Moschovakis Capital

Alphabet Inc.

GOOGL

EQUITY RESEARCH REPORT

October 22, 2026

CONFIDENTIAL — FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY | NOT INVESTMENT ADVICE

GOOGL — ALPHABET INC.

Report Date: 2026-10-22

Analyst: Moschovakis Capital Research

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metric	Value
Recommendation	BUY
Current Price	$334.76 USD / €298.27 EUR
Fair Value (Base Case)	$430.00 USD
Margin of Safety	28.6%
Target Entry (if HOLD)	n/a

Return Profile	Value
Dividend Yield	~0.3%
Expected Dividend Growth	~12% CAGR
Expected Price Appreciation	~11.8% CAGR
Total Expected Return (Base)	~12.1% CAGR
Bear Case Total Return	~0.3% CAGR
Bull Case Total Return	~17.1% CAGR
Probability-Weighted Return	~10.4% CAGR

Risk Assessment	Value
Wealth Preservation Score	72 / 100
Downside Protection Score	65 / 100
Quality Score	52 / 100
Risk Level	MODERATE
Probability of >50% Loss	<10%
Recession Profile	SENSITIVE

One-Line Thesis:

Alphabet converts a dominant digital-gateway moat and a net-cash balance sheet into a double-digit base-case return with a bear case that preserves capital, while regulatory and AI-capex risks remain real but manageable at the current entry price.

INVESTMENT THESIS

Alphabet earns its place in a wealth-preservation portfolio because the core business produces cash faster than the market appears to credit, and the downside scenario does not destroy the position. The company generated $402.8 billion of revenue in FY2025, up 15.1 percent from FY2024, and posted operating income of roughly $112.4 billion in FY2024 with a 32 percent operating margin. The trailing TTM figures are distorted by non-operating gains, but the forward earnings picture is cleaner: at $334.76, the stock trades at roughly 22.5 times a normalized forward EPS of about $14.90. That is a sensible multiple for a business compounding revenue in the low-to-mid teens and holding a net-cash balance sheet with TTM EBITDA of $173.16 billion.

The moat rests on distribution, not just technology. Google Search, Android, Chrome, YouTube, Maps, Play, and Workspace create multiple daily touchpoints across the United States, EMEA, APAC, and Other Americas. The U.S. contributed $53.98 billion of Q1 2026 revenue, or roughly 49 percent, with EMEA at 29 percent and APAC at 17 percent. Advertisers cannot easily bypass that surface area, and the switching costs for advertisers, publishers, and mobile users remain high despite antitrust pressure. The cloud segment is the growth engine: Q1 2026 GCP revenue grew 63 percent year over year with a 32.9 percent operating margin, and the packet cites a $514 billion backlog. That backlog, combined with proprietary TPU hardware and first-party data, supports the bull case that AI expands rather than cannibalizes monetization.

The return hurdle clears. My base case assumes 12 percent EPS growth from a normalized forward EPS of $14.90 and a terminal forward P/E of 22. The 10-year terminal price is about $1,018, which compounds at roughly 11.8 percent per year before dividends. Adding a nominal 0.3 percent dividend yield produces a 12.1 percent total return CAGR. That exceeds the inflation-plus-4-percent hurdle by roughly 5 percentage points. The bear case assumes a recession cuts EPS from $14.90 to $10.50 before a 6 percent recovery, with a terminal P/E of 20. The terminal price lands near $335, which produces a flat price return and a roughly 0.3 percent total return CAGR after dividends. Capital is preserved in the bear case. The bull case assumes 16 percent EPS growth and a 24 terminal multiple, producing a 17.1 percent total return CAGR.

The main threats are regulatory action and capital intensity. The DOJ remedy decision remains pending, the EU DMA ruling on search data sharing carried a binding deadline of July 27, 2026, and Alphabet paid a $5.2 billion Android fine in the quarter ended June 30, 2026. Capex guidance of about $180 billion for FY2026, nearly doubling from $62 billion in FY2025 and $39 billion in FY2024, pressures near-term free cash flow. That is why FY2025 free cash flow printed at negative $5.9 billion. The bear case already absorbs a recession-driven EPS decline, so the regulatory tail risk is the bigger unknown. Even so, forced divestiture of Chrome or AdTech is not a 50/50 outcome, and Alphabet's net cash position and $173 billion EBITDA provide ample room to fund the AI buildout without solvency strain. I find the risk acceptable at this price.

Alphabet is not a dividend-income vehicle. The company initiated a modest dividend in 2024, but the current yield is below one percent. Wealth preservation here relies on business quality and valuation, not on a cash distribution. That is a weakness in the framework's income-reliability scoring, but it does not invalidate the position because the total return profile still exceeds the hurdle by a wide margin. Compared with Microsoft, Apple, Amazon, and Meta, Alphabet offers the lowest trailing earnings multiple among the large mega-cap tech cohort with usable data, while carrying negligible net debt. The stock sits 18.1 percent below its May 18, 2026 all-time high of $408.61 and roughly 28.6 percent below the consensus analyst target of $430.51. That setup supports a BUY.

1. BUSINESS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Alphabet Inc. is the holding company for Google, created in 2015 to separate the core internet business from the long-shot Other Bets. The company reports three segments: Google Services, Google Cloud, and Other Bets. Google Services houses Search, YouTube, Network advertising, Android, Play, Chrome, Hardware, and subscriptions. Google Cloud sells infrastructure, platform, and AI services. Other Bets includes Waymo and

related experimental units. The business generates revenue through online advertising across Google Network properties including Ad Manager, AdSense, and AdMob, plus cloud subscriptions, app sales, licensing, and hardware such as Pixel phones and Nest devices.

