



JPMorgan Chase & Co.

JPM

EQUITY RESEARCH REPORT

October 07, 2026

JPMorgan Chase & Co. (JPM)

Report Date: 2026-10-07

Analyst: Moschovakis Capital Research

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metric	Value
Recommendation	HOLD / WATCHLIST
Current Price	\$331.28 USD (€295.80 EUR)
Fair Value (Base Case)	\$341.00 USD (€304.46 EUR)
Margin of Safety	2.9% (insufficient for new capital)
Target Entry (if HOLD)	\$307.00 USD (€274.11 EUR)

Return Profile	Value
Dividend Yield	Not provided in data set
Expected Dividend Growth	Not provided in data set
Expected Price Appreciation (Base, 10-yr, price-only)	~4.1% CAGR
Total Expected Return (Base)	Below 7% hurdle on price alone; dividend unavailable
Bear Case Total Return	Negative (price-only, roughly -4% to -6% CAGR)
Bull Case Total Return	~8% to 9% CAGR on price alone
Probability-Weighted Return	~4% to 5% CAGR on price alone

Risk Assessment	Value
Wealth Preservation Score	~52 / 100 (approximate, data-limited)
Downside Protection Score	~45 / 100
Quality Score	~65 / 100
Risk Level	MODERATE
Probability of >50% Loss	Not quantifiable from provided data; systemic bank stress history is severe
Recession Profile	SENSITIVE

One-Line Thesis:

JPMorgan is a fortress franchise with scale, diversified earnings, and strong capital markets momentum, but the current price embeds near-full valuation and offers no margin of safety for a capital preservation mandate.

INVESTMENT THESIS

JPMorgan Chase passes the first preservation test: it is understandable, durable, and systemically important. The company operates as the largest U.S. bank by assets at \$4.4 trillion and by market capitalization, with four

reporting segments spanning Consumer & Community Banking, Commercial & Investment Bank, Asset & Wealth Management, and Corporate. Revenue comes from deposit spreads, card balances, investment banking fees, markets revenue, payments fees, custody, and asset management fees. This diversity reduces dependence on any single revenue stream. The franchise has scale advantages in payments, trading, and corporate relationships that smaller competitors cannot replicate quickly. Fitch assigns a Long-Term Issuer Default Rating of AA- with a Stable Outlook and a Viability Rating of aa-, reflecting strong market position and a diversified business model.

The current valuation is the obstacle, not the business. As of October 7, 2026, the stock trades at \$331.28. The provided forward P/E is 13.50 and trailing P/E is 14.46. Forward EPS derived from the forward multiple is approximately \$24.54, implying high-single-digit EPS growth next year. The company beat the most recent quarter decisively: Q2 2026 managed net revenue rose 14.8% year over year and ex-notables EPS grew 23.7% against a consensus expectation near 10.7%. Yet the stock moved only a low-single-digit percentage on that beat. Morningstar describes the shares as "fully valued" and the results as "easily hurdling sky-high expectations." That price behavior tells you the market already paid for the earnings strength before the print.

For a preservation mandate, the entry price matters more than the quality of the franchise. A 13.5 forward P/E on a bank is not expensive relative to the broad market or the finance sector average near 24.7, but banks are cyclical. JPM's tangible book value per share is \$116.73, producing a price-to-tangible-book ratio near 2.84. That is a premium to historically low bank valuations and above the \$313 reference price from December 19, 2025, when JPM traded at 96.94% of its 52-week high. The August 2025 analyst consensus target of \$270.70 sat below the then-price near \$291, and although recent reviews in July 2025 averaged \$317.67, the current price has run above that. No margin of safety exists at \$331.28 under a framework that requires low, quantifiable downside before accepting upside.

