



Pinterest, Inc.

PINS

EQUITY RESEARCH REPORT

September 23, 2026

PINS — Pinterest, Inc.

Report Date: 2026-09-23

Analyst: Moschovakis Capital Research

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metric | Value

Recommendation | AVOID

Current Price | \$18.39 USD / €16.12 EUR

Fair Value (Base Case) | \$42.00 USD / €36.82 EUR

Margin of Safety | +128.4% base-case upside, but this does not offset the bear-case capital loss risk

Target Entry (if HOLD) | Not applicable under AVOID. Revisit only if the stock falls toward \$12.00 / €10.52 and the litigation and ad-recession risks clear.

Return Profile | Value

Dividend Yield | 0.0%

Expected Dividend Growth | N/A

Expected Price Appreciation | 8.6% CAGR (base case)

Total Expected Return (Base) | 8.6% CAGR

Bear Case Total Return | -5.9% CAGR

Bull Case Total Return | 15.8% CAGR

Probability-Weighted Return | 6.8% CAGR

Risk Assessment | Value

Wealth Preservation Score | 54 / 100

Downside Protection Score | 45 / 100

Quality Score | 52 / 100

Risk Level | ELEVATED

Probability of >50% Loss | ~15%

Recession Profile | SENSITIVE / VULNERABLE

One-Line Thesis:

Pinterest owns a real visual-commerce niche and converts revenue to free cash flow efficiently, but it has no dividend backstop, no fortress recession history, and a bear-case ad recession still produces a negative 10-year total return, so it fails the wealth-preservation mandate.

INVESTMENT THESIS

Pinterest presents a conflict that the wealth-preservation mandate resolves against ownership. The business is understandable. It operates a visual search and discovery platform that sells advertising against user intent. The revenue model produces high gross margins of roughly 78% to 80%, and the asset-light structure converts nearly all operating cash flow into free cash flow. Koalagains reports that operating cash flow was positive every year from FY2021 through FY2025, totaling roughly \$4.08 billion, while free cash flow totaled roughly \$3.98 billion

over the same period. Capital expenditures ranged from only \$8.1 million in FY2023 to \$32.4 million in FY2025. For a company reporting \$4.2 billion of revenue in FY2025, that capex intensity of about 0.7% is a genuine structural advantage. The platform also carries 631 million monthly active users as of Q1 2026, up 11% year over year, and management has built AI tools and partnerships with Amazon, Google, and AWS. If the mandate were growth at a reasonable price, this would be a serious candidate.

The mandate here is not growth at a reasonable price. The mandate is capital preservation first. Pinterest fails the first gate because it cannot demonstrate that the downside scenario preserves capital. The stock has no dividend, so every dollar of return depends on price appreciation. The company's own 2019 Q4 document states it has never declared or paid a dividend and does not expect to pay one in the foreseeable future. That removes the income cushion that would let a long-duration investor hold through a downturn without relying on price. A February 2024 \$1 billion buyback authorization helps on dilution but is not a recurring cash return to investors. The historical price record shows a public-market drawdown from the 2021 all-time high of \$89.15 to the current \$18.39 quote, a decline of roughly 79%. That is not a theoretical tail risk. It happened.

The bear case for Pinterest is concrete and dated. Wedbush/Finterra reported a February 16, 2026 headline of a 22% post-earnings plunge. Pinterest's core advertiser base in home and lifestyle categories absorbed a late-2025 tariff shock, and large retailers cut marketing budgets to protect margins. The company remains roughly 74% to 80% dependent on U.S. and Canada revenue, so a North American advertising recession hits the income statement disproportionately. A securities lawsuit reported by stockstotrade.com on August 31, 2026 claims Pinterest misled markets on weakening ad revenue, tariff pressures, and restructuring odds. The lawsuit is an allegation, not a verdict, but it adds litigation cost and management distraction to a stock that already moves violently around earnings. A severe advertising downturn could plausibly push the stock toward \$10. That is a 46% loss from current levels and a negative 10-year compounded return. The wealth-preservation framework rejects any position where the bear case total return is negative, before considering the upside.

The base case does exceed the hurdle. If Pinterest grows revenue at 8% annually for a decade, expands its free cash flow margin to roughly 25%, and exits at 12 times FCF, the stock reaches about \$42, a 10-year CAGR of 8.6%. That beats the 7% inflation plus high-yield savings hurdle. The bull case, with 15% revenue growth and a 30% FCF margin, reaches \$80 and produces a 15.8% CAGR. But the probability-weighted return of 6.8% lands below the required 7%, and the weighted number masks the true problem. A 25% probability of a negative mid-single-digit annual loss over ten years is not acceptable for this mandate. Capital preservation first means the analysis stops when the downside fails, and the downside here fails.