The company's competitive advantage is distribution and default positioning. Google is the default search gateway for billions of users. Android powers the largest mobile operating system. YouTube dominates online video. Chrome controls browser share. Maps, Play, Workspace, and Gmail create persistent utility across personal and professional life. That ecosystem means Alphabet has multiple consumer touchpoints and multiple ways to monetize attention, intent, and data. Umbrex, quoted in the research packet, frames the strategy as defending the role of the "default digital gateway for information, intent, media, and increasingly AI-assisted work." That description is accurate and points to a durable moat.

Revenue scale confirms the moat. FY2023 revenue was $307.394 billion. FY2024 revenue grew 14 percent to $350.018 billion. FY2025 revenue grew 15.1 percent to $402.8 billion. Operating margin expanded from 27 percent in FY2023 to 32 percent in FY2024, and the FY2025 operating margin printed at 32.0 percent, down slightly from 32.1 percent. That margin stability at over $400 billion of scale demonstrates pricing power and cost discipline. Gross margin improved from 56.6 percent in FY2023 to 58.2 percent in FY2024, and TTM gross margin reached 60.9 percent per Yahoo Finance, although that TTM figure includes the same distorting gains affecting net income.

Geographic diversification is broad. The quarterly table shows the U.S. contributing $53.98 billion in Q1 2026 out of $109.9 billion total, with EMEA at $31.47 billion, APAC at $18.29 billion, and Other Americas at $6.35 billion. The U.S. share has remained near 49 percent for the last eight quarters. No single non-U.S. region exceeds 30 percent of revenue, which reduces exposure to any one regulatory or macroeconomic environment. That said, the business is still advertising-heavy. The 10-K risk summary states more than 70 percent of 2025 revenue came from online advertising. That concentration is the primary economic vulnerability.

The moat durability is high but not beyond question. Search competition from OpenAI SearchGPT, cited at 200 million daily queries in one source, is real, and AI Overviews reduce click-through on some queries. Distribution exclusivity arrangements, including the Apple default search deal, face legal challenge. Amazon's ad network has scale and purchase data. Microsoft and Meta compete in AI and attention. Still, the packet contains no evidence that Google Search market share has collapsed. Cloud growth of 63 percent in Q1 2026 and a $514 billion backlog suggest the AI infrastructure buildout is converting into revenue. The moat is thus facing competitive and regulatory pressure, but remains operationally intact. I rate moat preservation confidence as MEDIUM to HIGH, leaning HIGH for the core search and YouTube franchises and MEDIUM for the ad-tech stack subject to DOJ remedies.

The dual-class share structure concentrates voting control in Class B shares held largely by insiders. That supports strategic continuity but also limits outside shareholder influence on issues such as capital allocation and antitrust strategy. For a wealth-preservation investor, the continuity is beneficial because management can fund AI and cloud without quarterly pressure. The tradeoff is that outside holders cannot force a more conservative capital-return policy or a breakup. I accept that tradeoff given the current valuation and cash generation.

2. FINANCIAL FORTRESS ANALYSIS

Alphabet's balance sheet is the strongest part of the preservation case, but the financial data in the packet is incomplete in two important ways. The packet does not provide a current balance sheet with debt-to-equity, current ratio, interest coverage, or a debt maturity schedule. It does provide enough indirect evidence to support a FORTRESS solvency rating.

Start with cash and debt. AI Study Room, cited in the packet, reports cash of about $115 billion and net cash of about $75 billion as of the most recent period. Alphabet also closed a $25 billion senior notes offering on August 10, 2026, with maturities stretching from 2028 through 2066. That issue materially increases debt funding capacity, but it does not eliminate the net-cash position. Yahoo Finance reports enterprise value of $4.00 trillion against a market cap near $4.10 trillion at the current price. The gap between market cap and enterprise value implies debt net of cash is minor. Using TTM net income of $244.12 billion and TTM diluted EPS of $19.93, diluted shares approximate 12.25 billion. At $334.76, market cap is roughly $4.10 trillion. If net cash is $75 billion, enterprise value is approximately $4.03 trillion. That is a fortress-like capital structure for any company, especially one with TTM EBITDA of $173.16 billion.

Interest coverage is not disclosed, but the arithmetic is favorable. TTM EBITDA of $173.16 billion against even a $100 billion debt load would imply coverage well above 8 times. Alphabet has historically carried modest debt relative to earnings, and the $25 billion issuance does not change that. The current ratio is not available, but a company with $115 billion of cash and a highly cash-generative advertising business does not have a liquidity problem. The more relevant risk is free cash flow, which turned negative in FY2025.

Free cash flow is the genuine blemish. StockTitan reports FY2025 free cash flow of negative $5.9 billion, and WSJ shows negative $5.85 billion. Against $402.8 billion of revenue, that is a negative 1.5 percent FCF margin. The cause is not operational deterioration; it is capital expenditure. Capex roughly doubled from $39 billion in 2024 to $62 billion in 2025, and management guides about $180 billion for FY2026. That is a massive infrastructure commitment to AI and cloud. Negative free cash flow in one year violates the framework's preference for five consecutive positive years, and I apply the required penalty in the scoring. The negative FCF is a capital allocation choice, not a solvency threat. Even with negative FY2025 FCF, Alphabet retains net cash and has no going-concern risk.

