2025 revenue, 66% of the total, and grew 4.77% year over year. Germany contributed $3.35 billion and the UK $3.05 billion in FY2025. The US accounts for roughly 50% of global cruise demand.

The moat is a scale-and-brand moat, not a pricing-power moat. Rutgers’ Porter’s Five Forces assessment rates new entry low, buyer power low, supplier power low, and competitive rivalry low to medium, but substitution high. That substitution risk matters. Cruise competes with land-based vacations, hotels, all-inclusive resorts, and airlines. A consumer can substitute a Las Vegas trip or a Florida resort for a Caribbean cruise. The high substitution threat means Carnival cannot price independently of the broader travel market. StockStory assigns Carnival an ROIC of 3.7%, well below what this framework accepts as value-creating. Koalagains identifies the fleet scale and the onboard revenue pool as durable advantages, and those are real. The issue is that durability does not extend to the balance sheet. The debt load limits financial flexibility and converts a strong brand portfolio into a highly leveraged cyclical.

The sector filter is unambiguous. Cruise demand correlates strongly with consumer confidence, according to Koalagains. Fuel, foreign exchange, and geopolitical events drive costs and itineraries. The 2024 Red Sea rerouting of some Mediterranean sailings demonstrates itinerary disruption risk. Environmental compliance adds cost. Health and safety risk is a permanent negative consideration, per S&P Global Ratings. This is not a defensive utility or consumer staple. It is a discretionary, fuel-intensive, capital-intensive travel business. The framework permits highly cyclical sectors only with exceptional balance sheet strength and a valuation discount. Carnival does not meet the balance sheet test. The valuation discount versus peers exists, but it is partly explained by leverage. I would not buy this cyclicality at any price for the capital preservation mandate, and the current price offers no margin of safety to compensate.

The business quality assessment is therefore mixed. The franchise quality is real: 94 ships, 114,000 employees, nine brands, and a global revenue base that recovered from $12.17 billion in FY2022 to $26.62 billion in FY2025. The onboard revenue model is the strongest quality signal. But the competitive moat is constrained by substitution risk, and the capital efficiency is poor at a 3.7% ROIC. A company earning below its cost of capital while carrying $25 billion of debt is not a quality compounder. It is a recovery story that depends on uninterrupted demand, stable fuel costs, and continued debt paydown. Those are not preservation characteristics.

2. FINANCIAL FORTRESS ANALYSIS

The solvency check is the first gate in this framework, and Carnival fails it. FY2025 EBIT of $4.48 billion divided by $1.35 billion of interest expense gives 3.3x interest coverage. The framework requires above 5.0x for comfort and removes any candidate below 4.0x. Carnival sits at 3.3x. The debt-to-equity ratio is not provided in the source packet, but total debt of $25.2 billion to $28 billion against a market capitalization near $37 billion implies equity below debt. The framework threshold of less than 1.0x fails on any reasonable book equity estimate. Current ratio is not provided. The debt maturity schedule is not provided, which limits the refinancing-cliff check. I will not fabricate those inputs.

Free cash flow history shows improvement but not fortress stability. FY2022 FCF was negative $1.5 billion. FY2023 FCF was $12 million, essentially zero. FY2024 FCF reached $1.297 billion. FY2025 FCF reached $2.607 billion. That is positive in three of four recent years, not the required positive in four of five years once FY2021 is excluded from the provided data. The negative 2022 print is not ancient history. It reflects what happens to Carnival when demand disappears. The cash conversion signal is better: Koalagains reports $6.2 billion of annual operating cash flow against $2.76 billion of net income, a 2.25x conversion ratio. That confirms earnings are cash-backed in the current strong environment.

The stress test is the critical exercise. If revenue dropped 30% for two years, the company would produce roughly $18.6 billion of revenue in year one on current $26.6 billion base. Cruise costs are largely fixed. Fuel, crew, port fees, and interest do not fall 30% with revenue. EBIT would compress from $4.48 billion toward breakeven, and interest expense of $1.35 billion would remain. The company would be forced to suspend the newly restarted dividend. It would need to refinance maturities in a weak credit market. It would likely raise equity or cut fleet investment. That is exactly the 2020 playbook. The packet's FY2022 net loss of $6.09 billion on $12.17 billion revenue demonstrates the magnitude. A stress scenario does not require a black swan; it requires a normal recessionary demand contraction for a highly leveraged cruise operator. The company survived COVID-19 because interest rates were low and creditors were patient. It cannot rely on that again.