The bear case matters. A recession would compress net interest income, raise credit losses, and hit capital markets revenue. The stock has no dividend data in this data set, so total return cannot be fully calculated, but price-only bear scenarios produce negative compounded returns over a ten-year horizon. That violates the absolute requirement for a BUY: bear case total return must be zero or higher. The quality of the company does not override that rule. A HOLD recommendation means the company qualifies as a watchlist candidate, not a current purchase. The trigger to revisit the thesis is a price near \$307, which restores a ten percent margin of safety against the \$341 base-case fair value.

1. BUSINESS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

JPMorgan operates a scaled universal bank model. The company takes deposits, lends to consumers and businesses, processes payments, raises capital, trades for institutional clients, safeguards assets, and manages wealth. The revenue model combines spread income from deposits and card balances, fee income from investment banking and asset management, transaction income from payments and custody, and asset-based income. This mix smooths results across rate cycles and capital markets cycles. The three reportable segments are Consumer & Community Banking (CCB), Commercial & Investment Bank (CIB), and Asset & Wealth Management (AWM), with remaining activities in Corporate. FY2025 managed revenue split is \$78.5 billion for CCB, \$76.0 billion for CIB, and \$24.1 billion for AWM. FY2025 total net revenue reached \$182.4 billion.

CCB is the U.S. consumer franchise. It earns from deposit spreads, card net interest income, fees, mortgage activity, and auto lending. The segment tracks household health, consumer credit, branch and digital scale, and card spending. CIB serves corporations, governments, investors, merchants, and financial institutions. It houses investment banking, markets, and wholesale payments. AWM covers asset and wealth management, custody, and long-term inflows. The balance across these segments is an advantage. When investment banking slows, consumer deposits and card balances provide stability. When credit costs rise, capital markets and asset

management fees can offset the pressure.

The competitive position is real but not unimpaired. JPMorgan is the largest U.S. bank by assets and market capitalization, often exceeding the combined value of several major rivals. Scale lowers unit costs in technology, compliance, and payments infrastructure. The universal bank model with revenue and expense sharing between lines of business promotes cross-selling. The company has worldwide operations in more than 100 markets and a digital consumer bank in the U.K. under Chase UK. These are genuine advantages. Beavest assigns a narrow moat, citing the absence of consistent margin superiority or pricing power strong enough to guarantee durable competitive advantages. That assessment matters for a preservation investor. A narrow moat means the business can remain excellent without guaranteeing the kind of downside protection a wide-moat regulated monopoly or switching-cost franchise provides.

The historical record supports resilience. The roots go back to 1799, with the current company formed in 2000. JPMorgan added Bank One in 2004, acquired Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual during the 2008 crisis, and acquired First Republic in 2023. These acquisitions demonstrate the balance sheet strength to absorb distressed assets and expand market share in downturns. The company survived 2008 and emerged stronger. That history does not eliminate equity drawdown risk, but it distinguishes JPMorgan from weaker banks that failed or diluted shareholders in stress periods. For a preservation mandate, resilience is a necessary but not sufficient condition. The entry price still decides whether the franchise quality converts into acceptable downside.

2. FINANCIAL FORTRESS ANALYSIS

The standard solvency metrics in this framework do not apply cleanly to a bank. Debt-to-equity, current ratio, and free cash flow are not the relevant measures for a financial institution whose liabilities are largely customer deposits and wholesale funding. The bank-specific framework calls for CET1 ratio, NPL ratio, ROTE, net interest margin trend, and loan-to-deposit ratio. The provided data set does not include CET1, NPL, ROTE, or loan-to-deposit ratio. That is a hard limitation. I will not fabricate those figures. What the data does provide is the credit rating, earnings quality, and a set of profitability ratios.

Fitch assigns JPMorgan a Long-Term Issuer Default Rating of AA- with a Stable Outlook and a Viability Rating of aa-. That is investment-grade and above most banking peers. Fitch expects JPM to maintain financial strength despite potential economic challenges. The rating agency notes further upgrades are unlikely due to the firm's complexity. That is not a solvency warning. It is a recognition that a global universal bank carries operational, legal, and regulatory complexity that caps the rating. The rating itself places JPMorgan in the strongest tier of U.S. financial institutions.

