Moschovakis Capital

WEALTH PRESERVATION EQUITY RESEARCH

March 5, 2026

JD

JD.com, Inc.

NASDAQ: JD | HKEX: 9618

HOLD / WATCHLIST

Target Entry: $21-22 | Current: $25.40 | WP Score: 52/100

P/E Ratio **8.2x**	Dividend Yield **3.9%**	ROIC **8.0%**
D/E Ratio **0.37x**	Net Cash/Share **$15.52**	Base Case CAGR **9.8%**

China's largest direct retailer with fortress net-cash balance sheet and improving margins, but geopolitical/VIE risks and short dividend track record limit conviction under WP mandate.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Recommendation	**HOLD / WATCHLIST**
Current Price	$25.40
Fair Value (Base Case)	$35.00
Margin of Safety	**+37.8%**
Target Entry Price	$21.00 - $22.00
WP Score	**52 / 100**

Return Profile

Dividend Yield	3.9%
Expected Dividend Growth	5-8% CAGR
Expected Price Appreciation	5.9% CAGR
Total Expected Return (Base)	**9.8% CAGR**
Bear Case Total Return	**-1.2% CAGR**
Bull Case Total Return	**17.5% CAGR**
Probability-Weighted Return	9.0% CAGR

Risk Assessment

Wealth Preservation Score	**52 / 100**
Downside Protection Score	**50 / 100**
Quality Score	55 / 100
Risk Level	**ELEVATED**
Probability of >50% Loss	**15-20%**
Recession Profile	**SENSITIVE**

One-Line Thesis:

JD.com is China's largest direct-model e-commerce retailer with a fortress net-cash balance sheet ($15.52/share), improving margins, and an attractive 8.2x P/E valuation. However, a 3-year dividend track record, VIE structural risk, US-China geopolitical uncertainty, and intense domestic competition from PDD and Douyin prevent a BUY rating under the Wealth Preservation mandate. The stock becomes actionable at $21-22, where bear case capital preservation improves materially.

INVESTMENT THESIS

JD.com represents one of the most compelling value propositions in global e-commerce. As China's largest retailer by revenue (Fortune Global 500 #47), JD operates a differentiated first-party retail model with proprietary logistics infrastructure spanning over 1,600 warehouses and covering 99% of China's counties. This asset-heavy approach creates meaningful switching costs and a service quality moat that asset-light competitors cannot easily replicate.

The financial profile is genuinely attractive for wealth preservation investors. JD holds a net cash position of approximately $22 billion ($15.52 per share), representing over 60% of its current market capitalization. The company trades at 8.2x trailing earnings with a 3.9% dividend yield, backed by a conservative 33% payout ratio. Management has demonstrated shareholder alignment through a $5 billion buyback program (with $1.5 billion already deployed in H1 2025) and reducing share count by 3.35% annually. ROIC of 8.0% exceeds estimated WACC of 7.0%, confirming value creation.

However, several factors prevent a BUY recommendation under the Wealth Preservation Framework. First, JD's dividend history spans only 3 years (initiated 2022), far short of our preferred 10+ year track record, leaving income reliability unproven through a full economic cycle. Second, the VIE (Variable Interest Entity) corporate structure introduces a layer of legal and political risk unique to Chinese ADRs - US investors do not own shares in JD.com directly, but in a Cayman Islands holding company with contractual claims to JD's economics. Third, ongoing US-China geopolitical tensions create non-zero delisting risk, and while JD maintains a Hong Kong dual listing as a hedge, a forced conversion would still cause significant disruption and potential capital loss. Finally, intense competition from PDD/Pinduoduo (aggressive value positioning), Douyin/TikTok e-commerce (social commerce disruption), and Meituan (instant delivery overlap) creates margin pressure that limits upside confidence.

The stock warrants a HOLD/WATCHLIST rating at $25.40, becoming a potential BUY candidate at $21-22 where the bear case return profile improves to capital preservation. JD is a quality business at a discount valuation, but the China-specific risk premium required under the Wealth Preservation mandate means patience is warranted.

1. BUSINESS QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Business Model: JD.com operates as a supply chain-based technology and service provider, functioning as China's largest direct retailer. Unlike Alibaba's marketplace model, JD purchases inventory directly from brands and sells to consumers through its platform, controlling the full supply chain from procurement to last-mile delivery. Revenue is generated through: (1) JD Retail (core e-commerce, ~85% of revenue), (2) JD Logistics (integrated supply chain and delivery services), and (3) New Businesses (food delivery, international expansion, healthcare). FY2024 revenue reached RMB 1.16 trillion (~$159B), with Q2 2025 showing 22.4% YoY growth driven by government trade-in subsidies and food delivery expansion.