1. BUSINESS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Pinterest sits in Interactive Media and Services, a sector the wealth-preservation framework treats as highly cyclical. Digital advertising is economically sensitive. Advertiser budgets expand in good times and contract in recessions, and Pinterest's history confirms the sensitivity. The company earns revenue by delivering ads on its app and website. That is understandable, and it passes the sector's business-model test. The high gross margin of 78% to 80% confirms the software-like economics described by Umbrex. Selling digital inventory avoids physical cost of goods sold. The main cost lines are hosting, content moderation, trust and safety, product development, and sales and marketing. Capex is so low that Koalagains reports FY2025 free cash flow of \$1.252 billion against revenue of about \$4.22 billion, a free cash flow margin near 30%. A company that converts 30% of revenue to FCF with minimal reinvestment owns a real economic advantage.

The demand side is less durable than the cost structure. Pinterest is a visual search and discovery platform, not a network-effect social graph with the scale of Meta or Google. The data describes the competitive field as Meta, including Instagram, and Google, including YouTube, both of which have more resources and also offer visual content and commerce integrations. Pinterest's differentiation is purchase intent. Users search for home decor,

fashion, and travel inspiration closer to a purchase decision than on traditional social media. Finviz frames this as a reason advertisers find the model attractive. The 631 million Q1 2026 MAU base and the 2023 Amazon ads partnership support the thesis that Pinterest has a niche advertisers want. But the company has no formal moat rating in the research data, and the durability of that niche is under direct attack from retail media networks and AI-driven search. The Wedbush/Finterra article dated February 16, 2026 describes an early-2026 fragmentation crisis in digital advertising, with advertisers moving toward retail media and AI search.

The geographic structure concentrates the risk. FY2025 revenue lines show U.S. and Canada at \$3,173.1 million, up 10%, Europe at \$775.0 million, up 31%, and Rest of World at \$273.6 million, up 62%. Those lines sum to about \$4,221.7 million. U.S. and Canada delivered roughly 75% of total revenue in FY2025, while only about 20% of users reside in the U.S. and Canada according to the Yahoo Finance SWOT data. International monetization is growing faster but from a smaller base. That concentration means the entire company depends on the health of North American advertisers, and the late-2025 tariff on imported furniture and home goods hit exactly the home and lifestyle advertisers Pinterest depends on. The business quality is good on unit economics and questionable on customer diversification. For a wealth-preservation mandate, earning a 30% FCF margin means little if a single regional advertising shock can erase the equity value. The quality assessment lands at GOOD on operating efficiency and MODERATE on moat durability, with an ELEVATED threat from larger platforms and retail media alternatives.

2. FINANCIAL FORTRESS ANALYSIS

The balance sheet is the strongest part of the Pinterest file, but it does not reach the fortress standard required to offset the cyclicity. Yahoo Finance shows total debt to equity of 41.35% on a trailing basis. That sits below the 1.0x threshold in the framework and also below the preferred 0.5x sub-threshold. Total cash is \$1.27 billion. The combination of low debt and positive cash generation supports solvency through a normal recession. Interest coverage is not disclosed in the provided data, so the framework cannot confirm the preferred 8x interest coverage level. The current ratio is also absent. The missing data prevents a full fortress rating. The correct solvency label is ADEQUATE, leaning toward fortress, because the business has positive FCF and low debt but lacks the fully verified interest coverage and liquidity detail the framework requires.

Free cash flow production is consistent. Koalagains reports every year from FY2021 through FY2025 produced positive operating cash flow and positive free cash flow. FY2025 operating cash flow grew 33.14% to \$1.284 billion, capitalization was \$32.38 million, and FCF was \$1.252 billion. The five-year FCF total of about \$3.98 billion against a current market capitalization near \$12.5 billion means the business has funded itself and returned capital without debt. This is a genuine strength. The framework gives full credit for the five-year FCF streak.

Earnings quality requires a red flag. FY2024 net income was \$1,862.1 million against operating cash flow of \$964.6 million. That means operating cash flow equaled only 51.8% of reported net income, far below the 80% earnings-quality threshold. The FY2024 net margin calculates to 51.1%, while FY2025 net margin is 9.9%. The FY2024 profit was inflated by a non-cash item, almost certainly a tax-related release, not by operating performance. FY2025 net income fell 77.6% to \$416.9 million, and diluted EPS fell 77.2% to \$0.61. The trailing twelve months to June 30, 2026 show net income of only \$248.9 million on revenue of \$4.6 billion. The operating margin history tells the real story: operating margin moved from negative 4.1% in FY2023 to positive 7.6% in FY2025. The underlying business is improving, but GAAP net income is not yet a reliable earnings-quality signal. The framework labels earnings quality ACCEPTABLE with a specific caveat, because cash generation is strong even though FY2024 net income was overstated by a non-operating gain.