Solvency Assessment: UNACCEPTABLE under this framework.

Earnings quality is acceptable. Operating cash flow of $6.2 billion exceeds net income of $2.76 billion by 2.25x, which signals high depreciation and amortization rather than aggressive accrual accounting. The source packet contains no identified receivables, inventory, or restatement red flags. Koalagains treats the cash conversion as

a core quality signal, and I agree on that narrow point.

Share dilution is a positive. StockAnalysis reports shares outstanding of 1.34 billion, with a 0.89% year-over-year decline and a 1.44% quarter-over-quarter decline. Carnival initiated a $2.5 billion share repurchase program and bought 15.1 million shares in the latest reported quarter. Buyback yield is 0.89%. After years of dilution, management has begun returning capital to shareholders through buybacks and dividends. That is good capital allocation in isolation. The problem is that the buyback begins before the balance sheet is truly fortress-grade. Total debt remains $24.9 billion net of costs, and net debt is $23.9 billion. Paying a dividend and buying back stock while leverage sits above 3.0x net debt to EBITDA is a tradeoff between shareholder return and balance sheet repair. It may be the right decision for the current demand environment, but it does not make the company a preservation vehicle.

3. DIVIDEND ANALYSIS

Carnival restarted a dividend. The declared $0.15 per-share dividend pays on November 27, 2026, for holders of record as of November 6. If that payout is quarterly, it annualizes to $0.60. At the current price of $27.57, the yield is 2.18%. That sits inside the 1.5% to 5.0% sweet spot but below the 3% level that would provide a meaningful income cushion.

The payout ratio is sustainable in the current environment. Against FY2026 adjusted EPS guidance of $2.24 at the midpoint, a $0.60 dividend uses 27% of earnings. Against FY2025 FCF of $2.607 billion, or about $1.95 per share, the dividend consumes 31% of free cash flow. Both ratios are comfortably below the 60% EPS and 70% FCF thresholds in this framework. The new dividend is not straining current cash generation.

The income reliability score fails on history. Carnival suspended its dividend during the COVID-19 shutdown. A dividend cut within the past ten years triggers an automatic deduction in this framework. The company has not demonstrated dividend growth, because the payout restarted only recently. The consecutive-years track record is zero since the resumption. A preservation investor values a dividend that survived 2008, 2020, and every downturn between. Carnival's dividend survived none of them in its current form. The restart signals management confidence in current bookings, not structural resilience.

The stress test for the dividend is straightforward. If earnings dropped 40%, adjusted EPS would fall from $2.24 to about $1.34. A $0.60 dividend would still be covered at a 45% payout ratio on paper. But the stress does not stop there. In a true recession, revenue falls, fixed costs compress margins, and interest expense stays fixed. EPS falls below $0.50 in the bear case. The dividend would be suspended again. The current 2.18% yield does not compensate for that scenario.

Dividend Sustainability: SUSTAINABLE in the current environment, but not ROCK SOLID. The dividend is new, unproven through a downturn, and subordinate to a $25B debt stack.

4. VALUATION ANALYSIS

At $27.57, Carnival trades at 12.3x forward EPS using the FY2026 adjusted EPS guidance midpoint of $2.24. StockAnalysis shows a forward P/E of 12.45. The trailing P/E is 13.0x. EV/EBITDA is 8.74x using a $61 billion enterprise value and roughly $7.14 billion of FY2026 EBITDA guidance. P/FCF is 12.5x. P/B is 2.82x. These multiples are not demanding on the surface.

The historical comparison is less reassuring. Koalagains reports pre-pandemic P/E averaged 12 to 15x and pre-pandemic EV/EBITDA averaged 9 to 11x. Current EV/EBITDA of about 8.5x is 10% to 20% below that range. But net debt to EBITDA is 60% to 80% higher than pre-pandemic levels. The discount is therefore partly justified by leverage. A lower multiple on a more leveraged capital structure does not automatically create value. StockAnalysis and Chartmill show P/FCF at 12.5x, below the 2023 to 2025 readings of 23.5x, 24.9x, and 15.4x.

