



PUREHEALTH

PUREHEALTH

EQUITY RESEARCH REPORT

June 06, 2026

PUREHEALTH — PUREHEALTH HOLDING PJSC

Report Date: 2026-06-06

Analyst: Moschovakis Capital Research

PRELIMINARY DATA INTEGRITY NOTE

Before any analysis, you need to confront a problem that overrides the framework. The data package contains a material internal contradiction that affects every downstream calculation.

The authoritative live price is **\$0.55 USD / €0.48 EUR**. The valuation data in the research package describes PureHealth trading at 17x trailing P/E, 1.47x P/B, and references a 52-week decline of 26%. PureHealth Holding PJSC reported FY2025 net profit of roughly USD 550 million. A company earning \$550m in net income with a 17x P/E carries a market capitalization near **\$9.4 billion**. That is mathematically incompatible with a \$0.55 share price unless the share count is enormous (the ADX listing has roughly 11.15 billion shares, which at the actual ADX price of ~AED 3+ produces a multi-billion-dollar cap).

A \$0.55 USD price applied to ~11 billion shares implies a \$6 billion market cap, which would put the P/E near 11x, not 17x. The numbers do not reconcile cleanly, and the \$0.55 figure does not correspond to any ADX quote consistent with the fundamentals in this package. PureHealth trades on the Abu Dhabi Securities Exchange in AED, not as a sub-dollar USD instrument.

I will follow your instruction to use \$0.55 / €0.48 as the stated current price. You should treat the resulting valuation and return math as unreliable, because the price input contradicts the fundamental data I was given. I flag this rather than fabricate a coherent-looking model around a number I cannot verify.

A second hard problem: the framework's downside math requires bear/base/bull EPS and dividend modeling. The research package states explicitly that **EPS, free cash flow, and dividend history are not disclosed** in any source available. PureHealth has roughly two-and-a-half years of public financials (it listed in late 2023). I cannot run a credible 10-year scenario model or a recession-history analysis on a company with no recession history as a public entity and no disclosed per-share metrics.

These gaps are not minor. They determine the recommendation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metric	Value
Recommendation	**AVOID**
Current Price	€0.48 / \$0.55 (as instructed; see integrity note)
Fair Value (Base Case)	Cannot be reliably calculated
Margin of Safety	Indeterminate
Target Entry (if HOLD)	N/A

Return Profile	Value
Dividend Yield	Not disclosed in available data
Expected Dividend Growth	Unknown
Expected Price Appreciation	Indeterminate
Total Expected Return (Base)	Cannot be modeled
Bear Case Total Return	Cannot be modeled
Bull Case Total Return	Cannot be modeled
Probability-Weighted Return	Cannot be modeled

Risk Assessment	Value
Wealth Preservation Score	38 / 100
Downside Protection Score	35 / 100
Quality Score	48 / 100
Risk Level	ELEVATED
Probability of >50% Loss	Indeterminate (>15% threshold cannot be cleared)
Recession Profile	UNTESTED (no public-company recession history)

One-Line Thesis:

A fast-growing, acquisitive UAE healthcare conglomerate with a strong balance sheet and a contradictory price input that, combined with missing per-share data and a state-linked governance structure, fails the wealth preservation mandate on disqualifying data gaps rather than on business quality.

INVESTMENT THESIS

PureHealth runs a genuinely large, integrated healthcare platform in the UAE: hospitals, diagnostics, insurance, procurement, and a young technology arm. The operating numbers are strong. FY2025 revenue reached AED 27.3 billion, EBITDA AED 4.8 billion (17.6% margin), and net profit AED 2.0 billion. Net debt to EBITDA sat near 1.4x, and management repaid AED 1.85 billion of bank debt ahead of a 2027 maturity. On paper, the balance sheet looks solid, and the Care-plus-Cover integration gives the company a structural position few MENA peers can match.

That is where the case for confidence ends. The wealth preservation mandate exists to answer one question before any other: how much can you lose, and how certain is that downside? I cannot answer it here. The price you instructed me to use (\$0.55 / €0.48) contradicts the fundamental data in the package. A company earning \$550 million cannot simultaneously trade at 17x earnings and at a sub-dollar price unless the implied market cap collapses to a level the valuation source does not support. One of those inputs is wrong, and I cannot determine which. Building a scenario model on a price I cannot trust would manufacture false precision.

The data gaps compound. The package confirms no disclosed EPS, no cash flow statement, no free cash flow, and no dividend history across any year. PureHealth listed in late 2023, so it has no track record through a recession, no multi-cycle capital allocation history, and barely two full years of audited financials. The framework's absolute requirements demand a positive bear-case total return, a sustainable dividend assessment, and a permanent-loss probability below 15%. None of these can be evaluated with the data available. When the inputs needed to prove downside protection are absent, the preservation mandate defaults to rejection. You do not commit capital to a position you cannot stress-test.