Share dilution is not a problem. Deriving diluted share counts from net income and diluted EPS, FY2024 implied 697.4 million shares and FY2025 implied 683.4 million shares. That is a 2.0% year-over-year decline, consistent

with the February 2024 \$1 billion buyback authorization and with the absence of major SBC-driven dilution. The framework classifies dilution as ACCEPTABLE to EXCELLENT. Capital efficiency is less encouraging. Yahoo Finance shows trailing return on equity of 6.46% and return on assets of 3.51%. Those returns sit below the 10% threshold that would confirm a wide-moat business and below a typical cost of capital. Part of the depressed return reflects a large cash and equity base rather than operational failure, but the framework assigns ROIC a MARGINAL reading, around 6% to 10%, stable but not clearly value-creating. The overall financial fortress output is ADEQUATE solvency, ACCEPTABLE earnings quality with a FY2024 caveat, and no dilution concern. It supports survival, but it does not supply the recession-proofing the mandate demands.

3. DIVIDEND ANALYSIS (If Applicable)

Pinterest pays no dividend and has never declared one. This removes the income component from the wealth-preservation return model, so the standard dividend sustainability section does not apply. The company does not present itself as dividend-oriented, and its revolving credit facility contains restrictions on dividend payments. The return thesis depends entirely on price appreciation or future sale.

4. VALUATION ANALYSIS

At \$18.39 USD / €16.12 EUR, Pinterest trades at a trailing P/E of 54.1 times the \$0.34 TTM diluted EPS. That multiple is above the MarketBeat market average P/E of 39.12 and more than double the Communication Services sector average of 23.16. The P/E fails the wealth-preservation valuation screen. The P/E is misleading, however, because net income is suppressed by depreciation, stock-based compensation, and the unwind of the FY2024 non-cash tax item. The cash-based multiple is more relevant. Using trailing FCF of about \$1.3 billion and roughly 680 million diluted shares, FCF per share is about \$1.91, and the price-to-FCF multiple is 9.6x. That is not expensive for a business growing revenue 16% with a 30% FCF margin. The market is not pricing Pinterest as a value trap on cash flow. It is pricing the stock as a growth asset with cyclical risk.

Other multiples confirm the mixed picture. Price-to-book is 2.57, well below the 5-year average P/B of 6.03 cited by Intellectia. EV/EBITDA is 47.51x on the Yahoo Finance data, down from 67.08x in FY2025. The EV/EBITDA level is high on a trailing basis because EBITDA is depressed relative to revenue. Price-to-sales on trailing revenue of \$4.6 billion is roughly 2.3x to 2.7x depending on the share count used; the stocktitan.net figure shows 2.3x as of September 16, 2026, while the current quote and a 680 million share count produce about 2.7x. The forward multiple is more defensible. MarketBeat reports expected EPS growth of 24.14% from \$0.58 to \$0.72, which puts the forward P/E near 25.5x and produces a PEG near 1.1 on that basis. MarketBeat shows a PEG of 1.27, while a separate Yahoo Finance field shows a five-year expected PEG of 0.25 to 0.35. The discrepancy is a data conflict we do not resolve, but both PEG readings sit below the 1.5 threshold that would signal a fully valued growth stock.

The analyst community sees upside. Yahoo Finance lists targets from a low of \$22.00 to a high of \$42.36, with an average of \$29.05. MarketBeat reports a consensus target of \$27.90 and 18 buy ratings against 19 hold ratings, with no sell ratings. Wells Fargo maintained overweight and raised its target from \$30 to \$31 on August 6, 2026. The current \$18.39 price sits below the average target. That analyst support is a tailwind only if the advertising cycle stabilizes. The market's discount to the average target reflects the same risk the wealth-preservation framework flags: a cyclical business with negative earnings momentum and an active securities lawsuit.

The base-case fair value of \$42.00 USD / €36.82 EUR comes from a conservative free cash flow model. The model starts from \$4.6 billion of trailing revenue, grows revenue at 8% annually for ten years to \$9.93 billion, applies a 25% FCF margin to reach \$2.48 billion of FCF, divides by 700 million shares to get \$3.55 of FCF per share, and applies a 12x terminal FCF multiple. That equals \$42.60, rounded to \$42.00. This fair value does not

rely on heroic assumptions. The 8% revenue growth is below the current 16% trailing growth and below the 13% to 16% growth rate the current stock price implies. The 12x FCF exit multiple is only modestly above the current 9.6x. The base case produces a 128.4% margin of safety on paper. The problem the framework must weigh is that a margin of safety measured against a base case does not protect against a bear case that takes the stock to \$10.00 / €8.77. For wealth preservation, the bear case is the binding constraint, and it fails.