Earnings quality is strong. Net income was \$57.0 billion in FY2025, \$58.5 billion in FY2024, and \$49.6 billion in FY2023. Diluted EPS was \$20.02 in FY2025, \$19.75 in FY2024, and \$16.23 in FY2023. Trailing twelve-month net income to common is \$63.6 billion with diluted EPS of \$23.32. The provision for credit losses declined to \$1.884 billion from \$2.275 billion, suggesting stable credit conditions near-term. Operating margin on a trailing basis is 50.39%, net margin 34.92%, return on equity 17.79%, and return on assets 1.36%. These are excellent for a large bank. Bloomberg or FMP free cash flow metrics are not meaningful for JPMorgan because bank cash flow is dominated by deposit and wholesale funding movements. ChartMill shows free cash flow per share of negative \$61.14 and a negative free cash flow yield, which reflects bank accounting rather than a cash shortfall. I treat FCF as not applicable.

The liquidity and capital position appears strong from the limited balance sheet data. Yahoo lists total cash of \$1.53 trillion and total debt of \$1.34 trillion on a trailing basis. Those figures aggregate bank customer deposits and funding, so they do not translate into an industrial-style debt-to-equity ratio. What matters is regulatory capital and stable deposit funding, neither of which this data set supplies. The Fitch AA- rating and the

company's ability to acquire First Republic in 2023 are qualitative evidence of a fortress balance sheet. I assign a solvency assessment of FORTRESS with the explicit caveat that the specific CET1 and liquidity coverage ratios required by the framework are absent from the provided inputs.

3. DIVIDEND ANALYSIS

The data set does not provide dividend yield, payout ratio, dividend growth, or consecutive years of dividend history. I cannot state a current yield or compute dividend coverage. The framework requires dividend-specific inputs, and those inputs are absent. JPMorgan is known to pay a dividend, but I will not fabricate the yield or payout ratio from memory.

The available earnings data provides context only. Trailing diluted EPS is \$23.32. Net income to common is \$63.6 billion. If the company distributes a small fraction of earnings, the dividend is comfortably covered by earnings. But without the actual dividend per share or payout ratio, the analysis cannot meet the framework's quantitative thresholds. A preservation investor should not rely on an unreported dividend as the primary return driver when the purchase price already offers no margin of safety. Dividend sustainability cannot be scored as ROCK SOLID or otherwise from the supplied sources. The correct output is: dividend analysis not available.

4. VALUATION ANALYSIS

The stock trades at \$331.28. The provided trailing P/E is 14.46 and forward P/E is 13.50. That forward multiple is below the finance sector average near 24.66 and below the market average near 38.83, but those broad averages include different business models. More relevant is the historical range. Yahoo's quarterly data shows trailing P/E points of 14.46 current, 15.67 at June 30, 2026, 14.69 at March 31, 2026, and 15.96 at December 31, 2025. The current multiple sits at the lower end of that recent range, which is a mild positive. The forward P/E of 13.50 also sits below the recent points of 14.88, 13.72, 15.29, and 15.53. The market has modestly de-rated the stock as the price advanced, because earnings grew faster than price.

Price-to-book is 2.54 current, with a tangible book value per share of \$116.73. That gives a price-to-tangible-book ratio of approximately 2.84. TradingKey describes JPM trading at about 3.1 times tangible book value, a premium to historically low bank valuations. At the current price, investors are paying a premium for the franchise. The P/B multiple is not extreme, but it does not provide a value cushion. ChartMill assigns a valuation rating of 3 out of 10 and shows a Graham Number upside of negative 23.65%. That is a quantitative signal that the stock trades above a conservative intrinsic value benchmark. MarketBeat shows a PEG of 1.38, while ChartMill shows a forward PEG next year of 0.61. The wide discrepancy reflects different growth assumptions. The lower forward PEG suggests analysts expect meaningful EPS growth next year, which the Q2 2026 beat supports.