Layered on top: the company sits under Alpha Dhabi Holding within a state-influenced conglomerate structure, carries documented Q1 2026 margin pressure from the UAE Unified Purchasing Program, holds a minority Ardent stake with board-observer-only rights, and is integrating a EUR 2.2 billion Hellenic Healthcare acquisition. These are real, monitorable risks, not disqualifiers on their own. But combined with an unverifiable price and missing per-share data, they push the analysis decisively toward AVOID.

1. BUSINESS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Sector (Stage 1): Healthcare delivery and insurance. Understandable, defensive, secular tailwinds (aging Gulf population, chronic disease prevalence, UAE healthcare investment). The sector itself passes. Healthcare is exactly the kind of defensive, non-declining industry the mandate favors.

Business model: Vertically integrated Care (72% of H1 2025 revenue) and Cover (28%). The integration is a credible structural advantage in MENA. Scale supports procurement leverage and referral-network effects.

Quality verdict: The underlying business is high quality. The problem is not the business; it is the verifiability of everything you would need to own it safely.

2. FINANCIAL FORTRESS ANALYSIS (Stage 2.1)

Metric	Value	Threshold	Assessment
Net Debt / EBITDA	~1.4x (H1 2025)	<relevant for conglomerate	Acceptable
Bank debt	Reportedly nil after AED 1.85bn repayment		Favorable
Interest coverage	Not disclosed	>5x	Cannot verify
Current ratio	Not disclosed	>1.5x	Cannot verify
Free cash flow	**Not disclosed any year**	Positive 4/5 yrs	Cannot verify
FCF (5-yr history)	Does not exist (listed 2023)	—	Fails on insufficient history

Stress test (30% revenue decline, 2 years): Cannot be run. No cash flow statement, no interest expense detail, no covenant disclosure.

Solvency Assessment: MARGINAL — Headline leverage looks strong, but the absence of any cash flow statement, interest coverage figure, or current ratio means I cannot confirm fortress status. The mandate prohibits assigning FORTRESS where the supporting data is missing.

3. DIVIDEND ANALYSIS

No dividend data is disclosed in any source. The Perplexity overview references a "commitment to shareholder returns" conceptually but provides no dividend amount, yield, payout ratio, or history. Capital allocation to date has prioritized M&A, capacity expansion, and deleveraging.

Dividend Sustainability: CANNOT ASSESS. For a mandate that treats dividends as core return, the absence of any verifiable dividend stream removes a primary pillar of the preservation thesis.

4. VALUATION ANALYSIS

Metric	Stated in Data	Note
Trailing P/E	17.0x – 18.0x	Contradicts \$0.55 price
EV/EBITDA	8.0x – 8.3x	Below 4-yr median of 15.0x
P/FCF	8.8x	But FCF "not disclosed" elsewhere — inconsistent
P/B	1.47x	—
52-week return	–26%	—

The EV/EBITDA discount to its own history (8x vs 15x median) would normally read as a value signal. But the package contains internal contradictions: it cites a P/FCF multiple while elsewhere stating FCF cannot be computed. And none of these multiples reconcile with the instructed \$0.55 price.

Valuation: INDETERMINATE. I will not assign a fair value or margin of safety to a price input I cannot verify against the fundamentals provided.

5. SCENARIO ANALYSIS

Cannot be completed. The framework requires bear/base/bull EPS paths, terminal multiples, and dividend modeling over 10 years. Required inputs (EPS, FCF, dividend, recession history) are absent. PureHealth has no public-company downturn to reference. Any scenario table I produced would be fabricated, which violates the anti-fabrication rule in the data hierarchy.

The single most important framework question — *is the bear-case total return positive?* — cannot be answered. Under the mandate, an unanswerable downside question is itself a disqualifier.

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

- **Unified Purchasing Program (UPP):** Directly compressed Q1 2026 net profit. State-administered pricing can squeeze procurement and provider margins faster than cost offsets.
- **State-influenced structure:** Subsidiary of Alpha Dhabi Holding with government-adjacent shareholders. Related-party and capital-allocation risk for minority holders.
- **M&A integration:** EUR 2.2bn Hellenic Healthcare (60%), UK assets, minority Ardent stake (board observer only, no seat, full equity risk). Goodwill impairment risk is rising.
- **Disclosure depth:** No segment margins, no cash flow statement, no EPS. Reliance on EBITDA framing.
- **Macro:** Oil-linked Gulf fiscal sensitivity; regional geopolitical exposure flagged in Q1 2026 commentary.
- **Price integrity:** The instructed price cannot be reconciled with the stated fundamentals.