Earnings quality requires careful handling. Yahoo Finance reports TTM net income of $244.12 billion on $445.87 billion revenue, a 54.77 percent net margin, with quarterly earnings growth of 297.90 percent. That net margin is far above the 28.6 percent reported for FY2024 and the 24.0 percent for FY2023. The gap signals large non-operating or non-cash items, likely investment gains, tax valuation allowances, or equity mark-to-market adjustments. The $5.2 billion Android fine paid in Q2 2026 would reduce net income, not increase it, so the TTM gain is even more unusual. I do not treat the $19.93 TTM diluted EPS as a sustainable earnings base. The FY2025 net income of $132.2 billion, the most recent fiscal-year figure, is a cleaner reference, implying roughly $10.75 per share before the TTM distortions. The forward EPS of about $14.90 embedded in the forward P/E is the most representative earnings input for valuation. Earnings quality is therefore ACCEPTABLE with a caveat: use forward and normalized figures, not the inflated TTM net margin.

Dilution is not assessable. The packet contains no five-year share count history, no SBC detail, and no annualized dilution rate. Alphabet has historically repurchased shares, with AI Study Room citing $72 billion of buybacks in 2025. Those buybacks offset stock-based compensation and likely keep share count flat or slightly declining. Without the share count data, I flag this as a data gap rather than a red flag.

ROIC is also not assessable from the packet. Yahoo reports ROE of 48.68 percent TTM, but that uses inflated net income and does not measure return on invested capital. Alphabet almost certainly earns above its cost of capital, but I cannot verify the exact ROIC or its five-year trend from the supplied data. I assign no points for capital efficiency in the quality score and note that the missing ROIC data likely understates the true business quality.

Stress test: if revenue fell 30 percent for two years, Alphabet would remain solvent. Advertising revenue would compress, cloud growth would slow, and EBIT would fall sharply. But a company with $115 billion of cash, $173 billion of TTM EBITDA, and modest net debt would not need dilutive equity raises. It could fund capex, service

the $25 billion notes, and maintain the nominal dividend. The bigger question is whether management would choose to cut capex or the dividend to preserve cash. The balance sheet gives them that option. Solvency assessment: FORTRESS.

3. DIVIDEND ANALYSIS

Alphabet is a dividend payer, but the dividend is too small to carry the wealth-preservation thesis. The company initiated a cash dividend in 2024, which was a notable shift for a business that had historically returned capital only through buybacks. The packet does not provide the current per-share dividend rate, the dividend yield, the payout ratio, the five-year dividend growth rate, or the consecutive-year count. The peer table lists GOOGL dividend yield as "n/p," meaning not provided. I therefore cannot populate the standard dividend sustainability table with sourced figures.

On available evidence, I estimate the current yield at roughly 0.3 percent based on the 2024 initiation and the current $334.76 price. That estimate is intentionally conservative and is not a sourced data point. The payout ratio is likely very low. Using a normalized EPS of $14.90 and a plausible annual dividend near $1.00, the payout ratio would be roughly 7 percent. Even if the annual dividend is higher after increases, the payout on $14.90 of earnings would remain below 20 percent. That is a ROCK SOLID coverage profile from an earnings and free cash flow standpoint.

The problem is the framework's income-reliability test. A 0.3 percent yield with a short dividend history earns no points for yield, dividend growth, or long-term dividend consistency. The dividend is too new to have demonstrated recession resilience, and its yield is far below the 2 to 4 percent sweet spot. A wealth-preservation investor who requires current income will not find it here. The dividend is a supplementary return, not the primary source of downside protection.

Dividend sustainability is nonetheless high. The company has net cash, $173 billion of TTM EBITDA, and a payout ratio that leaves enormous cushion. A 40 percent decline in earnings would not push the payout above 20 percent. The dividend could be maintained through a recession without strain, even with the $180 billion capex program. The main risk is that management could slow dividend growth, not that it would cut the dividend. I rate dividend sustainability as SUSTAINABLE despite the low yield, because the coverage and balance sheet are unambiguously strong. The income-reliability sub-score in the quality assessment remains zero under the framework's exact thresholds, and I flag that as a limitation of applying an income-oriented framework to a business that returns value primarily through growth and repurchases.

4. VALUATION ANALYSIS

The valuation picture is attractive only after correcting for distorted trailing earnings. Yahoo Finance reports a trailing P/E of 17.62 and a forward P/E of 22.83. At the current $334.76 price, the trailing P/E on the inflated $19.93 TTM EPS is approximately 16.8. The forward P/E based on a forward EPS of $14.90 is approximately 22.5. The trailing multiple looks cheap against the five-year quarterly average of about 22.1, and Simply Wall St puts the fair P/E at 27.6 versus the current 17.1. But that cheapness is an artifact of a 54.77 percent TTM net margin that cannot persist.

The forward P/E of 22.5 is the honest multiple. It is not cheap on an absolute basis, but it is reasonable for a company with a net-cash balance sheet, a durable advertising franchise, and a cloud segment growing 63 percent year over year with a $514 billion backlog. The consensus revenue growth forecast from Alpha Spread is 16 percent, with gross profit growing 20 percent and operating income growing 21 percent. The 13-year historical revenue CAGR was 18 percent. The market is paying 22.5 times forward earnings for a business expected to compound operating income at roughly 21 percent. That is not a stretched price.

EV/EBITDA requires reconciliation. Yahoo Finance reports 12.74, but that number conflicts with Yahoo's own EV of $4.00 trillion and EBITDA of $173.16 billion, which imply about 23.1 times. At the current market cap of roughly $4.10 trillion and net cash of $75 billion, EV is approximately $4.03 trillion. Dividing by $173.16 billion produces an EV/EBITDA of about 23.3 times. I use 23.3 as the corrected figure. That is consistent with recent quarter-end readings of 18.9 at March 2026 and 21.7 at December 2025 after adjusting for the current market cap. P/B is approximately 6.8, below the recent six-point average of about 8.08. P/S is unusable because the packet contains conflicting values of 9.64 and 4.60.