Analyst targets from the data set are mixed and mostly stale. The August 2025 consensus from 23 analysts was Hold with a target of \$270.70, below the then-price near \$291. The high target was \$340 from BofA Securities, and the low target was \$159 from HSBC. The three most recent July 2025 reviews from Wells Fargo, Keefe Bruyette & Woods, and Morgan Stanley averaged \$317.67. The current price of \$331.28 is above that recent average and near the high end of the August 2025 range. Not all of those targets are current as of October 2026, and the company has since reported strong Q2 2026 results. Morningstar's headline is the most useful current signal: shares are fully valued.

I set a base-case fair value of \$341 using the current forward P/E of 13.50 applied to ChartMill's expected next-year EPS of \$25.26. That implies only 2.9% upside from \$331.28. That is not enough compensation for the downside in a recession scenario. A preservation investor needs at least a ten percent margin of safety before accepting the position. The corresponding target entry price is \$307.

5. SCENARIO ANALYSIS

The scenario table uses a ten-year horizon and price-only assumptions because dividend data is unavailable. The absence of dividend income understates total return, but it does not change the directional conclusion. Base case assumes trailing EPS of \$23.32 grows at 5% annually, a conservative rate below the 23.7% ex-notables EPS growth just reported but consistent with a mature bank. A terminal P/E of 13.0, slightly below the current 14.46, produces a 2036 price near \$494. The price-only CAGR from \$331.28 is approximately 4.1%. That is below the inflation-plus-4% hurdle of roughly 7%, even before considering the risk of a bank equity in a downturn.

Bear case assumes a recession in the next decade. EPS falls 25% from the trailing level to about \$17.49 before recovering to approximately \$21 by 2036. A terminal multiple of 10.5, consistent with stress-period bank valuations, produces a 2036 price near \$220. Price-only CAGR is roughly negative 4.0% to negative 6.0% over the period. That violates the absolute requirement that the bear case total return be zero or higher for a BUY. A dividend would reduce the loss, but without a reported yield I cannot assume it is large enough to offset a 25% earnings decline and multiple compression.

Bull case assumes EPS grows 8% annually from \$23.32 to approximately \$50.35 by 2036, driven by continued capital markets share gains, expense discipline, and share repurchases. A terminal P/E of 15, reflecting the franchise premium, produces a 2036 price near \$755. Price-only CAGR is approximately 8.6%. This is the only scenario that clears the hurdle, and it relies on the stock re-rating to a higher multiple after already trading near the upper end of analyst targets.

Probability-weighting the three scenarios at 25% bear, 50% base, and 25% bull produces an expected price-only return in the mid-single digits, below the 7% hurdle. A dividend would raise that number, but the provided data set does not supply the yield. The correct action under the framework is to wait for a better entry price or obtain the missing dividend and regulatory capital data before committing capital.

Scenario	2036 Price Target	Price-Only CAGR	Weight
Bear	~\$220	-4% to -6%	25%
Base	~\$494	~4.1%	50%
Bull	~\$755	~8.6%	25%

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

The dominant risk is systemic bank equity drawdown in a credit cycle. JPMorgan survived 2008 and grew through it, but shareholders still suffered severe price declines. The data set does not provide historical maximum drawdown figures for JPM, but banks as a class have produced drawdowns exceeding 50% in systemic crises. A capital preservation mandate cannot ignore that history. The current price of \$331.28, with a price-to-tangible-book ratio near 2.84, offers no valuation offset to that tail risk.

Credit risk is real but currently contained. The provision for credit losses declined to \$1.884 billion from \$2.275 billion, indicating stable loan performance near-term. Consumer credit stress would pressure CCB earnings, while commercial credit deterioration would hit CIB. The universal bank model partially offsets this through diversified revenue, but net interest income is explicitly sensitive to interest rate fluctuations per the risk assessment sources. A sharp rate decline without corresponding deposit cost reduction would compress the spread income that supports a large portion of earnings.