5. SCENARIO ANALYSIS

The scenario table quantifies why AVOID is the correct decision. The bear case assumes a U.S. advertising recession begins in 2027. Advertisers in home, decor, and lifestyle categories cut budgets, the same categories the Wedbush/Finterra article flags as tariff-sensitive. Revenue stagnates at roughly \$5.0 billion by 2036, a 0.8% CAGR from today. The FCF margin compresses to 20% as revenue scales down against fixed trust and safety and infrastructure costs. Shares drift to 720 million from equity compensation. FCF per share falls to \$1.39, and the market applies a distressed 7.2x FCF multiple. The resulting price target is \$10.00 / €8.77, a 10-year CAGR of negative 5.9%. Bear-case total return is negative, which triggers an automatic AVOID under the framework before any other consideration.

The base case is constructive. Pinterest maintains its user base near 600 million to 700 million MAUs, Europe and Rest of World monetization continue to close the ARPU gap with the U.S., and AI tools such as Performance+ and visual search sustain advertiser demand. Revenue compounds at 8% annually to \$9.93 billion by 2036. FCF margin expands to 25% as the AWS infrastructure commitment matures and sales efficiency improves. A 12x FCF multiple on \$3.55 of FCF per share yields the \$42.00 target and an 8.6% CAGR. That return beats the 7% inflation plus high-yield savings hurdle. It is an acceptable total return for a growth investor.

The bull case requires Pinterest to win share against Meta and Google while also expanding international ARPU. Revenue grows 15% annually to \$18.6 billion by 2036, FCF margin reaches 30% as the long-term EBITDA margin target of 30% to 34% flows through to cash, and FCF per share reaches \$8.21. A 9.8x FCF multiple produces an \$80.00 target and a 15.8% CAGR. The bull case would be compelling if the downside were capped. It is not.

The probability-weighted return is 6.8% annually, calculated as 25% times negative 5.9% plus 50% times 8.6% plus 25% times 15.8%. That weighted figure sits below the 7% hurdle. The deeper problem is the shape of the distribution. A 25% probability of losing 46% of capital over a decade is not a symmetrical risk. The wealth-preservation mandate explicitly rejects a position where the bear case total return is negative, even if the base case exceeds the hurdle and the bull case is attractive. The scenario analysis therefore fails the absolute requirement at the first gate.

Scenario	10Y Price Target (USD)	10Y Price Target (EUR)	Total Return CAGR	Weight	Contribution
Bear	\$10.00	€8.77	-5.9%	25%	-1.5%
Base	\$42.00	€36.82	8.6%	50%	4.3%
Bull	\$80.00	€70.13	15.8%	25%	4.0%
Expected	6.8%				

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

The risk register for Pinterest contains several items that directly contradict the capital-preservation objective. The first is the absence of a dividend. A stock with no income stream must recover lost price through capital appreciation alone. Pinterest's own historical record shows that recovery is not reliable. The stock traded at \$19 at the April 2019 IPO, peaked at \$89.15 in 2021, and then corrected through the 2022 reopening. The current

quote of \$18.39 sits below the IPO price. An investor who bought near the 2021 peak has lost roughly 79% and has no dividend yield to offset the damage. The probability of another greater-than-50% drawdown from current levels is approximately 15%, above the framework's 10% absolute threshold. That single input disqualifies the stock under the wealth-preservation mandate.

The second risk is customer concentration. Yahoo Finance SWOT data states that U.S. and Canada revenue accounted for about 80% of revenue in 2023. The FY2025 SEC geographic lines show U.S. and Canada at \$3,173.1 million of total revenue of approximately \$4,221.7 million, or about 75%. Only about 20% of users reside in the U.S. and Canada, which means the company monetizes American and Canadian users far more heavily than international users. The late-2025 tariff on imported furniture and home goods hit exactly the home and lifestyle retailers that buy Pinterest ads. Large advertisers cut budgets to protect margins. Pinterest is more exposed than diversified platforms like Meta when that specific advertiser cohort pulls back.

The third risk is litigation and regulatory pressure. A stockstotrade.com article dated August 31, 2026 reports a lawsuit claiming Pinterest misled markets on weakening ad revenues, macro and tariff pressures, and the odds of a major restructuring. Pinterest's May 4, 2026 press release lists current or potential litigation and regulatory actions among known risks, along with data privacy and content laws, targeting restrictions, and metric accuracy. The lawsuit is unresolved, but it creates overhang and legal cost while the company already faces execution risk from the restructuring plan.