Analyst consensus supports the valuation. The consensus target is $430.51 from 54 analysts, with a range of $318.15 to $540.75. From the current $334.76, the consensus implies 28.6 percent upside. Basis Report shows 57 Buy, 5 Hold, and 0 Sell among 62 analysts. Institutional ownership is 81.1 percent, short float is 1.39 percent, and days to cover is 3.19. The stock sits 18.1 percent below its May 18, 2026 all-time high of $408.61 and trades near the lower end of the analyst target range.

I derive a base-case fair value of $430.00 using a 10-year discounted terminal value calculation. The steps are explicit. Normalized forward EPS is $14.90. Base-case EPS growth is 12 percent. The 10-year EPS is $14.90 times 1.12 to the tenth power, or approximately $46.28. Applying a terminal forward P/E of 22 produces a terminal price of approximately $1,018. Discounting that terminal price back to today at a required return of 9 percent gives $1,018 divided by 1.09 to the tenth power, or approximately $430. The math: 1.09 raised to the tenth power is about 2.367, and $1,018 divided by 2.367 equals $430. That fair value produces a margin of safety of 28.6 percent at the current $334.76 price. The margin of safety exceeds the 10 percent threshold required for a BUY.

The valuation carries two key sensitivities. If terminal P/E compresses to 20 instead of 22, the fair value falls to about $391, reducing the margin of safety to 16.8 percent. If EPS growth slows to 10 percent and the terminal multiple is 20, the fair value falls to about $334, eliminating the margin of safety. Those sensitivity cases demonstrate that the current price requires continued double-digit earnings growth and a stable multiple. The base case is reasonable, but the stock is not deeply discounted on a forward basis.

5. SCENARIO ANALYSIS

The scenario table frames the range of outcomes over a 10-year horizon. The base case assumes Alphabet maintains its core search franchise while converting cloud and AI investment into earnings. EPS grows from a normalized $14.90 at 12 percent annually. The terminal forward P/E of 22 reflects a quality business with no aggressive re-rating. The 10-year terminal price of roughly $1,018 produces an 11.8 percent price CAGR. Adding the nominal dividend yield of 0.3 percent gives a 12.1 percent total return. That clears the inflation-plus-4-percent hurdle by roughly 5 percentage points and compensates for the risk.

The bear case is the capital-preservation test. It assumes a recession arrives within the first two years, advertising revenue declines, and cloud growth decelerates. Normalized EPS falls from $14.90 to $10.50, a 30 percent decline. Alphabet then recovers from the trough at 6 percent annually for eight years, ending year 10 with EPS of approximately $16.74. The terminal forward P/E compresses to 20 as the market de-rates the growth profile. The terminal price is approximately $335, essentially flat versus today. Price return compounds at roughly zero percent. Adding the 0.3 percent dividend produces a 0.3 percent total return CAGR. Capital is preserved, but there is no meaningful return in the bear case. The more painful risk is intra-period drawdown, not the 10-year endpoint. If EPS troughs at $10.50 and the market applies a recession multiple of 15, the stock could trade near $158, a 53 percent decline from current. The bear case over 10 years recovers because the franchise remains intact, but a preservation investor must tolerate severe interim volatility. That is the difference between permanent capital loss and temporary drawdown.

The bull case assumes AI monetization accelerates across cloud, ads, and subscriptions. EPS grows 16 percent annually from $14.90 to approximately $65.73. The terminal forward P/E expands to 24 as the market re-rates the business for sustained cloud and AI optionality. The terminal price is approximately $1,578. The price CAGR is about 16.8 percent, and total return with dividends is 17.1 percent. This case matches the more optimistic analyst targets near the high end of the consensus range.

Probability weighting uses 25 percent for bear, 50 percent for base, and 25 percent for bull. The probability-weighted expected return is 0.25 times 0.3 percent plus 0.50 times 12.1 percent plus 0.25 times 17.1 percent. That equals 0.075 plus 6.05 plus 4.275, or 10.4 percent CAGR. The probability-weighted return clears the hurdle by 3.4 percentage points. The base case drives the result, and the bear case prevents catastrophic loss at the 10-year horizon.

The scenario table follows.

Scenario	10-Year Price Target	Total Return CAGR	Weight	Contribution
Bear	$334.80	0.3%	25%	0.08%
Base	$1,018.00	12.1%	50%	6.05%
Bull	$1,578.00	17.1%	25%	4.28%
Expected		10.4%	100%	10.4%

The bear case total return of 0.3 percent satisfies the absolute requirement that the bear case must be zero or positive for a wealth-preservation BUY. The base case 12.1 percent satisfies the requirement to beat the 7 percent hurdle by a wide margin. The scenario balance is favorable: the upside is open-ended, while the 10-year downside is flat to slightly positive. The caveat is that the bear case assumes no forced breakup of the ad-tech stack and no structural loss of search default distribution. Those regulatory tail risks are handled in the Risk Assessment.

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

Regulatory risk is the largest threat to permanent capital. The DOJ remedy decision in the search monopoly case remains pending. The worst case described in the packet is forced divestiture of Chrome or AdTech. The EU DMA ruling on search data sharing carried a binding deadline of July 27, 2026, and the preliminary findings in April 2026 required Google to share search ranking, query, and click data with rivals. If the final ruling mandates broad, low-cost access to that data, the search moat weakens. Alphabet also paid a $5.2 billion Android fine in Q2 2026, confirming that European regulators continue to extract penalties. The EU Google Shopping fine of $2.7 billion remains a precedent. Regulatory fines are manageable for a company with $173 billion TTM EBITDA, but structural remedies are not. A forced divestiture of AdTech or Chrome would remove a revenue stream and reduce ecosystem integration.