Regulatory risk is structural. JPMorgan operates in a highly regulated industry with compliance requirements across many countries. The complexity of managing these demands raises operational costs and creates legal

risk. Fitch names regulatory changes and governance issues among key risks and states further rating upgrades are unlikely due to the firm's complexity. A prior investor letter in the data set flagged "upcoming regulatory burdens" as a profitability headwind, though the specific pending rules were not enumerated. Capital requirement changes are particularly impactful for a bank of this size.

Competitive risk from fintech is material. Jamie Dimon's 2023 shareholder letter quote in the data set describes incumbent banks as needing to be scared of fintech rivals. Apple's cash management program with Goldman Sachs raised \$400 million on day one and eclipsed \$1 billion in four days. Dimon labeled Apple a bank because it moves, holds, manages, and lends money. That competitive pressure is secular. It does not threaten JPMorgan's solvency, but it can compress fee revenue and deposit economics over time.

Operational and cyber risk grows with digitization. The company's vast operations expose it to cybersecurity threats and data breaches, with potential financial losses and reputational damage. Climate risks also appear in JPM's 2024 Climate Report, including physical damage to offices, branches, and data centers in high-risk regions and strategic risk from failing to attract green business opportunities. Geopolitical risk is near-term: management flagged on the Q1 2026 earnings call that developments in the Middle East could affect deal execution and timing. These risks are manageable for the franchise but add to the required return, which the current price does not deliver.

7. MANAGEMENT & GOVERNANCE

The data set does not provide a formal management quality assessment. Beanvest poses the question of whether JPMorgan has high-quality management but gives no answer. CSIMarket has a management effectiveness link with no data. No source in the packet identifies accounting restatements, executive departures, insider selling clusters tied to red flags, or compensation disputes. The absence of negative signals is a mild positive, but it is not evidence of exceptional capital allocation.

The known evidence supports stability. Jamie Dimon has led the firm through multiple cycles, including the 2008 crisis and the 2023 regional bank stress. His 2023 fintech commentary shows direct awareness of competitive threats rather than complacency. The First Republic acquisition in 2023 illustrates the willingness and capacity to deploy capital opportunistically in stress. Historical acquisitions of Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual in 2008 followed the same pattern. Those transactions strengthened market share, but the data set does not quantify whether they were value-accretive on a per-share basis at the prices paid.

Fitch's comment that further rating upgrades are unlikely due to the firm's complexity is the closest the sources come to a governance criticism. That is not a red flag. It reflects the inherent challenge of managing a global universal bank with millions of customers and diverse business lines. A preservation investor should monitor insider sales and compensation metrics as they appear. The data set shows a \$2.0 million insider sale in May 2026 and a \$2.7 million sale in January 2026. These are small relative to the market capitalization and do not change the assessment. The correct output for management quality is ADEQUATE with monitoring, not EXCELLENT, because the evidence in the sources is incomplete.

8. PEER COMPARISON

The data set provides a limited peer set. At December 19, 2025, JPM traded at a 2024 sector median P/E of 13.01, with JPM's forward P/E at 12.81. Citigroup traded at 21.00 and Morgan Stanley at 17.60. Wells Fargo showed a 2024 EBITDA margin of 28.39%, Morgan Stanley 22.06%, and JPM 75.08%. The sector median EBITDA margin was 31.60%. Those figures are from different dates and sources, so the comparison is directional. JPM's current trailing P/E of 14.46 and forward P/E of 13.50 sit below the finance sector average of 24.66 and the market average of 38.83. That cheapness relative to the broad market is not the right benchmark for a bank peer group.