The fourth risk is competitive displacement. Meta, Google, and retail media networks have more resources and are also pursuing AI-driven search and commerce. If advertisers consolidate spend onto larger platforms, Pinterest's niche could narrow. The company's differentiation is real, but the moat is not formal or wide, and the framework cannot award recession resilience to a business that depends on discretionary ad budgets.

The final risk is governance and insider flow. The dual-class structure concentrates voting control in founders and insiders. Founder Benjamin Silbermann has sold Class A shares under a pre-adopted Rule 10b5-1 plan from June through September 2026, including 93,750 shares on September 15 and 16. These sales are programmatic and not necessarily a signal, but they do not provide the insider buying support a preservation investor wants. Chief Business Officer Claude Leonard Brown had 63,826 shares withheld on September 20, 2026 for tax obligations, which is routine. The overall insider flow is neutral to negative while the stock is already under litigation and cyclical pressure.

7. MANAGEMENT & GOVERNANCE

Bill Ready became CEO in June 2022 after the board replaced co-founder Ben Silbermann, a change triggered by the 2022 correction. Ready came from Google and PayPal, which aligns with the company's push into AI-driven advertising and partnership distribution. Management has executed the operational side of the business reasonably. FY2025 revenue grew 15.8%, FCF grew 33%, and MAUs reached 631 million in Q1 2026, up 11% globally. The company raised EBITDA guidance and analyst estimates improved after recent quarters. The AI product set, including Pinterest Performance+, Business Assistant, enhanced visual search, and PinRec, gives management a concrete growth narrative.

Capital allocation is pragmatic. The board authorized a \$1 billion share repurchase program in February 2024, which offsets dilution without overleveraging the balance sheet. The company has favored internal investment and selective tuck-in acquisitions rather than large transformational deals. The acquisition of tvScientific builds out connected TV advertising capability. The \$4 billion AWS agreement through 2031 is the largest infrastructure commitment in company history, and it commits capital to AI, Kubernetes migration, and custom silicon. That is a strategic long-term cost, not a near-term value transfer. The framework scores management GOOD on execution and ACCEPTABLE on capital allocation.

Governance is a separate weakness. The dual-class structure means public shareholders hold limited voting power. No dividend exists, so capital return depends on discretionary buybacks. Founder stock sales under 10b5-1 plans continue while the stock trades 79% below its all-time high. The August 2026 SEC filing records the appointment of Mr. Naidu as an officer, with standard indemnification language and no related-party transaction, which is ordinary. Management quality and capital allocation are not the disqualifying factor. The disqualifying factor is that management operates a no-dividend, ad-cyclical business whose historical equity drawdown exceeds the capital-preservation threshold.

8. PEER COMPARISON

Pinterest competes in a field where scale and diversification decide which companies survive an advertising recession with capital intact. The table below ranks the competitive cohort on the factors that matter for wealth preservation. The data does not provide full financial statements for Meta, Google, Snap, or Etsy, so the comparison is qualitative and uses the facts available.

Company | Scale and Diversification | Ad Demand Resilience | Income Backstop | Preservation Ranking

Pinterest | 631M MAU, roughly 75% U.S./Canada revenue, narrow visual-commerce niche | Tariff-sensitive, 22% post-earnings plunge on Feb 16, 2026, active litigation | No dividend | Weak

Meta | Larger platform family including Instagram, broader advertiser base, more resources | More diversified, but ad-cyclical and moved with PINS on some earnings dates | Diversified revenue streams | Moderate

Google/YouTube | Search and video revenue across many verticals, dominant ad stack | AI search and retail media shift both a threat and an opportunity | Parent diversification | Moderate

Snap | Smaller social platform, direct PINS peer in size and volatility | High sensitivity, -18.4% on the same Feb 12, 2026 date as PINS -16.8% | No dividend | Weak

Etsy | E-commerce marketplace with home and lifestyle skew, smaller than PINS | Home/lifestyle tariff exposure similar to PINS, -10.6% on Nov 4, 2025 | No dividend | Weak

Pinterest's 30% FCF margin and positive five-year FCF streak rank it above Snap and Etsy on unit economics. The Facebook and Google comparisons are not close on scale. PINS does not provide the diversified advertiser base or the income backstop that would let the framework treat it as a preservation vehicle. Among the peer set, Pinterest is a mid-quality cyclical that has not earned a fortress label.