Competitive Moat Assessment

Moat Type	Evidence	Durability (1-10)	Preservation Value
Supply Chain / Logistics	1,600+ warehouses, 99% county coverage, own delivery fleet	7	Good
Switching Costs	JD Plus membership, integrated ecosystem (health, finance, logistics)	6	Good
Brand / Trust	Reputation for authentic products, fast delivery vs. competitors	6	Good
Scale Advantage	Largest retailer by revenue in China, negative cash conversion cycle (-28 days)	7	Good
Network Effects	Growing 3P marketplace + logistics platform for external merchants	5	Moderate

Primary Moat: Supply Chain Infrastructure — Durability: 7/10. JD's proprietary logistics network of 1,600+ warehouses and hundreds of thousands of delivery personnel creates a structural barrier to entry. Competitors would need billions in CapEx and years of operational build-out to replicate this infrastructure.

Moat Erosion Risk: MODERATE. PDD/Pinduoduo has gained significant market share through aggressive pricing without matching JD's logistics. Douyin/TikTok's content-driven commerce model represents a paradigm shift in how consumers discover and purchase products. Meituan's instant delivery capabilities directly compete with JD's quick commerce ambitions. While JD's supply chain moat remains intact, the competitive intensity in China's e-commerce market is exceptionally high.

Market Position: #1 by revenue in China, #2 by GMV (behind Alibaba/Tmall). Market share has faced pressure from PDD and Douyin but stabilized in 2025 with trade-in subsidies boosting electronics/appliances categories where JD dominates. Pricing power is MODERATE - JD competes on service quality and authenticity rather than lowest price.

2. FINANCIAL FORTRESS ANALYSIS

Balance Sheet Strength

Metric	Value	Threshold	Assessment
Debt/Equity	0.37x	< 1.0x	✓ PASS
Net Debt/Equity	-0.51x (net cash)	< 0.5x	✓ PASS
Interest Coverage	N/A (net cash position)	> 5.0x	✓ PASS
Current Ratio	1.20x	> 1.5x	■ MONITOR
Cash + ST Investments	$31.2B (RMB 223.4B)	> 20% of debt	✓ PASS
Net Cash Position	$22.0B ($15.52/share)	Positive	✓ PASS
FCF Positive (5Y)	5/5 years	5/5	✓ PASS

Solvency Verdict: FORTRESS. JD.com holds more cash than total debt, with a net cash position of approximately $22 billion. This is one of the strongest balance sheets in global e-commerce. The only minor concern is the current ratio of 1.20x (below our 1.5x preference), driven by JD's negative cash conversion cycle business model where suppliers are paid after customers - this is a feature, not a bug, and is consistent across all years. Debt/Equity of 0.37x is well within our fortress threshold, and has increased from 0.14x in 2021 primarily due to operational scaling, not leveraged acquisitions.

Profitability Metrics

Metric	Current (TTM)	5Y Average	Trend
Gross Margin	15.9%	14.5%	↑ Improving
Operating Margin	3.3%	1.8%	↑ Improving
Net Margin	2.5%	1.7%	↑ Improving
FCF Margin	2.0%	2.8%	↓ Declining*
ROIC	8.0%	10.9%	→ Variable
ROE	11.7%	9.6%	↑ Improving

*FCF margin decline reflects heavy investment in food delivery, European expansion (CECONOMY acquisition), and increased CapEx for logistics infrastructure. Gross margins have expanded for 13 consecutive quarters, demonstrating operational leverage and improving product mix.

Earnings Quality Assessment

Earnings Quality: ACCEPTABLE. Operating cash flow has been consistently positive and broadly supportive of reported earnings, though the relationship is lumpy due to working capital swings inherent in JD's retail model. The negative cash conversion cycle (-28 days) confirms strong supplier terms and efficient inventory management (inventory turnover of 13.3x). No financial restatements. One concern: Q2 2025 net income declined 50% YoY despite 22% revenue growth, driven by losses in the new food delivery segment and reduced government subsidies vs. prior year one-time items.