The table below ranks the available peers on preservation-relevant dimensions. JPMorgan is the most diversified and most profitable on operating margin, with the strongest credit rating and the largest scale. Citigroup and Morgan Stanley are more concentrated in capital markets or global consumer banking. Wells Fargo has a lower EBITDA margin and a more domestic retail focus. JPM's valuation multiple is not the cheapest among peers; Citigroup shows a higher P/E, but P/E comparisons across banks with different earnings quality are imperfect. The key preservation question is whether JPM's franchise quality justifies its current premium. The answer is yes for the franchise, but no for the entry price at \$331.28.

Dimension	JPM	Citigroup	Morgan Stanley	Wells Fargo	Preservation View
Forward P/E (available)	13.50	21.00	17.60	Not given	JPM cheapest of named peers
2024 EBITDA margin	75.08%	Not given	22.06%	28.39%	JPM strongest
Credit rating	AA- / aa- stable	Not given	Not given	Not given	JPM strongest
Scale	\$4.4T assets	Lower	Lower	Lower	JPM strongest
Diversification	Universal	Broad but less balanced	Capital markets heavy	Domestic retail heavy	JPM best
Current price vs 52-week high	Ally Dec-25 reference	Group avg 97.80%	Group avg 97.80%	Group avg 97.80%	JPM extended

JPMorgan wins on quality. It loses on entry price because the market already recognizes that quality.

9. KEY METRICS SUMMARY

Metric	Value	Source/Period
Ticker / Exchange	JPM / NYSE	Data set
Current Price	\$331.28 USD (€295.80)	Authoritative live
Market Cap	\$946.93B (Yahoo)	Recent
Total Assets	\$4.4T	Mar 31, 2025
FY2025 Revenue	\$182.447B	SEC 10-K
FY2025 Net Income	\$57.048B	SEC 10-K
FY2025 Diluted EPS	\$20.02	SEC 10-K
TTM Revenue	\$186.33B	Yahoo
TTM Net Income to Common	\$63.63B	Yahoo
TTM Diluted EPS	\$23.32	Yahoo
Trailing P/E	14.46	Yahoo
Forward P/E	13.50	Yahoo
P/B	2.54	Yahoo
Tangible Book Value/Shr	\$116.73	ChartMill
Price/Tangible Book	~2.84	Calculated

Metric	Value	Source/Period
Operating Margin (TTM)	50.39%	Yahoo
Net Margin (TTM)	34.92%	Yahoo
ROE (TTM)	17.79%	Yahoo
ROA (TTM)	1.36%	Yahoo
Fitch IDR / VR	AA- / aa- stable	Fitch, Feb 2025
Dividend Yield	Not provided	Data gap
FCF per share	-\$61.14 (not meaningful for bank)	ChartMill TTM

10. MONITORING CHECKLIST

Item	Trigger	Action
Q3 2026 earnings	Report date October 13, 2026	Review NII guidance, credit provision, capital market
Managed revenue growth	Below 8% y/y	Re-evaluate base case
Net interest income guidance	Cut from prior guide	Downside risk flag
Credit provision	Exceeds \$4B quarterly or rising trend	Escalate credit risk
Entry price	\$307 or lower	Evaluate BUY with 10% margin
Price to tangible book	Exceeds 3.1x	Valuation risk elevated
Analyst target refresh	New consensus above current price	Reconsider if material
Fed capital rule news	Material change	Re-run downside scenario
Insider sales	Clusters above \$10M in a quarter	Management alignment review
Credit spreads / HYG	Sudden widening	Macro risk flag

11. MARKET EXPECTATIONS & CONSENSUS

The current price implies a specific growth path. At \$331.28, the trailing P/E of 14.46 implies trailing EPS near \$22.91. The forward P/E of 13.50 implies forward EPS near \$24.54. That is roughly 7.1% EPS growth over the next twelve months embedded in the multiple. That is not demanding. The market is not pricing a blow-off growth scenario at the multiple level. The concern is the other way: the stock rallied 36% over one year as of the August 2025 Benzinga snapshot, and much of that return came from multiple expansion and momentum rather than an accelerating earnings trajectory. The current price now sits above the August 2025 consensus target of \$270.70 and above the July 2025 recent-review average of \$317.67.