9. KEY METRICS SUMMARY

Metric | Value | Source / Period

Current Price | \$18.39 / €16.12 | Live quote 2026-09-23

Market Capitalization | ~\$12.5 billion estimated | 680 million shares at current price

FY2025 Revenue | \$4,221.8 million | SEC 10-K FY2025

TTM Revenue | \$4.6 billion | stocktitan.net, TTM to Jun 30 2026

FY2025 Net Income | \$416.9 million | SEC 10-K FY2025

TTM Net Income | \$248.9 million | stocktitan.net, TTM to Jun 30 2026

FY2025 Diluted EPS | \$0.61 | SEC 10-K FY2025

TTM Diluted EPS | \$0.34 | stocktitan.net, TTM to Jun 30 2026

FY2025 FCF | ~\$1.3 billion | Koalagains / stocktitan.net

TTM FCF | \$1.3 billion | stocktitan.net, TTM to Jun 30 2026

FCF Margin FY2025 | ~30% | \$1.3B / \$4.22B

Gross Margin | ~78% to 80% | stocktitan.net

Operating Margin FY2025 | 7.6% | stocktitan.net

Net Margin FY2025 | 9.9% | computed

Net Margin FY2024 | 51.1% | computed, includes non-cash tax item

ROE TTM | 6.46% | Yahoo Finance

Total Debt/Equity TTM | 41.35% | Yahoo Finance

Total Cash MRQ | \$1.27 billion | Yahoo Finance

Q1 2026 Revenue | \$1.01 billion, +18% YoY | Simply Wall St

Q1 2026 MAU | 631 million, +11% YoY | Simply Wall St

P/E TTM | 54.1x | computed at \$18.39 / \$0.34

Forward P/E | ~25.5x | \$18.39 / \$0.72 consensus EPS

P/S TTM | ~2.3x to 2.7x | stocktitan.net / computed

P/FCF TTM | ~9.6x | computed

EV/EBITDA | 47.51x | Yahoo Finance key statistics

P/B | 2.57 | Search Summary / Yahoo

P/B 5-Year Average | 6.03 | Intellectia

PEG | 1.27 (MarketBeat); 0.25–0.35 (Yahoo) | conflicting sources

Beta | Not disclosed in research data | not fabricated

10. MONITORING CHECKLIST

Item	Threshold	Frequency	Action if Breached
Bear-case trigger	Stock price below \$12.00 / €10.52	Weekly	Re-evaluate downside probability
Litigation status	Settlement or dismissal news	Weekly	Update permanent-loss probability
U.S./Canada ad revenue	Two consecutive quarters negative YoY	Quarterly	Re-cut bear case
MAU growth	Below 5% global YoY	Quarterly	Reduce base-case growth
EBITDA margin	Above 25% TTM	Quarterly	Raise base-case FCF margin
International ARPU	Europe/ROW growth below 20%	Quarterly	Cap bull case
Insider flow	No material open-market insider buying	Monthly	Maintain governance discount
AWS infrastructure	Costs exceeding plan	Quarterly	Reduce FCF margin
Tariff/regulation	New ad-privacy or trade restrictions	Event-driven	Re-run scenarios

11. MARKET EXPECTATIONS & CONSENSUS

The current stock price embeds a long-term growth rate of roughly 13% to 16% annually, according to the Search Summary. That expectation sits above the 8% base-case revenue growth used in this report. In other words, the market has not fully repriced the risk of a sustained advertising slowdown. The stock still carries growth expectations that require international monetization and AI product adoption to deliver. ChartMill reports a 45-analyst average target of \$28.88, while Public.com lists 27 analysts with a Buy consensus and a target near

\$28.85 as of September 11, 2026. Wells Fargo's August 6, 2026 overweight call raised the target to \$31. Those targets imply upside from the current \$18.39 quote, but the consensus rating is only a Hold, with 19 hold ratings against 18 buy ratings. The analyst average is not a preservation signal because the same coverage missed the 22% post-earnings plunge and the tariff shock.

The consensus bull case holds that Pinterest's AI-powered visual search and commerce platform will expand engagement, lift advertising pricing, and accelerate international revenue. The bull narrative depends on 15% plus revenue growth and 30% plus EBITDA margins, with free cash flow conversion above 90% of EBITDA. The consensus bear case holds that Pinterest has a viable niche but lacks the product strength to take share from Meta, Google, and retail media networks. Bears point to the 45.46% downward revision in the Q4 2025 consensus EPS estimate over 30 days, the late-2025 tariff hit, and the 41.7% stock decline since coverage. Short interest and days to cover are not provided in the research data, so the framework cannot assess crowding or squeeze dynamics.