That looks cheap, but it reflects the fact that FCF has only recently recovered. The current price offers no margin of safety once the leverage is incorporated.

The base fair value calculation uses a 12.5x multiple on forward EPS of $2.24. That produces $28.00. The current price is $27.57. The margin of safety is 1.5%. This framework requires at least 10%. Carnival fails the margin of safety test. If I use a more conservative 11x multiple to account for cyclicality and balance sheet risk, fair value falls to $24.64, which is 10.6% below the current price. If I use the analyst consensus average target of $33.49, upside is 21.5%. The wide spread between $24.64 and $33.49 reflects the market's disagreement about the recovery. A preservation mandate does not pay $27.57 for an asset worth somewhere between $24 and $33 depending on a strong demand cycle.

Peer comparison shows Carnival is cheap versus Royal Caribbean at 18.1x forward P/E and Viking at 31.7x. Simply Wall St reports a peer average of 17.7x versus Carnival at 12.1x. The discount is real. But Royal Caribbean recovered with lower leverage and stronger brand momentum. Viking operates a premium fleet with less leverage. Carnival's lower multiple reflects lower quality, not a market mispricing. The valuation is FAIR on a forward basis and FULL relative to the required margin of safety. There is no basis for a preservation buy at this price.

5. SCENARIO ANALYSIS

The scenario table below shows the 10-year total return profile under three conditions.

Scenario	10-Year Price Target	Total CAGR	Weight	Contribution
Bear	$22.00 / €20.05	-1.6%	25%	-0.4%
Base	$50.13 / €45.67	8.4%	50%	4.2%
Bull	$81.30 / €74.08	13.5%	25%	3.4%
Expected		7.2%		

The bear case assumes a recession arrives within 18 to 30 months. Consumer confidence falls, booking volumes drop, and yields compress. Revenue declines 20% from the current base. Operating margin falls from 16.8% toward 6%. EPS drops below $0.50. The company suspends the dividend. The stock falls from $27.57 to a trough below $16, then recovers to $22 by year ten as demand normalizes. Cumulative dividends are minimal because the payout stops in the downcycle. The ten-year total return is negative 1.6%. That is the scenario that disqualifies the position. A preservation mandate cannot accept a negative expected return in the bear case, even if the bear case only carries a 25% weight.

The base case assumes a normal expansion. Revenue grows 4% annually, slightly below the two-year historical 6.2% because capacity growth moderates. EPS grows 6% annually from $2.24 to $4.01 by year ten. The terminal multiple stays at 12.5x, producing a $50.13 price target. Dividends grow from $0.60 at 6% annually. Total return reaches 8.4% CAGR, which exceeds the 7% hurdle. This is the best case for the valuation argument, and it is the reason the probability-weighted return still reaches 7.2%. But a base case alone does not satisfy the absolute requirements.

The bull case assumes brand momentum and balance sheet repair accelerate. Revenue grows 6% annually. EPS grows 10% annually to $5.81 by year ten. The market revalues the stock to 14x earnings, producing an $81.30 target. Total return reaches 13.5% CAGR. This scenario requires no recession, sustained fuel price moderation, and successful execution of the new loyalty program and Celebration Key destination. Those are possible but not bankable.

The probability-weighted return of 7.2% only narrowly clears the 7% hurdle, and it does so with a negative bear case and a 15% probability of a 50% permanent loss. The downside protection score of 5 out of 100 reflects that arithmetic. The capital at risk calculation is simple: a $100,000 position has a 25% probability of losing about 20% over the full horizon, and a meaningful probability of losing 45% at the trough. That is not wealth preservation.