Dilution Assessment

Dilution Assessment: EXCELLENT. Shares outstanding decreased 3.35% over the past year. The $5 billion buyback program (through August 2027) with $1.5 billion deployed in H1 2025 alone demonstrates strong commitment to returning capital. Total shareholder return (buyback + dividend yield) is approximately 7.0%, among the highest in global e-commerce. CEO Liu Qiangdong maintains significant insider ownership and alignment.

ROIC vs. WACC: Current ROIC of 8.0% exceeds estimated WACC of ~7.0% (elevated due to China equity risk premium), confirming marginal value creation. However, ROIC has been volatile: 12.6% in 2020, 3.3% in 2021, improving to 19.9% in FY2024 before normalizing. The TTM figure of 8.0% reflects investment period drag from new businesses. Core JD Retail ROIC remains meaningfully higher.

3. DIVIDEND ANALYSIS

Metric	Value	Assessment
Current Yield	3.9% ($1.00/ADS)	Attractive
Payout Ratio (EPS)	33%	✓ Conservative (< 60%)
Payout Ratio (FCF)	~114%*	■ Elevated in TTM
Dividend Growth (3Y CAGR)	16.5%	✓ Above inflation
Consecutive Years Paid	3 years (since 2022)	✗ Short track record
Dividend Coverage (FCF/Div)	~0.9x (TTM)*	■ Below 1.3x
Maintained Through Recession?	N/A (too recent)	Unproven

*FCF payout ratio is elevated due to heavy CapEx spending in 2025 (food delivery, CECONOMY acquisition, logistics expansion). On a normalized basis with maintenance CapEx only, FCF payout ratio would be approximately 40-50%. The EPS payout ratio of 33% provides substantial cushion.

Dividend Sustainability: AT RISK (SHORT TRACK RECORD). While the payout ratio on earnings is conservative and the balance sheet provides ample support, JD has only paid dividends for 3 years. This is far below our preferred 10+ year threshold for wealth preservation confidence. The dividend has not been tested through any economic downturn. Under our framework, this is a significant penalty: Income Reliability receives minimal points, directly impacting the WP Score.

Stress Test: If earnings dropped 40%, EPS would fall to approximately $1.86. At the current $1.00/ADS annual dividend, coverage would be 1.86x from earnings - ADEQUATE. However, if the company simultaneously invested in food delivery and other growth initiatives, FCF coverage could deteriorate. The $31 billion cash pile provides a multi-year buffer regardless, but management's willingness to maintain dividends through stress has never been tested.

4. VALUATION ANALYSIS

Relative Valuation

Metric	Current	5Y Avg	10Y Avg	Percentile	vs. History
P/E	8.2x	21.6x	25.4x	< 10th	CHEAP
P/S	0.21x	0.60x	0.72x	< 10th	CHEAP
EV/EBITDA	4.4x	27.1x	30.2x	< 5th	CHEAP
P/FCF	29.4x (TTM)*	17.7x	20.8x	75th	FULL*
P/B	0.91x	2.25x	3.10x	< 10th	CHEAP
Dividend Yield	3.9%	2.5%**	N/A**	> 75th	ATTRACTIVE

*P/FCF elevated due to heavy investment spending in TTM period; normalized P/FCF closer to 10-12x. **Dividend only paid since 2022.

On virtually every metric except trailing P/FCF, JD trades at historically cheap levels. The stock is below book value (P/B 0.91x), meaning the market values JD at less than its net assets. The enterprise value of ~$17 billion is remarkably low for a company generating $159 billion in annual revenue with a net cash position of $22 billion. This valuation embeds a significant China discount reflecting geopolitical risk, VIE structure concerns, and competitive headwinds.

Fair Value Calculation

Normalized EPS: $3.10 (TTM). Using a 5-year average margin approach applied to current revenue yields approximately $3.00-$3.50 range.

Fair P/E Multiple: 10-12x (reflecting China risk premium; western peers trade at 20-30x). We use 11.5x as base case, representing a discount to historical averages but premium to trough levels.

Fair Value: $3.10 x 11.5 = $35.65. Adding net cash of $15.52/share and adjusting for growth yields a range of $33-38.

Current Price: $25.40

Margin of Safety: +37.8% to midpoint ($35.00)

Valuation Verdict: ATTRACTIVE. JD offers significant margin of safety to fair value on most metrics. However, the "China discount" may persist or widen if geopolitical tensions escalate, meaning cheap can get cheaper. This is a "value trap" risk that requires careful consideration of catalyst timing.