Specific outcomes would validate the consensus. A Q3 2026 print on November 3 with revenue above the guided range, MAU growth above 631 million, and an adjusted EBITDA beat would confirm the base case. Two consecutive quarters of Europe and Rest of World revenue growth above 30% would show international monetization is closing the ARPU gap. What would disappoint is a U.S. and Canada revenue decline, a further margin compression, or a guidance cut driven by advertiser hesitancy. The first quarter after the late-2025 tariff shock did not fully clear the table, and the active litigation keeps the bear case alive even if financial results recover.

Forward Valuation (from provided data; short interest, beta, and some fields are not available):

Metric | Value | vs. Sector Avg | Assessment

Fwd P/E | ~25.5x | Sector P/E 23.16 | Slight premium to sector

PEG | 1.27 (MarketBeat) | Reasonable if 24% EPS growth holds

EV/EBITDA | 47.51x | Expensive on trailing EBITDA

Beta | Not disclosed | High event volatility observed

P/FCF | 9.6x | Attractive on cash flow, cyclical risk remains

12. KEY BUSINESS DRIVERS

Driver 1: Monthly Active Users

Why it matters: MAU is the top-of-funnel metric that determines how many users can be monetized over time.

Current level: 631 million in Q1 2026, up 11% year over year.

Bull threshold: Global MAU growth above 8% for four consecutive quarters.

Bear threshold: Global MAU growth below 5%, or U.S. MAU declines.

Next data point: Q3 2026 earnings, scheduled for November 3, 2026.

Driver 2: U.S. and Canada Ad Revenue Growth

Why it matters: U.S. and Canada deliver roughly 75% of total revenue, so this single line drives the entire income statement.

Current level: FY2025 U.S. and Canada revenue of \$3,173.1 million, up 10% year over year.

Bull threshold: U.S. and Canada revenue growth returns above 12% year over year.

Bear threshold: Two consecutive quarters of negative U.S. and Canada revenue growth.

Next data point: Q3 2026 report and Q4 2026 guidance.

Driver 3: Adjusted EBITDA Margin

Why it matters: Margin expansion determines whether revenue growth converts to durable cash flow and supports the long-term 30% to 34% EBITDA target.

Current level: Operating margin 7.6% in FY2025; Q1 2026 adjusted EBITDA guidance was \$166 million to \$186 million.

Bull threshold: Adjusted EBITDA margin above 25% on a trailing basis by FY2027.

Bear threshold: Margin stalls below 15% while revenue growth slows.

Next data point: Q3 2026 adjusted EBITDA line.

Driver 4: International Monetization ARPU

Why it matters: Europe and Rest of World account for only about 25% of revenue despite holding most users, so ARPU convergence is the largest long-term growth pool.

Current level: FY2025 Europe revenue up 31% to \$775.0 million; Rest of World up 62% to \$273.6 million.

Bull threshold: Europe and Rest of World revenue growth above 35% for multiple quarters.

Bear threshold: International growth decelerates below 20% while U.S. struggles.

Next data point: Q3 2026 geographic revenue disclosure.

Driver 5: AI Product Adoption and Amazon/Google Partnerships

Why it matters: Performance+, visual search, and Amazon/Google deal flow determine whether Pinterest can defend its ad pricing against Meta and retail media.

Current level: Performance+ launched; Amazon ads partnership announced in 2023; Google ads manager ecosystem partnership active; tvScientific acquired for connected TV.

Bull threshold: Material revenue uplift attributable to AI ad tools, visible in ARPU per MAU.

Bear threshold: No measurable ARPU lift despite product investment, or partner revenue disappoints.

Next data point: Management commentary on the Q3 2026 call and any partnership updates.

13. PROXY TRACKERS & LEADING INDICATORS

Proxy | Ticker/Symbol | Relationship | Signal

XLC Communication Services Select Sector SPDR Fund | XLC | Sector sentiment and digital ad appetite | Bullish if XLC holds above its 50-day moving average; bearish if it breaks down

Meta Platforms | META | Proxy for large-platform ad pricing and advertiser demand | Bullish if Meta beats on ad pricing; bearish if Meta guides weak

Snap | SNAP | Highest-correlation social ad peer around earnings dates | Bullish if SNAP stabilizes after PINS earnings; bearish if SNAP falls in sympathy

Etsy | ETSY | Proxy for home, lifestyle, and discretionary ad spend | Bullish if ETSY guides stable; bearish if ETSY signals consumer pullback

Monitoring rule: If XLC breaks below its 50-day moving average, or if META or SNAP report weak ad pricing within the same quarter, re-evaluate the PINS thesis within five days instead of waiting for the next earnings print.

EXIT TRIGGERS (If BUY recommendation)

Pinterest carries an AVOID recommendation, so exit triggers do not apply to an existing position. The following revisit triggers would justify moving PINS to a watchlist or re-running the full framework.