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

The dominant risk is the balance sheet. Koalagains calls total debt of $26.2 billion the “dominant risk” with “limited shock absorption.” The search summary places total debt at $28 billion and net debt to EBITDA at 3.8x. Koalagains shows net debt of $23.9 billion at Q2 2026 and 3.22x net debt to EBITDA. The precise number varies by source, but the conclusion does not. Carnival is more leveraged than any preservation candidate should be. Interest coverage of 3.3x leaves little room for EBIT compression. A 30% revenue decline would push EBIT toward breakeven and force hard choices.

Demand cyclicality is the second risk. Cruise demand correlates strongly with consumer confidence. A recession, a spike in unemployment, or a prolonged period of high fuel prices reduces discretionary travel. S&P Global Ratings notes that cruise does not typically see major cancellation spikes in modest downturns, but it sees more risk to yields and onboard spend. The 2020 experience is not an ideal analogy for every cycle, but it demonstrates the downside. Carnival lost $6.09 billion in FY2022 and required massive borrowing to survive.

Fuel and environmental costs are persistent headwinds. Yahoo Finance identifies cost inflation, particularly fuel, as the biggest risk. Fuel expense rose sharply in recent periods. The company’s 2025 Annual Report warns that fuel price increases reduce demand and compress margins. Environmental regulation adds compliance costs. S&P Global Ratings flags heavy fuel use, greenhouse gas emissions, and waste and pollution risk as negative environmental factors. Carnival announced a 25% reduction in greenhouse gas emission intensity by 2029 compared with 2019. That requires capital investment, not just operational savings.

Geopolitical and health risks are specific to cruising. The 2024 Red Sea rerouting of Mediterranean sailings shows how quickly itineraries can be disrupted. Health and safety factors remain a negative consideration. The Rutgers report cites a $3 million passenger-death settlement at Royal Caribbean as an industry litigation benchmark. Carnival’s own 10-K lists health, environment, safety, data privacy, anti-money laundering, anti-corruption, sanctions, labor, and tax as regulatory areas that could produce litigation, fines, and penalties.

Management red flags are not present in the source packet. No identified insider dumping or accounting concerns emerged. Koalagains argues operating cash flow of $6.2 billion against $2.76 billion net income is a quality signal. That is true. But management’s capital allocation must be judged against the debt load. Initiating a $2.5 billion buyback and restarting a dividend while net debt sits near $24 billion reduces shock absorption. The policy prioritizes shareholder returns over balance sheet repair at a time when the company has not proven it can survive a normal recession without cutting those returns again.

The combination is clear: elevated leverage, cyclical demand, fuel and environmental costs, geopolitical disruption, and regulatory exposure. Risk Level: ELEVATED. Probability of a permanent loss exceeding 50% is set at 15%, above the 10% threshold for this mandate.

7. MANAGEMENT & GOVERNANCE

The source packet does not provide CEO tenure, compensation, or detailed strategic execution history. That limits a full governance assessment. What emerges from the filings is a management team focused on balance sheet repair and capital return. The dual-listed company unification and redomicile to Bermuda simplified the corporate structure. A second investment grade credit rating in June 2026 removed the collateral securing the

2029 notes. Carnival redeemed $500 million of 7.000% First-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2029 at 103.50% of principal on August 15, 2026. The company established a $2.0 billion commercial paper program on November 3, 2026, guaranteed by Carnival UK Ltd., with the revolving credit facility as backstop. These are refinancing and liquidity actions, not operating improvements, but they show active liability management.

The $2.5 billion share repurchase program and the 15.1 million shares bought in the latest quarter signal management believes the stock is undervalued. The $0.15 quarterly dividend signals confidence in forward bookings. Customer deposits rose to $9.0 billion on strong booking activity. S&P Global Ratings notes Carnival had already booked 93% of its 2026 inventory, providing good revenue visibility. Management has delivered FY2025 operating income growth of 25.4% on revenue growth of 6.4%, with operating margin expanding 2.6 percentage points to 16.8%. Those are real operating wins.

The quality problem is return on capital. StockStory reports ROIC of 3.7%, which it attributes to management's difficulty identifying attractive investment opportunities. A cruise company with 3.7% ROIC is not covering its cost of capital. The fleet requires continuous maintenance and newbuild investment. StockStory also notes Carnival lacks free cash flow freedom to invest in growth, buybacks, and dividends simultaneously. The newly restarted dividend and buyback consume cash that could otherwise reduce the debt stack. Management has chosen shareholder returns before full deleveraging. That decision may maximize equity returns in a strong cycle, but it increases downside risk in a weak one.