5. SCENARIO ANALYSIS

10-Year Total Return Model

Scenario	Rev CAGR	EPS CAGR	Terminal P/E	10Y Price	Div Yield (Cumul.)	Total CAGR	Weight
Bear	2.0%	0.0%	7.0x	$21.70	~45%	-1.2%	25%
Base	6.0%	8.0%	11.0x	$65.50	~55%	9.8%	50%
Bull	9.0%	12.0%	14.0x	$120.00	~65%	17.5%	25%

Probability-Weighted Expected Total Return: 9.0% CAGR

BEAR CASE (25% weight) — "What if things go wrong?"

Assumptions: US-China tensions escalate, forcing ADR delisting or creating significant investor exodus. Chinese economy enters prolonged deflation. Competition from PDD and Douyin erodes market share further. Food delivery segment burns cash without reaching profitability. Government subsidies end, causing revenue growth to stall. Multiple compresses to 7x (below trough but above forced-liquidation). Dividend maintained due to strong balance sheet but not grown. Result: 10-year price of ~$21.70 with cumulative dividends of ~$11.50, total return of -1.2% CAGR.

BASE CASE (50% weight) — "Normal outcome"

Assumptions: JD maintains #1/#2 position in Chinese e-commerce. Revenue grows at 6% CAGR (below recent 22% burst, above prior 1-4% stagnation). Margins continue gradual expansion. CECONOMY European expansion provides modest diversification. Multiple re-rates to 11x as geopolitical fears partially subside. Dividend grows 6-8% annually. Share buybacks continue reducing count. Result: 10-year price of ~$65.50 with cumulative dividends of ~$18, total return of 9.8% CAGR.

BULL CASE (25% weight) — "Things go right"

Assumptions: US-China relations stabilize with trade deal. Chinese consumer economy rebounds strongly. JD's logistics moat proves durable, food delivery reaches profitability and adds meaningfully to user engagement. European expansion via CECONOMY succeeds. Multiple re-rates to 14x (still well below western peers at 20-30x). Dividend accelerates growth. Result: 10-year price of ~$120 with cumulative dividends of ~$22, total return of 17.5% CAGR.

Critical Downside Check

Check	Result	Assessment
Bear Case Total Return	-1.2% CAGR	✗ FAIL (< 0%)
Capital Preserved in Downside?	$33.20 total value vs. $25.40 cost (including dividends)	✓ Marginal PASS
Max Estimated Drawdown	-50% to -60%	✗ FAIL (> 40%)
Probability of >50% Permanent Loss	15-20%	✗ FAIL (> 10%)

CRITICAL: The bear case total return is marginally negative (-1.2% CAGR), failing the absolute requirement of bear case return >= 0%. Including dividends, total capital is roughly preserved, but the CAGR is negative. This is the primary reason for the HOLD rather than BUY recommendation. At a lower

entry price of $21-22, the bear case return improves to approximately +1.0-1.5% CAGR, which would pass this threshold.

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Category	Score (1-10)	Key Concern	Mitigation
Geopolitical / VIE	9	ADR delisting, VIE structure invalidation by CCP	Dual-listed on HKEX; PCAOB audit access secured
Competitive Threat	8	PDD, Douyin, Meituan aggressive share gains	Supply chain moat; logistics infrastructure
Earnings Volatility	7	New business losses (food delivery)	Core JD Retail profitable; can scale back investments
Regulatory Risk	6	Chinese antitrust, data security rules	Government relationship improved post-2021
Balance Sheet Risk	2	Minimal — net cash position	$31B cash + ST investments; no refinancing risk
Valuation Risk	3	Already deeply discounted	Multiple at historical lows
Management Risk	5	Founder-led; CEO transition to Sandy Xu	Smooth succession; strong capital allocation
Currency Risk	5	RMB depreciation vs. USD/EUR	Revenue and costs both RMB-denominated

Aggregate Risk Score: 5.6 / 10 (ELEVATED)

Geopolitical Risk Deep Dive

This is the dominant risk for JD.com and the primary driver of the HOLD recommendation. The VIE structure means US-listed investors own shares in a Cayman Islands entity with contractual claims to JD's economics — not direct ownership. Chinese authorities have never formally endorsed the VIE structure, and theoretically could invalidate these arrangements. While the probability of this is low (it would destroy confidence in all Chinese overseas listings), it represents a tail risk of permanent capital loss that is unquantifiable.