AI disruption is a live competitive threat. The packet flags zero-click AI Overviews reducing click-through rates and OpenAI SearchGPT at 200 million daily queries. If users shift from search result pages to AI answers, Google's ad monetization could shrink even if Google itself supplies the AI. Amazon's ad network has scale and purchase data. Meta competes for ad budgets. Apple's ad business expands. Alphabet's own AI products, including Gemini and AI Overviews, may offset some of this by keeping users inside the ecosystem and monetizing AI queries. The bull case depends on AI expanding rather than cannibalizing monetization across search, YouTube, and subscriptions. The base case assumes this persists. The bear case already includes a recession, but it does not fully model a structural loss of search share.

Capital intensity is the central financial risk. Capex nearly doubles to about $180 billion in FY2026 from $62 billion in FY2025. That spending caused FY2025 free cash flow of negative $5.9 billion. If AI demand fails to outrun infrastructure buildout, Alphabet will have spent heavily for returns that arrive slowly. The $20 billion Anthropic investment cited in the packet carries uncertain ROI. Bearhold identifies custom TPU economics as a thesis breaker: running workloads on proprietary TPUs instead of NVIDIA GPUs keeps compute costs below market rates. If TPU economics fail, margins compress. Power availability is another constraint, with over 240 clean energy contracts representing nearly 35 gigawatts globally and nuclear and geothermal PPAs in place. The $4.75 billion Intersect Power acquisition supports that buildout. The risk is not solvency; it is persistent FCF dilution.

Advertising concentration is the core business risk. More than 70 percent of 2025 revenue came from online advertising, and advertisers can terminate at will. A recession reduces ad budgets faster than subscriptions or cloud. The geographic and product mix provides some cushion, but the business remains cyclical. Google Services revenue grew 14 percent to $95.9 billion in Q4 2025, with Search up 17 percent and YouTube ads up 9 percent. YouTube ads plus subscriptions exceeded $60 billion for full-year 2025. YouTube growth of 12.9 percent in Q2 2026 lagged Netflix's 15.4 percent two-year rate, a modest negative signal. If YouTube decelerates further while TikTok and Netflix take share, the advertising growth engine loses momentum.

Litigation and liability risks are present but not existential. The packet lists AI liability categories including harmful content, discrimination, IP infringement, defamation, privacy, and cybersecurity. No specific AI damages are quantified. The privacy fine referenced in one headline suggests ongoing privacy enforcement. Alphabet concedes it may not identify or resolve all AI deficiencies before they arise. ESG risk is limited to data privacy, environmental regulation, and AI safety. No accounting restatement, going-concern language, or auditor warning appears in the packet.

Permanent loss probability is low. The bear case 10-year total return is flat, not negative. The balance sheet is fortress-like. The probability of a greater than 50 percent decline that does not recover within five years requires a structural breakup or a collapse in search and AI monetization. I estimate that probability below 10 percent. The more realistic risk is a 30 to 40 percent temporary drawdown during a recession or a negative regulatory ruling. For a wealth-preservation mandate, that is acceptable because the capital returns at the 10-year horizon.

7. MANAGEMENT & GOVERNANCE

The packet provides limited direct evidence on management quality, succession, compensation, or capital allocation history. The search results mention that Levelheaded Investing begins its series with leadership, but the excerpt contains no conclusions. Umbrex confirms the dual-class structure: Class A GOOGL and Class C GOOG trade publicly, while Class B super-voting shares remain largely with insiders. That structure concentrates control and supports strategic continuity. It also limits outside shareholders' ability to challenge executive pay or force a breakup.

Sundar Pichai remains CEO, and the Form 4 filings listed in the packet include Pichai, board members R. Martin Chavez and Roger W. Ferguson Jr., and a Form 3 for Marsida Saraci. Those are ownership filings, not evidence of misconduct. The DEF 14A was filed April 24, 2026, and the packet provides no proxy-statement detail on pay structure or performance metrics. Insider transaction data exists in the EDGAR index, but the packet does not include transaction amounts or directions. I cannot assess insider buying or selling.

Capital allocation history is partially documented. The company repurchased approximately $72 billion of stock in 2025 per AI Study Room. That is the dominant capital-return tool. Alphabet initiated a dividend in 2024, adding a small income component. The company filed a $25 billion senior notes offering in August 2026, maturities from 2028 through 2066, which increases debt funding capacity. Acquisition history includes Android, YouTube,

DoubleClick, Nest, Fitbit, Looker, and Mandiant, but the packet provides no five-year M&A spend. The $4.75 billion Intersect Power acquisition and the $20 billion Anthropic investment are the recent notable allocative decisions. The Anthropic investment's ROI is uncertain, and the capex buildout is aggressive. Management's willingness to spend $180 billion on AI infrastructure signals conviction, but it also elevates the execution risk.

No red flags appear in the packet. No related-party transactions, no accounting restatement, no auditor warning, and no insider selling pattern are identified. The dual-class structure is a governance limitation because outside holders have reduced voting power. The parent holding company structure includes Other Bets such as Waymo that may not generate profits near term, creating a subsidy from the core business. That subsidy is acceptable if Waymo or other bets eventually monetize, but it is a source of potential value leakage if they do not. Management quality is not verifiable from the supplied data, so I do not award points for management quality in the quality score. On the qualitative framework, I rate management as GOOD based on the operational results and capital-return history, but the evidence is thinner than ideal.

8. PEER COMPARISON

The peer set is Apple, Microsoft, Amazon, and Meta. The packet provides inconsistent and incomplete data. The table below reflects only sourced figures. Alphabet's trailing P/E of 16.92 from CSIMarket is the lowest among the group with usable data. Apple trades at 38.27 to 39.48 times. Microsoft trades at 29.47 times. Amazon trades at 20.23 times. Meta's P/E is not provided. Alphabet also shows the highest Q2 2026 revenue growth at 24.2 percent among the peers, ahead of Amazon's 19.9 percent, Microsoft's 17.7 percent, Apple's 16.4 percent, and only Meta's 28.0 percent higher.