I would rate Management Quality as GOOD on recent operating execution and capital return, but POOR on return on capital. The overall capital allocation record over the past five years is ACCEPTABLE in the current environment, but it does not meet the preservation standard of fortress-grade balance sheet priority.

8. PEER COMPARISON

Metric	CCL	RCL	NCLH	VIK
Forward P/E	12.1x	18.1x	19.1x	31.7x
TTM P/E	12.5x	not provided	9.7x	not provided
EV/EBITDA	8.5x	11-12x	7-8x	not provided
ROIC	3.7%	not provided	not provided	not provided
Net Debt/EBITDA	3.2x to 3.8x	not provided	not provided	not provided

Carnival is the cheapest of the named peer set on forward P/E and EV/EBITDA. Royal Caribbean trades at 18.1x forward P/E and roughly 11 to 12x EV/EBITDA. Viking trades at 31.7x forward P/E. Norwegian appears at 19.1x forward P/E in one source, with a conflicting 2.66x figure from a 2020 vintage source. I use the current 19.1x. Carnival's discount reflects its higher leverage and lower ROIC. Royal Caribbean recovered from COVID with lower relative leverage and stronger brand momentum, per Koalagains. Viking is an emerging premium peer with a cleaner balance sheet. Norwegian is smaller and similarly leveraged but commands a higher multiple on some measures.

The peer comparison confirms that the market is not mispricing Carnival. It is assigning a lower multiple to a lower-quality balance sheet and a lower return on capital. For a preservation portfolio, Royal Caribbean's stronger post-COVID position and lower relative leverage make it a better candidate in the same sector, though RCL is also economically sensitive. Carnival's valuation discount does not compensate for the incremental downside in a recession. The quality ranking places Carnival last among the three main operators on the preservation criteria.

9. KEY METRICS SUMMARY

Metric	Value	Source/Period
Revenue FY2025	$26.62B	WSJ / AnalystBook
Net Income FY2025	$2.76B	Yahoo Finance / AnalystBook
FCF FY2025	$2.61B	AnalystBook / WSJ
Operating Margin FY2025	16.84%	AnalystBook / Tavily
Interest Expense FY2025	$1.35B	Koalagains
Interest Coverage	3.3x	Calculated
Total Debt	$24.9B to $28B	Koalagains / search summary
Net Debt Q2 2026	$23.9B	Koalagains
FY2026 EPS Guidance	$2.24	StockStory
Q3 2026 Revenue	$8.44B	StockStory
Dividend	$0.15 declared	Merlintrader
Shares Outstanding	1.34B	StockAnalysis
Short Float	3.25%	Finviz
Beta	2.45	Finviz

10. MONITORING CHECKLIST

Item	Threshold	Action
Interest coverage	Below 4.0x	Reject
Net debt / EBITDA	Above 3.5x	Reject
FY2026 adjusted EPS	Below $2.17	Reject
FY2026 EBITDA	Below $7.14B	Reject
Dividend	Any cut or suspension	Sell/avoid
Occupancy	Below 101% for two quarters	Re-evaluate
Net yields per ALBD	Below consensus two quarters	Re-evaluate
Fuel cost per metric ton	Above $650 sustained	Re-evaluate
Total debt	Above $28B	Re-evaluate
Bear case total return	Below 0%	Avoid

11. MARKET EXPECTATIONS & CONSENSUS

What the current stock price implies about growth:

At $27.57 and forward EPS of $2.24, the market pays 12.3x forward earnings. A 12.3x multiple on a company with 3.7% ROIC and 3.3x interest coverage implies the market expects low-single-digit EPS growth and no multiple re-rating. The reverse calculation using a fair 12.5x multiple gives $28.00, about 1.5% above spot. The current price therefore embeds very little upside. The implied revenue CAGR is roughly 2% to 4% annually, consistent with Q3 2026 revenue growth of 3.5% year over year and below the two-year 6.2% pace.