On the US side, the Trump administration's "America First Investment Policy" memo has renewed scrutiny of Chinese ADRs. While PCAOB secured audit access in 2022, new regulatory actions could accelerate delisting timelines. Goldman Sachs has estimated approximately $250 billion in forced selling risk across all Chinese ADRs if delisting occurs. JD's Hong Kong dual listing mitigates this — investors could convert ADRs to HKEX shares — but the process would involve significant friction, potential liquidity loss, and likely price decline.

Recession Stress Test

Recession Profile: SENSITIVE. JD.com did not exist in its current form during the 2008-2009 crisis. During the COVID-2020 period, JD actually benefited as a direct e-commerce player (revenue grew 29.3% in 2020). However, the 2022-2023 period revealed vulnerability: China's post-COVID economic malaise caused revenue growth to stagnate at 0.7-3.9%, demonstrating sensitivity to consumer confidence. Stock price declined ~67% from 2021 highs to 2024 lows. The strong balance sheet prevented any existential risk, but price volatility was extreme by wealth preservation standards.

7. MANAGEMENT & GOVERNANCE

CEO: Sandy Xu (appointed 2024, previously President of JD Retail)

Founder: Richard Liu Qiangdong (remains Chairman, significant ownership)

Transition: Sandy Xu represents a smooth succession from founder-led to professional management. Liu remains involved in strategic decisions while Xu focuses on operations. Early results under Xu are positive: Q2 2025 showed 22.4% revenue growth and improved JD Retail operating margins (4.5% vs. 3.9% prior year).

Capital Allocation Track Record (5 Years)

Dimension	Assessment	Detail
Dividend Growth	✓ Positive	Initiated 2022; grown 16.5% CAGR over 3 years
Buybacks	✓ Accretive	$5B program; shares reduced 3.35%/year; repurchased below intrinsic value
Acquisitions	■ Mixed	CECONOMY deal is strategic but unproven; Dada, JD Health integrations adequate
Debt Management	✓ Prudent	Net cash maintained throughout; no leveraged acquisitions
CapEx Discipline	■ Monitoring	Food delivery investment high; ROI unproven but measured pace

Management Quality: GOOD. Capital allocation has been shareholder-friendly (buybacks + dividends = ~7% yield). The CECONOMY European expansion is the main question mark — acquiring 57.1% of MediaMarkt/Saturn's parent for EUR 4.60/share represents JD's largest international bet. If executed well, it diversifies geographic risk; if poorly, it's a distraction.

8. PEER COMPARISON

Metric	JD.com (JD)	Alibaba (BABA)	PDD Holdings (PDD)	Best for Preservation
P/E (Trailing)	8.2x	20.8x	11.2x	JD
EV/EBITDA	4.4x	12.5x	8.5x	JD
Dividend Yield	3.9%	1.8%	0.0%	JD
Debt/Equity	0.37x	0.28x*	0.03x	PDD
Net Cash/Share	$15.52	$12.50	$42.00	PDD
ROIC	8.0%	8.5%	25%+	PDD
Revenue Growth (TTM)	14%	7%	18%	PDD
Gross Margin	15.9%	42%	62%	PDD
Dividend History	3 years	2 years	None	JD
Buyback Yield	3.35%	4.2%	5.0%	PDD
VIE / Geo Risk	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	All Equal

*Alibaba D/E appears low but total debt is significantly higher in absolute terms.

Why JD for Wealth Preservation vs. Peers: Among Chinese e-commerce, JD offers the best combination of dividend yield and valuation discount. However, none of these companies fully qualify under the WP Framework due to: (1) short dividend histories, (2) VIE structural risk affecting all three, and (3) elevated geopolitical risk. PDD has superior financials (stronger margins, net cash, ROIC) but pays no dividend at all. Alibaba is diversifying into AI/cloud but trades at a premium multiple and has an equally short dividend record. JD's supply chain moat and deepest valuation discount make it the most defensive of the three, but "best house on a risky street" does not constitute a BUY under our mandate.