Balance sheet data is sparse. Microsoft has the strongest reported capital-preservation metrics among peers with usable data: debt/equity of 0.29, interest coverage of 14.8, dividend yield of 1.38 percent, and FCF margin of 23.6 percent. Apple has debt/equity of 0.46, interest coverage of 18.6, dividend yield of 0.71 percent, and FCF margin of 30.1 percent. Apple's reported EV/EBITDA of 1,543.18 is an outlier and should not be used. Microsoft's EV/EBITDA is 8.91, but that appears stale relative to Microsoft's current market cap. Alphabet's balance sheet metrics are not provided in the peer table, but the net cash and $173 billion EBITDA profile place it at least as strong as Microsoft and Apple on solvency.

Alphabet does not lead the income screen. Microsoft's 1.38 percent yield is the highest among the mega-cap peers with data, and Apple's 0.71 percent is higher than Alphabet's estimated 0.3 percent. An income-oriented preservation investor would prefer Microsoft or Apple on dividend yield. Alphabet compensates with a lower trailing earnings multiple and faster revenue growth. Meta has the highest revenue growth at 28.0 percent but lacks balance sheet, dividend, and FCF data in the packet, so a full comparison is impossible.

The peer comparison supports Alphabet as the growth-and-value hybrid in the group. It is the cheapest on trailing earnings among the peers with usable P/E data while delivering the second-fastest revenue growth. Its net cash balance sheet removes the leverage risk that weighs on some peers. The primary peer-based weakness is the low dividend yield and the advertising concentration. For a wealth-preservation mandate that accepts capital gains as the primary return, Alphabet compares well. For an income mandate, Microsoft is the better fit.

Company	P/E	EV/EBITDA	Debt/Equity	Interest Coverage	Dividend Yield	FCF Margin	Revenue Grow
GOOGL	16.92–17.25	n/p	n/p	n/p	~0.3% (est.)	n/p	+24.2%
AAPL	38.27–39.48	1,543.18*	0.46	18.6	0.71%	30.1%	+16.4%
MSFT	29.47	8.91	0.29	14.8	1.38%	23.6%	+17.7%

Company	P/E	EV/EBITDA	Debt/Equity	Interest Coverage	Dividend Yield	FCF Margin	Revenue Gro
AMZN	20.23	n/p	n/p	n/p	n/p	n/p	+19.9%
META	n/p	n/p	n/p	n/p	n/p	n/p	+28.0%

Apple EV/EBITDA outlier; treat as data error.

9. KEY METRICS SUMMARY

Metric	Value	Source/Note
Price	$334.76 / €298.27	Authoritative live price
Market Cap	~$4.10T	Derived from 12.25B shares
Enterprise Value	~$4.03T	Market cap less ~$75B net cash
FY2024 Revenue	$350.018B	SEC 10-K
FY2025 Revenue	$402.8B	StockTitan
FY2025 Revenue Growth	+15.1%	StockTitan
FY2024 Operating Margin	32%	SEC 10-K
FY2025 Operating Margin	32.0%	StockTitan, down from 32.1%
FY2025 Net Income	$132.2B	StockTitan
TTM Revenue	$445.87B	Yahoo Finance
TTM Net Income	$244.12B	Yahoo Finance, includes non-operating gains
TTM Diluted EPS	$19.93	Yahoo Finance, distorted
Normalized Forward EPS	~$14.90	Derived from forward P/E
TTM EBITDA	$173.16B	Yahoo Finance
FY2025 Free Cash Flow	–$5.9B	StockTitan
Trailing P/E	~16.8	Current price / TTM EPS
Forward P/E	~22.5	Current price / normalized fwd EPS
Corrected EV/EBITDA	~23.3	EV $4.03T / EBITDA $173.16B
P/B	~6.8	From Yahoo 6.90 adjusted
5-Year Avg Trailing P/E	~22.1	Simply Wall St quarterly series
Analyst Consensus Target	$430.51	54 analysts
Analyst Target Range	$318.15–$540.75	Alpha Spread
Short Float	1.39%	Chartmill
Days to Cover	3.19	Chartmill
Institutional Ownership	81.1%	Basis Report

Metric	Value	Source/Note
Beta	1.21	Admiral Markets
52-Week Range	$235.84–$408.61	Admiral Markets
All-Time High	$408.61	May 18, 2026

10. MONITORING CHECKLIST

Trigger	Threshold	Action
Google Cloud revenue growth	Falls below 20% y/y for two consecutive quarters	Re-test base case
Google Cloud operating margin	Stalls below 15%	Downgrade growth and FCF assumptions
Search revenue vs. paid-click growth	Revenue growth falls below click growth	Flag monetization deterioration
YouTube ad growth	Falls below 10% y/y	Review competitive share loss
Capex	FY2026 exceeds $180B without FCF recovery	Reassess FCF trajectory
FCF	Remains negative through FY2026	Reduce position or add hedging
DOJ remedy ruling	Structural divestiture of Chrome or AdTech	Immediate re-review; likely avoid
EU DMA final ruling	Broad search data sharing mandated	Re-test moat durability
Dividend	Any cut	Re-review thesis; exit if payout policy changes
Stock price	Reaches $430+ without EPS revision	Trim position
Stock price	Falls below $260 on no fundamental change	Reassess margin of safety
Android/further fines	Cumulative fines exceed $10B in 24 months	Factor into normalized earnings
Anthropic investment	Impairment or write-down	Reassess capital allocation discipline

11. MARKET EXPECTATIONS & CONSENSUS

The current stock price embeds a moderate growth expectation, not a bearish one. At 22.5 times forward earnings, the market assumes Alphabet will compound EPS at roughly low-to-mid teens with stable or expanding margins. The consensus financial forecast from Alpha Spread models revenue CAGR of 16 percent, gross profit CAGR of 20 percent, and operating income CAGR of 21 percent. The 13-year historical revenue CAGR was 18 percent, so consensus already models deceleration. The current price appears to credit that deceleration without paying a distressed multiple.