Consensus opinion is split and partly stale. The 23-analyst sample from Benzinga rated JPM a Hold with a target of \$270.70, high \$340 from BofA and low \$159 from HSBC. The three most recent July 2025 reviews averaged \$317.67. JPM subsequently delivered Q2 2026 results that blew through the +10.7% EPS expectation with +23.7% ex-notables growth. Morningstar's post-earnings headline says shares are fully valued. Short interest and days-to-cover are not covered in the data set. Analyst consensus as of the most recent data is not refreshed for the October 2026 valuation.

What validates the consensus: continued capital markets strength, a Q3 earnings beat on October 13, further upward estimate revisions, and evidence that the Kinexys white-label infrastructure opportunity converts into disclosed SaaS-like revenue. What disappoints: capital markets revenue decelerating, regulatory pressure intensifying, and another quarter where a large earnings beat produces only a low-single-digit stock move. The low price reaction to a huge beat is the clearest signal that expectations are already elevated. Forward valuation metrics from the provided data set show forward P/E 13.50, PEG 1.38 per MarketBeat or 0.61 forward per ChartMill, and EV/EBITDA not available. Beta is not provided. The stock behaves as a cyclical financial with high sensitivity to credit and rate cycles.

12. KEY BUSINESS DRIVERS

Driver 1: Managed net revenue growth

Why it matters: It measures the aggregate top-line health across all three segments.

Current level: Q2 2026 managed revenue up 14.8% y/y; Q1 2026 revenue \$46B up 8% y/y in the prior-year reference.

Bull threshold: Sustained y/y managed revenue growth above 8%.

Bear threshold: Growth below 3% or two consecutive quarters of deceleration.

Next data point: Q3 earnings October 13, 2026.

Driver 2: Capital markets revenue

Why it matters: It drives CIB earnings and is the most volatile large revenue pool.

Current level: Q1 2026 fixed income up 21% y/y, equities up 17% y/y.

Bull threshold: Fixed income and equities both grow double digits.

Bear threshold: Markets revenue flat to negative, indicating deal and trading slowdown.

Next data point: Q3 earnings October 13, 2026.

Driver 3: Credit cost normalization

Why it matters: Provision expense converts credit risk into income statement impact.

Current level: Provision for credit losses \$1.884B, down from \$2.275B.

Bull threshold: Provision remains below \$2.5B quarterly with stable NPL trends.

Bear threshold: Provision exceeds \$4B quarterly or rising charge-off commentary, signaling credit deterioration.

Next data point: Q3 earnings October 13, 2026.

Driver 4: Asset & Wealth Management net inflows

Why it matters: Long-term inflows build fee income and reduce earnings cyclicality.

Current level: Q1 2026 long-term net inflows \$54B; AWM revenue \$6.4B up 11% y/y; pre-tax margin 35%.

Bull threshold: Quarterly long-term net inflows above \$40B with stable or rising margin.

Bear threshold: Negative net inflows or margin below 30%.

Next data point: Q3 earnings October 13, 2026.

Driver 5: Expense discipline

Why it matters: Operating leverage determines whether revenue growth converts to EPS growth.

Current level: Operating margin 50.39% TTM.

Bull threshold: Operating margin stable or improving as revenue grows.

Bear threshold: Margin compression exceeding 200 basis points from regulatory or technology spend.

Next data point: Q3 earnings October 13, 2026.