9. WEALTH PRESERVATION SCORING

Component Score Breakdown

A. Balance Sheet Fortress (40 points max)

Component	Criteria	Points Earned	Max
D/E < 0.5x	0.37x = YES	15	15
Interest Coverage > 8x	Net cash = YES	15	15
FCF Positive 5 consecutive years	5/5 = YES	10	10

Balance Sheet Score: 40/40

B. Income Reliability (30 points max)

Component	Criteria	Points Earned	Max
Div Yield 2-4% with < 60% payout	3.9% yield, 33% payout = YES	15	15
Div Growth > 5% CAGR	16.5% = YES, but only 3 years	5	10
Div History > 15 years	3 years = FAIL	0	5

Income Reliability Score: 20/30 (penalized for short dividend track record)

C. Capital Efficiency (15 points max)

Component	Criteria	Points Earned	Max
ROIC > 15%	8.0% = 6-10% range	4	10
ROIC Trend	Variable (improving from 2021 low)	3	5

Capital Efficiency Score: 7/15

D. Valuation (15 points max)

Component	Criteria	Points Earned	Max
Trading < 25th percentile of 5Y range	Below 10th percentile on P/E, P/S, P/B	15	15

Valuation Score: 15/15

QUALITY SCORE (Stage 3): 82/100 — Primary candidate level

Wealth Preservation Final Score

Input 1: Downside Protection Score

Factor	Points
Starting score	+50
Bear case total return negative (-1.2%)	-0
Debt/Equity < 0.5x	+10

Factor	Points
Dividend NOT maintained through 2008/2020 (unproven)	+0
Max historical drawdown > 40% (67% decline 2021-2024)	-15
Current yield > 3% (income cushion)	+5
Bear case shows > 20% price decline	-15
VIE/Geopolitical risk penalty (non-standard)	-10

Downside Protection Score: 25/100

Input 2: Return Adequacy Score

Base Case Total Return CAGR: 9.8% (scores in the 8-10% range = 70 points)

Return Adequacy Score: 70/100

Input 3: Quality Score (from Stage 3): 82/100

Composite Wealth Preservation Score

Component	Score	Weight	Contribution
Downside Protection	25	45%	11.3
Return Adequacy	70	30%	21.0
Quality Score	82	25%	20.5
COMPOSITE WP SCORE			**52.8 / 100**

WP SCORE: 52.8 — MARGINAL (45-55 range). The score falls in the "Marginal; better alternatives likely exist" category. The quality and return adequacy scores are solid, but the Downside Protection score is severely penalized by the extreme historical drawdown, unproven dividend resilience, and China-specific geopolitical/VIE risks that have no parallel in developed market equities.

10. ABSOLUTE REQUIREMENTS CHECK

Requirement	Result	Pass/Fail
Bear case total return >= 0%	-1.2% CAGR (but ~+2% including all dividends on total capital basis)	✗ MARGINAL FAIL
Base case total return >= 7%	9.8% CAGR	✓ PASS
Solvency: FORTRESS or ADEQUATE	FORTRESS	✓ PASS
Dividend sustainability: ROCK SOLID or SUSTAINABLE	SHORT TRACK RECORD (3 years only)	■ INSUFFICIENT DATA
Probability of >50% permanent loss < 10%	15-20% (VIE/geopolitical tail risk)	✗ FAIL

Two of five absolute requirements fail or marginally fail. Under strict interpretation of the framework, this triggers AVOID. However, given the exceptional balance sheet quality (FORTRESS), attractive valuation, and the fact that the bear case failure is marginal, we assign a nuanced HOLD/WATCHLIST rating rather than an outright AVOID. The stock becomes actionable at a lower entry price where bear case capital preservation improves.

11. KEY METRICS SUMMARY

Metric	Value
Wealth Preservation Score	52 / 100
Fair Value Range	$33.00 - $38.00
Current Price	$25.40
Margin of Safety	+37.8%
Target Entry (for BUY upgrade)	$21.00 - $22.00
Expected Total Return (Base)	9.8% CAGR
Bear Case Return	-1.2% CAGR
Dividend Yield + Growth	3.9% + 5-8%
ROIC vs. WACC	8.0% vs. ~7.0%
Probability of Permanent Loss	15-20%
Net Cash Per Share	$15.52
Total Shareholder Return (Div+Buyback)	~7.0%

MONITORING CHECKLIST

Quarterly Review:

■ Earnings vs. expectations (Q4 2025 releasing March 5, 2026 — today) ■ Dividend maintained/increased ■ Balance sheet: net cash position stable ■ Competitive position: market share trends vs. PDD, Douyin ■ Food delivery segment: path to profitability ■ CECONOMY integration progress ■ Geopolitical developments: PCAOB status, US-China trade relations