Analyst consensus is bullish. Alpha Spread reports a 58-analyst consensus target of $433.50, while Tickzen reports $430.51 from 54 analysts. The range stretches from $318.15 to $540.75. At the current $334.76, the consensus target implies 28.6 percent upside. Basis Report shows 57 Buy, 5 Hold, and 0 Sell among 62 analysts. Public.com reports a Buy consensus from 37 analysts with 46 percent Buy, 11 percent Hold, and zero Sell, though the buckets sum to 57 percent and are incomplete. The 92 percent Buy skew from Alpha Spread leaves little room for upgrade-driven support. A downgrade cycle would hurt.

Short interest is low. Short float is 1.39 percent and days to cover is 3.19. The market is not positioned for a significant decline. That reduces short-squeeze upside, but it also signals that the bear narrative has not accumulated heavy positioning. Institutional ownership is 81.1 percent, indicating a mature, widely held

mega-cap stock.

The bull narrative rests on cloud and AI. The packet cites Google Cloud revenue rising 82 percent to $24.8 billion with operating income more than tripling to $8.8 billion, plus a $514 billion backlog. Bulls argue AI is expanding monetization across ads, subscriptions, and consumer engagement rather than cannibalizing search. Proprietary TPU hardware and first-party data provide margin leverage and unusual demand visibility. The bull case from Basis Report implies 49 percent upside, consistent with the high end of the target range.

The bear narrative rests on AI demand versus infrastructure buildout and regulation. One simply quoted bear case says AI demand must outrun infrastructure buildout. Another says regulatory pressures and competitive threats will shackle future prospects. A third says the easy money is gone and Alphabet is now a show-me story. The bear case from Basis Report implies a 2 percent decline, much less severe than the macro recession case I model. The gap between the analyst bear case and my 10-year bear case is notable: the analyst bear case is a one-year price target, while my bear case includes a recession. Both produce roughly flat returns at the 10-year horizon.

What would validate the consensus: Google Cloud sustaining near the cited growth and backlog conversion, continued ad revenue growth with AI expansion, easing capex intensity, and regulatory resolution without structural remedies. What would disappoint: AI demand failing to outrun infrastructure buildout, a sustained deceleration below the 16 percent revenue CAGR, a forced ad-tech divestiture, or further EU data-sharing remedies. A target coalescing toward the $318 low rather than the $540 high would imply roughly a 5 percent decline from current, not a disaster, but it would eliminate the margin of safety.

Forward valuation from live data appears in the table below. I do not fabricate figures; where the packet omits a sector average, I mark it not provided.

Metric	Value	vs. Sector Avg	Assessment
Forward P/E	~22.5	n/p	Reasonable for growth
PEG	1.25 (Yahoo 5-yr) / 0.92 (Tickzen)	n/p	Mixed; below 1 on one source
EV/EBITDA	~23.3 corrected	n/p	Above recent 18.9–21.7 readings
Beta	1.21	n/p	Cyclical factor exposure

12. KEY BUSINESS DRIVERS

Driver 1: Google Cloud revenue growth and operating margin

Why it matters: Cloud is the primary growth engine and the main validation for the AI capex buildout.

Current level: Q1 2026 GCP revenue +63% y/y; Q1 2026 operating margin 32.9%; packet cites $514B backlog.

Bull threshold: Cloud revenue growth above 40% y/y and operating margin above 25%.

Bear threshold: Cloud revenue growth below 20% y/y or operating margin below 15%.

Next data point: Q3 2026 earnings, expected in late October 2026 after the July 22 Q2 report.

Driver 2: Search monetization per click

Why it matters: Search revenue growing faster than click volume proves AI and ad pricing are expanding, not cannibalizing, the core franchise.

Current level: Q4 2025 Google Search & other +17%; Google Services +14%; specific click volume not provided.

Bull threshold: Search revenue growth exceeds paid-click growth by at least 2 percentage points.

Bear threshold: Click volume outgrows revenue for two consecutive quarters.

Next data point: Quarterly earnings release.

Driver 3: YouTube advertising revenue growth

Why it matters: YouTube is the second advertising pillar and competes directly with Netflix, TikTok, and Meta for video ad dollars.

Current level: Q2 2026 YouTube revenue $11.06B, +12.9% y/y.

Bull threshold: YouTube ad growth above 15% y/y.

Bear threshold: YouTube ad growth below 10% y/y.

Next data point: Next quarterly earnings release.

Driver 4: Free cash flow per share and capex intensity

Why it matters: FCF/share is the ultimate measure of capital return after the AI buildout; negative FCF pressures valuation.

Current level: FY2025 FCF –$5.9B; capex FY2025 $62B; FY2026 guidance ~$180B.

Bull threshold: FCF turns positive in FY2026 and grows from there.

Bear threshold: FCF remains negative through FY2026 or capex exceeds guidance without revenue acceleration.

Next data point: FY2026 annual 10-K and quarterly cash flow statements.

Driver 5: Consolidated gross margin

Why it matters: Gross margin averaged 58.2 percent over five years and is the starting point for operating profitability; Cloud and YouTube mix shifts drag it down.

Current level: FY2024 gross margin 58.2%; TTM 60.9% distorted.

Bull threshold: Gross margin stable or expanding above 58%.

Bear threshold: Gross margin falls below 55% due to Cloud mix and AI infrastructure costs.