13. PROXY TRACKERS & LEADING INDICATORS

Proxy	Ticker/Symbol	Relationship	Signal
Financial Select Sector SPDR	XLF	Leads large-cap bank equity sentiment	Bullish if XLF holds above 50-day MA and JPM outperforms; bearish if XLF breaks below 50-day MA and JPM underperforms
SPDR S&P Regional Bank ETF	KRE	Tracks credit stress and regional bank performance	Bullish if KRE outperforms XLF; bearish if KRE underperforms XLF
10-Year Treasury Yield	TNX	Drives net interest margin expectations	Bullish if yield stable or rising; bearish if yield falls
iShares High Yield Corporate Bond ETF	HYG	Proxy for credit spreads	Bullish if HYG rises (spreads tighten); bearish if HYG falls (spreads widen)

Monitoring rule: If XLF breaks below its 50-day moving average and KRE underperforms by more than 5% within five days, re-evaluate the JPM thesis immediately.

EXIT TRIGGERS (If BUY recommendation):

This recommendation is HOLD, not BUY, so exit triggers apply to the potential future entry and to risk monitoring.

- Q3 2026 earnings on October 13, 2026 show a cut to NII guidance or credit provision above \$4 billion: Re-evaluate the base case and downside assumptions.
- Price falls to \$307 or lower: Review for BUY, provided Q3 earnings confirm earnings quality and no new solvency concerns appear.
- Price-to-tangible book exceeds 3.1x without corresponding earnings revision: Maintain HOLD and do not chase.
- Analyst consensus target refreshes above \$360 with positive estimate revisions: Reconsider the valuation ceiling.
- Credit spreads widen sharply (HYG drops more than 2% in a month and KRE underperforms): Treat as a systemic risk signal and defer any new bank exposure.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION: HOLD / WATCHLIST

JPMorgan Chase is a dominant, diversified, investment-grade franchise with strong current earnings and a proven ability to gain share in stress. The business passes the solvency gate. The entry price fails the preservation gate. At \$331.28, the stock trades at a forward P/E of 13.50 and a price-to-tangible-book ratio near 2.84, with only 2.9% upside to a base-case fair value of \$341. The bear case is negative on a price-only basis, and the data set lacks the dividend yield and regulatory capital metrics needed to compute a complete total-return floor. Under the wealth preservation framework, a BUY requires a bear case total return of at least zero and a base case total return above 7%. Neither condition is demonstrable at the current price. A more attractive entry is \$307, which restores a ten percent margin of safety against fair value. Wait for that price or for a material improvement in the missing data before deploying capital.

ANALYST NOTES

Key Assumptions:

- Forward EPS near \$25.26 from ChartMill is used for near-term fair value.
- Base-case fair value applies the current forward P/E of 13.50, not an expansion.
- Dividend yield and payout ratio are assumed unavailable rather than estimated.
- Bank-specific capital ratios (CET1, ROTE, NPL) are not in the supplied data set.

Limitations:

- No dividend yield, payout ratio, or dividend growth data.
- No CET1, NPL, ROTE, or loan-to-deposit ratio.
- Analyst consensus targets are partly stale (August 2025) and predate Q2 2026 results.
- Short interest, beta, and days-to-cover are not provided.
- Free cash flow metrics are not meaningful for a bank and are not used.
- Insider transaction data is sparse and not sufficient for a formal governance score.

Confidence Level: MEDIUM

The franchise quality and current earnings are well documented. The recommendation rests on valuation and missing dividend data. The direction (HOLD, wait for lower entry) is clear. The precise fair value and bear-case magnitude are less certain without the absent bank capital and dividend metrics.

Report prepared for Moschovakis Capital. This analysis is for informational purposes only.

CONTINUE THE RESEARCH

This report is one of over 120 equity research analyses published by Moschovakis Capital. Each covers valuation, scenario modelling and risk in the same format as the report you have just read.

Full equity research archive

Latest published research

Enquiries and coverage requests

moschovakiscapital.com

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

This report has been prepared by Moschovakis Capital for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation, or an offer to buy or sell any security. The information contained herein is based on sources believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Moschovakis Capital and its affiliates may hold positions in the securities discussed. This document is confidential and intended solely for the recipient. Any reproduction or distribution without prior written consent is prohibited.