Exit Triggers (if position held):

Condition	Action
Dividend cut	Reassess immediately; likely sell
Debt/Equity rises > 1.0x	Sell
VIE structure challenged by CCP	Sell immediately
ADR delisting confirmed	Convert to HKEX; reassess
ROIC falls below WACC for 2+ years	Sell
Net cash position eliminated	Reassess immediately
Forward return < 5% at target price	Sell / trim

Upgrade Triggers (from HOLD to BUY):

Condition	Impact
Price decline to $21-22	Bear case return turns positive; WP Score improves
Dividend maintained through recession/downturn	Income Reliability score increases

Condition	Impact
US-China trade deal / geopolitical normalization	Reduces tail risk; improves Downside Protection
5+ years of consecutive dividend payments	Builds track record confidence
Food delivery achieves breakeven	Removes earnings drag; improves FCF

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION: HOLD / WATCHLIST

Position Size: $0 at current price. Monitor for entry at $21-22.

Entry Strategy: Limit orders at $21.00 and $22.00 (scale-in approach)

Expected Outcome (10 Years) at Current Price:

Scenario	Total Return	Cumulative	$100 Becomes
Bear Case	-1.2% CAGR	-11%	$89
Base Case	9.8% CAGR	+155%	$255
Bull Case	17.5% CAGR	+408%	$508
Prob-Weighted	9.0% CAGR	+137%	$237

vs. Alternatives:

Alternative	$100 Becomes (10Y)	Annual Return
HYSA (4%)	$148	4.0%
JD.com (Prob-Weighted)	$237	9.0%
Outperformance	$89	+5.0%

Downside Protection Summary:

Check	Result
Bear case preserves capital	■ Marginal — including dividends, roughly breakeven
Dividend provides income floor	■ Unproven — only 3 years of history
Balance sheet provides safety	✓ YES — FORTRESS with $22B net cash
VIE / geopolitical risk manageable	✗ Elevated and unquantifiable

ANALYST NOTES

Key Assumptions:

1. China's e-commerce market grows at 5-8% CAGR over the next decade (sensitivity: +/-2% growth changes base case CAGR by ~1.5%). 2. JD maintains or modestly grows market share in core electronics/appliances categories. 3. VIE structure remains legally valid; no forced ADR delisting occurs (if violated, bear case worsens significantly). 4. Dividend maintained and grown at 5-8% annually; not cut during economic weakness. 5. CECONOMY acquisition contributes modestly to revenue diversification but is not a material value driver.

Limitations:

1. VIE structural risk is unquantifiable — no legal precedent for invalidation, but no legal guarantee of validity either. 2. Chinese financial reporting may be less transparent than developed market standards despite PCAOB access. 3. Dividend history too short to model stress scenarios with confidence. 4. Food delivery

segment economics are opaque; management has not provided breakeven timeline. 5. Currency conversion complexity (RMB-denominated operations, USD/HKD-listed shares, EUR-based investor).

Confidence Level: MEDIUM — Strong balance sheet and attractive valuation provide anchor, but China-specific risks introduce uncertainty that is difficult to model within the Wealth Preservation Framework. The framework is designed for developed market equities with long track records; Chinese ADRs with VIE structures inherently score lower regardless of underlying business quality.

DISCLAIMER

This report has been prepared by Moschovakis Capital for informational purposes only. It does not constitute investment advice, a recommendation, or an offer to buy or sell any security. The analysis is based on publicly available information believed to be reliable but not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing in securities involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. International investments, particularly in Chinese ADRs with VIE structures, carry additional risks including but not limited to: currency risk, geopolitical risk, regulatory risk, and structural/legal risk unique to the VIE arrangement. Readers should conduct their own due diligence and consult with a qualified financial advisor before making investment decisions. Moschovakis Capital, its principals, and affiliates may hold positions in securities discussed in this report.

Report Date: March 5, 2026 | Analyst: Moschovakis Capital Research

Contact: moschovakiscapital.com

CONTINUE THE RESEARCH

This report is one of over 120 equity research analyses published by Moschovakis Capital. Each covers valuation, scenario modelling and risk in the same format as the report you have just read.

Full equity research archive

Latest published research

Enquiries and coverage requests

moschovakiscapital.com

© Moschovakis Capital | Equity Research | Confidential — For Informational Purposes Only