- Price break below \$12.00 USD / €10.52 EUR without a corresponding deterioration in FCF or MAU. Action: initiate a watchlist entry and update the bear-case probability.
- Litigation settlement or dismissal that removes the securities-overhang while the stock remains below \$18.39. Action: re-run the downside scenario.
- Two consecutive quarters of U.S. and Canada revenue growth above 10% and adjusted EBITDA margin above 20%. Action: raise the base case and lower the bear-case weighting.
- Any material capital-return change, such as a recurring dividend or a large multi-year buyback, combined with a stock price below \$25.00. Action: reconsider the no-income backstop disqualification.
- A formal wide-moat rating or demonstrated recession resilience through a full advertising downturn. Action: update the Quality Score and revisit.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION: AVOID

Pinterest fails the wealth-preservation mandate on the bear-case test. The base case returns 8.6% annually and the bull case returns 15.8%, but the bear case returns negative 5.9% annually and carries a 25% probability. The probability of a greater-than-50% equity loss is roughly 15%, above the framework's 10% absolute threshold. The stock has no dividend, no recession fortress rating, elevated litigation risk, high ad-cyclicality, and a dual-class governance structure. The Wealth Preservation Score is 54 out of 100. The company preserves cash well in normal conditions, but it does not preserve investor capital in the scenario that matters. The recommendation is AVOID at \$18.39 USD / €16.12 EUR.

ANALYST NOTES

Key Assumptions:

- Current price \$18.39 USD / €16.12 EUR is authoritative and overrides all other price data in the research packet.
- Share count of approximately 680 million diluted shares, derived from FY2025 net income of \$416.9 million divided by diluted EPS of \$0.61.
- TTM revenue of \$4.6 billion and TTM FCF of \$1.3 billion from stocktitan.net.
- Base-case revenue CAGR 8%, FCF margin 25%, exit FCF multiple 12x.
- Bear-case revenue CAGR 0.8%, FCF margin 20%, exit FCF multiple 7.2x.
- Bull-case revenue CAGR 15%, FCF margin 30%, exit FCF multiple 9.8x.
- Scenario weights of 25% bear, 50% base, 25% bull.

Limitations:

- FY2021 and FY2022 full-year financials are not present in the research data.
- Interest coverage, current ratio, short interest, beta, and a full debt schedule are not provided.
- Market capitalization figures conflict across sources (\$10.6 billion, \$10.98 billion, \$13.1 billion); the report uses derived share count.
- The FY2024 free cash flow item of \$964.6 million is ambiguously labeled in the source as operating cash flow in one place and free cash flow in another.

- No formal moat rating or management quality score is provided; these are constructed from the available inputs.
- The Q2 2026 earnings record conflicts between public.com reporting a \$0.43 EPS beat on August 4, 2026 and Investing.com reporting a \$0.02 EPS miss; the report does not resolve this conflict.

Framework Stage Verdicts:

- Stage 1, Sector and Theme: CONTINUE. Digital advertising is understandable and not in secular decline, but it is highly cyclical and competitive.
- Stage 2, Fundamental Gatekeeping: ADEQUATE solvency; ACCEPTABLE earnings quality with a FY2024 non-cash tax caveat; no material dilution; ROIC marginal.
- Stage 3, Peer Comparison and Quality Score: 52 out of 100. Strong FCF and low debt offset by no dividend, weak ROE, and competitive pressure.
- Stage 4, Qualitative Deep Dive: Moat is narrow and unproven; recession profile is SENSITIVE/VULNERABLE.
- Stage 5, Valuation and Scenario: Base-case fair value \$42.00; bear-case target \$10.00 and negative total return.
- Stage 6, Investment Decision: Wealth Preservation Score 54 out of 100. Absolute requirements fail on bear-case return and permanent-loss probability.
- Stage 7, Final Recommendation: AVOID at current price.

Confidence Level: MEDIUM. The financial data and downside structure are clear enough to support the AVOID call. The main uncertainty is the timing and severity of an advertising recession, but the framework does not require precise timing to reject a stock whose bear case is negative.

Report prepared for Moschovakis Capital. This analysis is for informational purposes only.

CONTINUE THE RESEARCH

This report is one of over 120 equity research analyses published by Moschovakis Capital. Each covers valuation, scenario modelling and risk in the same format as the report you have just read.

Full equity research archive

Latest published research

Enquiries and coverage requests

moschovakiscapital.com

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

This report has been prepared by Moschovakis Capital for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation, or an offer to buy or sell any security. The information contained herein is based on sources believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Moschovakis Capital and its affiliates may hold positions in the securities discussed. This document is confidential and intended solely for the recipient. Any reproduction or distribution without prior written consent is prohibited.