Risk Level: ELEVATED.

7. MANAGEMENT & GOVERNANCE

Operational execution looks strong: revenue, EBITDA, and net profit all grew through 2024–2025, capacity nearly doubled, leverage was managed down. Those are positive signals. The limitations are structural rather than performance-based: a controlling state-linked parent, no multi-cycle capital allocation record, and disclosure thinner than global peers. Insider ownership alignment for minority shareholders is unclear within the conglomerate structure.

Management Quality: ADEQUATE (strong operations, governance opacity).

Capital Allocation: ACCEPTABLE (growth-and-deleverage, no shareholder-return detail).

8. PEER COMPARISON

Insufficient comparable data was provided to rank PureHealth against named peers on the wealth preservation matrix. The package describes the competitive landscape qualitatively but offers no peer financial inputs.

9. KEY METRICS SUMMARY

Item	Value
FY2025 Revenue	AED 27.3bn (+5.7%)
FY2025 EBITDA	AED 4.8bn (+16.1%)
FY2025 Net Profit	AED 2.0bn (+17.7%)
EBITDA margin	~17.6%
Net margin	~7.3%
Net Debt/EBITDA	~1.4x

Item	Value
EPS	Not disclosed
FCF	Not disclosed
Dividend	Not disclosed
Public-company history	~2.5 years

10. MONITORING CHECKLIST

Item	Trigger	Action
Price/fundamental reconciliation	Verified ADX quote in AED	Re-run valuation only after price confirmed
Audited cash flow statement	Published on ADX	Compute FCF, interest coverage, current ratio
EPS disclosure	Full annual report	Build scenario model
Dividend policy	First declared dividend	Assess sustainability
UPP regulatory developments	Each quarterly report	Reassess margin trajectory
HHG integration	Goodwill / impairment notes	Watch acquisition accounting

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION: AVOID

Disqualifying factors (any one is sufficient under Stage 7.3):

1. Bear-case total return cannot be shown to be $\geq 0\%$ (scenario model impossible without EPS/FCF).
2. Dividend sustainability cannot be assessed (no disclosed dividend).
3. Solvency assessment is MARGINAL, not FORTRESS or ADEQUATE-with-confidence (no cash flow or coverage data).
4. Probability of $>50\%$ permanent loss cannot be confirmed below the 15% threshold.
5. The instructed current price contradicts the fundamental data, making margin-of-safety calculation impossible.

This is not a judgment that PureHealth is a bad business. The operating performance is strong and the sector is defensive. The mandate rejects this position because you cannot prove downside protection with the data available, and capital preservation forbids committing money to a position you cannot stress-test. When the price input is unverifiable and the per-share economics are undisclosed, the correct preservation decision is to hold cash at 4% and wait for verifiable data.

What would change the assessment: A confirmed ADX share price in AED, an audited cash flow statement, disclosed EPS and dividend policy, and at least one more reporting cycle to observe UPP margin impact. With those, this could plausibly re-rate to HOLD or BUY given the balance sheet and growth profile.

ANALYST NOTES

Key Assumptions:

- Used \$0.55 / €0.48 as instructed despite its conflict with package fundamentals.
- FY2025 figures taken from PureHealth press releases (AED and USD versions cross-checked at ~3.67 FX).

Limitations:

- No EPS, FCF, cash flow statement, or dividend history in any source.
- ~2.5 years of public-company financials; no recession history.
- Instructed price cannot be reconciled with stated valuation multiples and net income.
- No peer financial inputs for comparison scoring.
- No SEC filings exist (ADX-listed, not a U.S. filer).

Confidence Level: LOW — The recommendation to AVOID is high-conviction, but it rests on data inadequacy and price contradiction rather than on a completed valuation. The business may well merit a buy at a verified price with full disclosure; I cannot establish that from what was provided.

Report prepared for Moschovakis Capital. This analysis is for informational purposes only.

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

This report has been prepared by Moschovakis Capital for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation, or an offer to buy or sell any security. The information contained herein is based on sources believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Moschovakis Capital and its affiliates may hold positions in the securities discussed. This document is confidential and intended solely for the recipient. Any reproduction or distribution without prior written consent is prohibited.

CONTINUE THE RESEARCH

This report is one of over 120 equity research analyses published by Moschovakis Capital. Each covers valuation, scenario modelling and risk in the same format as the report you have just read.

[Full equity research archive](#)

[Latest published research](#)

[Enquiries and coverage requests](#)

moschovakiscapital.com