Next data point: Quarterly earnings release.

13. PROXY TRACKERS & LEADING INDICATORS

Proxy	Ticker/Symbol	Relationship	Signal
Communication Services SPDR	XLC	Sector basket includes GOOGL, META, NFLX, DIS	Bullish if XLC breaks above 20-day MA; b
Microsoft	MSFT	Cloud and AI peer; demand signal	Bullish if MSFT Azure growth accelerates
Amazon	AMZN	Ad network and AWS competitor	Bullish if AMZN ad growth slows; bearish
NVIDIA	NVDA	AI infrastructure demand proxy	Bullish if NVDA data-center revenue stren
HYG	HYG	High-yield credit, macro risk appetite	Bullish if spreads tighten; bearish if sprea

Monitoring rule: If XLC breaks below its 50-day moving average and NVDA shows same-week data-center weakness, revisit the AI capex and ad-demand thesis within five days.

EXIT TRIGGERS

If BUY recommendation, the following events trigger a sell or re-review.

- DOJ final remedy imposes structural divestiture of Chrome or AdTech: SELL or AVOID. A breakup removes revenue and ecosystem synergies.
- EU DMA final ruling mandates broad, low-cost search data sharing: RE-REVIEW. The moat narrows materially.
- Google Cloud growth decelerates below 20 percent y/y for two consecutive quarters: RE-REVIEW. The primary growth engine stalls.
- Cost per click or paid-click growth inverts such that clicks grow faster than revenue for two quarters: RE-REVIEW. Monetization weakens.
- FY2026 free cash flow remains negative despite $180 billion capex: REDUCE. The AI buildout is not converting to cash.
- Dividend cut: SELL. Unlikely, but it would contradict the capital-preservation case.
- Stock price reaches $430 without EPS revision: TRIM. Fair value is reached; margin of safety is gone.
- Stock price falls below $260 on no fundamental deterioration: RE-REVIEW. Either the thesis is wrong or the market is offering a larger discount; reassess before averaging down.
- Cumulative regulatory fines exceed $10 billion within 24 months: RE-REVIEW. Normalized earnings need downgrade.
- Anthropic or other equity investment impairment: RE-REVIEW. Capital allocation discipline becomes questionable.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION: BUY

Alphabet passes the absolute wealth-preservation gates. The bear case total return is approximately 0.3 percent, the base case total return is approximately 12.1 percent, the solvency rating is FORTRESS, the dividend is SUSTAINABLE despite a low yield, and the probability of a greater than 50 percent permanent loss is below 10 percent. The composite Wealth Preservation Score is 72 out of 100, placing the stock in the good-candidate range for a standard position size. The margin of safety to the $430 base-case fair value is 28.6 percent.

Position sizing per the framework supports a standard position, not a full position. The stock's beta of 1.21, advertising concentration, regulatory overhang, and negative FY2025 free cash flow keep it in the MODERATE risk category. A reduced position would be acceptable for a more conservative mandate. I would size the position at the standard level and leave room to add if the DOJ remedy or a market drawdown pushes the stock toward the low end of the analyst range.

ANALYST NOTES

Key Assumptions:

- Normalized forward EPS of approximately $14.90, derived from the packet's forward P/E.
- Base-case EPS growth of 12 percent, below the 21 percent operating income CAGR in consensus.
- Terminal forward P/E of 22 for base case, 20 for bear, and 24 for bull.
- Dividend yield estimated at 0.3 percent with 12 percent dividend growth, a conservative estimate given the missing dividend data.
- Required return of 9 percent used to discount the base-case terminal value to a $430 fair value.

- Recession EPS trough of $10.50, a 30 percent decline from normalized forward EPS.

Limitations:

- The packet omits current balance-sheet detail, D/E, current ratio, interest coverage, ROIC, dividend rate, payout ratio, dividend growth, five-year share count, and FCF history.
- TTM net income of $244.12 billion and TTM EPS of $19.93 are distorted by non-operating gains and are not used as sustainable earnings.
- EV/EBITDA was corrected because Yahoo's 12.74 conflicts with its own EV and EBITDA inputs.
- Management quality and governance assessments rely on limited proxy and insider data.
- The DOJ remedy and EU DMA outcomes remain unresolved and are the largest single-company risks.
- Apple's peer EV/EBITDA appears to be a data error and is not used.

Confidence Level: MEDIUM. The business quality and balance sheet are high confidence. The earnings normalization, dividend assumptions, and regulatory outcomes introduce uncertainty. The valuation is attractive but not deeply discounted on a forward basis.

Report prepared for Moschovakis Capital. This analysis is for informational purposes only.

CONTINUE THE RESEARCH

This report is one of over 120 equity research analyses published by Moschovakis Capital. Each covers valuation, scenario modelling and risk in the same format as the report you have just read.

Full equity research archive

Latest published research

Enquiries and coverage requests

moschovakiscapital.com

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

This report has been prepared by Moschovakis Capital for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation, or an offer to buy or sell any security. The information contained herein is based on sources believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Moschovakis Capital and its affiliates may hold positions in the securities discussed. This document is confidential and intended solely for the recipient. Any reproduction or distribution without prior written consent is prohibited.

EXECUTION INFRASTRUCTURE

For the execution of positions discussed in our research, we use the following platforms for their regulatory standing, liquidity and reliability.

eToro	Equity execution — regulated, social trading infrastructure
Revolut	Multi-currency banking and FX
Interactive Brokers	Institutional-grade brokerage
Vantage	MT4/MT5 execution
Binance	Digital asset infrastructure
Hostinger	Web infrastructure

Referral links: Moschovakis Capital may receive a commission or bonus if you open an account. It does not affect our research.