Consensus Bull Case:

Analysts expect continued booking momentum, expanded Caribbean destinations, the 2026 loyalty program, and margin recovery. The average price target is $33.49 from 30 analysts, implying 21.5% upside from $27.57. Zacks consensus EPS is $2.17, below management's $2.24 midpoint. Q3 2026 beat estimates on revenue, adjusted EPS, and adjusted EBITDA. No sell ratings appear in the bobby.ai or Finviz snapshots.

Consensus Bear Case:

The bear case focuses on decelerating revenue growth, margin pressure, operating margin decline to 26.3% from 27.9% in the latest quarter, and FCF margin compression as investment ramps. StockStory expects revenue growth to deteriorate and says the stock falls short of quality standards. Target dispersion is wide, with low targets near $21 to $28 and high targets near $43 to $45. BMO initiated Market Perform at $30. HSBC upgraded to Buy at $30.10. Morgan Stanley upgraded to Overweight at $31. Those targets cluster near spot, not at the average.

Short Interest:

Finviz reports 40.53 million shares short, 3.25% of float, with 1.89 days to cover. Short interest is low. The bear case is not crowded, and a short squeeze is not a meaningful part of the bull thesis.

Analyst Consensus: Buy, average target $33.49.

Forward Valuation:

Metric	Value	Assessment
Fwd P/E	12.45x	Below peer average 17.7x
PEG	not provided	not available
EV/EBITDA	8.74x	Below pre-pandemic 9-11x
Beta	2.45	Highly cyclical
ROE	not provided	not available
Op Margin	16.84%	Recovering

What would validate the consensus:

FY2026 adjusted EPS at or above $2.24, EBITDA at or above $7.14 billion, revenue growth holding near 3.5%, and passenger cruise days continuing to rise. Analyst targets rising toward $35 and the stock closing the gap to $33.49 would confirm the bull case.

What would disappoint:

EPS below $2.17, EBITDA below $7.14 billion, another guidance cut, revenue growth below 3%, or operating margin continuing to fall. The prior guidance cut and August selloff referenced by TIKR show the market punishes guidance misses quickly. A negative Q4 FY2026 print would drive the stock toward the low $20s.

12. KEY BUSINESS DRIVERS

Driver 1: Net yields per ALBD

Why it matters: net yields per available lower berth day measure pricing and onboard monetization per unit of capacity. This is the cleanest read on demand strength.

Current level: Q4 2025 actual $203.52 versus consensus $203.63; Q2 2025 actual $200.07 versus $195.23.

Bull threshold: sustained beats of $3 or more per ALBD.

Bear threshold: below consensus by more than $2 for two consecutive quarters.

Next data point: Q4 FY2026 earnings release, not yet announced.

Driver 2: Onboard and other revenue

Why it matters: onboard revenue carries structurally high margins and is the fastest-growing profit pool.

Current level: FY2025 $9.20 billion, up 7.52% year over year; quarterly onboard revenue beat estimates in Q1, Q2, and Q4 2025.

Bull threshold: year-over-year growth above 8%.

Bear threshold: growth below 4% for two consecutive quarters.

Next data point: Q4 FY2026 earnings release.

Driver 3: Operating margin

Why it matters: operating margin recovery from depressed levels is the key earnings bridge. The framework flags a significant deviation from 16.8% as a thesis-changing event.

Current level: 16.84% FY2025, up 2.6 percentage points from FY2024.

Bull threshold: above 17% and stable.

Bear threshold: below 15%.

Next data point: Q4 FY2026 earnings release.

Driver 4: Occupancy and ALBDs

Why it matters: occupancy and capacity volume determine revenue scale. Occupancy missed consensus in each recent reported quarter.

Current level: Q4 2025 occupancy 102% versus 103.2% estimate; ALBDs 24.1 million versus 24.12 million.

Bull threshold: occupancy above 104%.

Bear threshold: occupancy below 101% for two quarters.

Next data point: Q4 FY2026 earnings release.

Driver 5: Net debt to adjusted EBITDA

Why it matters: this leverage ratio determines whether Carnival can build a fortress balance sheet.

Current level: 3.22x per Koalagains; 3.8x per search summary; net debt $23.9 billion at Q2 2026.

Bull threshold: below 3.0x.

Bear threshold: above 3.8x.

Next data point: Q4 FY2026 earnings release and debt schedules.

13. PROXY TRACKERS & LEADING INDICATORS

Proxy	Ticker/Symbol	Relationship	Signal
Royal Caribbean Group	RCL	Peer stock, leads CCL sentiment	Bullish if RCL outperforms after earnings;
Norwegian Cruise Line	NCLH	Peer stock, correlates with cruise sector	Bullish if NCLH holds support; bearish if N
Brent crude	BRENT / BNO	Fuel cost input	Bullish if Brent stays below $70; bearish i
High-yield credit ETF	HYG	Credit spreads proxy for Carnival debt	Bullish if HYG rises; bearish if HYG break

Monitoring rule: If RCL and NCLH both trade below their 50-day moving averages while Brent rises above $90, re-evaluate the CCL thesis within five trading days.

EXIT TRIGGERS AND FINAL RECOMMENDATION

Because the recommendation is AVOID, these are re-evaluation triggers rather than exit triggers from a current position.

Triggers that would upgrade CCL to HOLD or BUY:

- Interest coverage above 5.0x sustained for four quarters.
- Net debt to adjusted EBITDA below 3.0x.
- Total debt below $20 billion.
- A bear case total return above 0% with no dividend cut assumption.
- Price below $24.00 with forward P/E below 10x and a margin of safety above 15%.
- Dividend consistently covered by FCF through a normal recession.

Triggers that would keep CCL on the avoid list:

- Interest coverage below 4.0x.
- Net debt to EBITDA above 3.5x.
- Any dividend cut or suspension.
- Bear case total return below 0%.
- Occupancy below 101% for two consecutive quarters.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION: AVOID

ANALYST NOTES

Key Assumptions:

Forward EPS of $2.24 per management guidance.

Terminal base multiple of 12.5x forward earnings.

Base revenue growth of 4% and EPS growth of 6% annually.

Bear case recession within 18 to 30 months causing a 20% revenue decline.

Dividend of $0.60 annually, assuming the declared $0.15 payout is quarterly.

Conversion rate of $1.0975 per EUR from the supplied live price.

Limitations:

The source packet does not provide current ratio, debt maturity schedule, or a five-year dividend history.

Debt figures conflict across sources, ranging from $24.9 billion to $28 billion.

FY2025 EBITDA conflicts between $7.18 billion and $3.0 billion depending on source.

FY2025 EPS conflicts between $2.02 and $1.41 depending on source.

ROE, PEG, and 52-week range are not provided in the research data.

The source packet does not supply exact sector averages for EV/EBITDA or P/B.

Confidence Level: MEDIUM. The recommendation to avoid rests primarily on the leverage and interest coverage data, which are consistent enough across sources to support a conservative conclusion. The valuation and scenario figures carry wider uncertainty because the underlying historical data are incomplete and partially conflicting.

Report prepared for Moschovakis Capital. This analysis is for informational purposes only.

CONTINUE THE RESEARCH

This report is one of over 120 equity research analyses published by Moschovakis Capital. Each covers valuation, scenario modelling and risk in the same format as the report you have just read.

Full equity research archive

Latest published research

Enquiries and coverage requests

moschovakiscapital.com

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

This report has been prepared by Moschovakis Capital for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation, or an offer to buy or sell any security. The information contained herein is based on sources believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Moschovakis Capital and its affiliates may hold positions in the securities discussed. This document is confidential and intended solely for the recipient. Any reproduction or distribution without prior written consent is prohibited.

EXECUTION INFRASTRUCTURE

For the execution of positions discussed in our research, we use the following platforms for their regulatory standing, liquidity and reliability.

eToro	Equity execution — regulated, social trading infrastructure
Revolut	Multi-currency banking and FX
Interactive Brokers	Institutional-grade brokerage
Vantage	MT4/MT5 execution
Binance	Digital asset infrastructure
Hostinger	Web infrastructure

Referral links: Moschovakis Capital may receive a commission or bonus if you open an account. It does not affect our research.